

**I'm not a robot!**



However, reality is not always this simple. One of the phenomena explored in a later chapter is that of conductor resistance changing with temperature. In an incandescent lamp (the kind employing the principle of electric current heating a thin filament of wire to the point that it glows white-hot), the resistance of the filament wire will increase dramatically as it warms from room temperature to operating temperature. If we were to increase the supply voltage in a real lamp circuit, the resulting increase in current would cause the filament to increase temperature, which would in turn increase its resistance, thus preventing further increases in battery voltage. Consequently, voltage and current do not follow the simple equation " $I = E/R$ " (with  $R$  assumed to be equal to  $3 \Omega$ ) because an incandescent lamp's filament resistance does not remain stable for different currents. The phenomenon of resistance changing with variations in temperature is one shared by almost all metals, of which most wires are made. For most applications, these small changes are small enough to be ignored. In the application of metal lamp filaments, the change happens to be quite large. This is just one example of "nonlinearity" in electric circuits. It is by no means the only example. A "linear" function in mathematics is one that tracks a straight line when plotted on a graph. The simplified version of the lamp circuit with a constant filament resistance of  $3 \Omega$  generates a plot like this: The straight-line plot of current over voltage indicates that resistance is a stable, unchanging value for a wide range of circuit voltages and currents. In an "ideal" situation, this is the case. Resistors, which are manufactured to provide a definite, stable value of resistance, behave much more like the plot of values seen above. A mathematician would call their behavior "linear." In a more realistic analysis of a lamp circuit, the plot is no longer a straight line. It rises sharply on the left, as voltage increases from zero to a certain level. As it progresses to the right we see the line flattening out, the circuit requiring greater and greater increases in voltage to achieve equal increases in current. However, if we try to apply Ohm's Law to find the resistance of this lamp circuit with the voltage and current values plotted above, we arrive at several different values. We could say that the resistance here is nonlinear, increasing with increasing current and voltage. The nonlinearity is caused by the effects of high temperature on the metal wire of the lamp filament. Another example of nonlinear current conduction is through gases such as air. At standard temperatures and pressures, air is an effective insulator. However, if the voltage between two conductors separated by an air gap is increased greatly enough, the air molecules between the gap will become "ionized," having their electrons stripped off by the force of the high voltage between the wires. Once ionized, air (and other gases) become good conductors of electricity, allowing electron flow where none could exist prior to ionization. If we were to plot current over voltage on a graph as we did with the lamp circuit, the effect of ionization would be clearly seen as a nonlinear curve (less than a 180° arc). A larger air gap would yield a higher ionization potential, but the shape of the  $I/E$  curve would be very similar: gradually increasing current until a point where the voltage begins to rise exponentially. This is the breakdown voltage of air. The breakdown voltage of air is dependent upon the pressure and the distance between the two points. The breakdown voltage of air is approximately 30 kV/m for air at 101.3 kPa. Once it does, air will begin to conduct through the ionized gap until the static charge between the two points depletes. Once the charge depletes, the air becomes fully ionized again, and the threshold voltage is reset. The air de-ionizes and returns to its normal state of extremely high resistance. Many solid insulating materials exhibit similar resistance properties, extremely high resistance to electron flow below some critical threshold voltage, then a much lower resistance of voltages beyond that threshold. Once a solid insulating material has been compromised by high-voltage breakdown, as it is called, it often does not return to its former insulating state, unlike most gases. It may insulate once again at low voltages, but its breakdown threshold voltage will have been decreased to some lower level, which may allow breakdown to occur more easily in the future. This is a common mode of failure in high-voltage wiring insulation damage due to breakdown. Such failures may be detected through the use of special resistance meters employing high voltage (1000 volts or more). There are circuit components specifically engineered to provide nonlinear resistance curves, one of them being the varistor. Commonly manufactured from compounds such as zinc oxide or silicon carbide, these devices maintain high resistance across their terminals until a certain "breakdown" voltage (equivalent to the "ionization potential" of an air gap) is reached, at which point their resistance decreases dramatically. Unlike the breakdown of an insulator, varistor breakdown is repeatable; that is, it is designed to withstand repeated breakdowns without failure. A picture of a varistor is shown here. There are also special gas-filled tubes designed to do much the same thing, exploiting the very same principle at work in the ionization of air by a lightning bolt. Other electrical components exhibit even stranger current/voltage curves than this. Some devices actually experience a decrease in current as the applied voltage increases. Because the slope of the current/voltage for this phenomenon is negative (angling down instead of up as it progresses from left to right), it is known as negative resistance/negative resistance region of Most notably, high-vacuum electron tubes known as tetrodes and semiconductor diodes known as Esaki or tunnel diodes exhibit negative resistance for certain ranges of applied voltage. Ohm's Law is not very useful for analyzing the behavior of components like these where resistance varies with voltage and current. Some have even suggested that "Ohm's Law" should be demoted from the status of a "Law" because it is not universal. It might be more accurate to call the equation ( $I=E/R$ ) a definition of resistance, hefting of a certain class of materials under a narrow range of conditions. For the benefit of the student, however, we will assume that resistances specified in example circuits are stable over a wide range of conditions, but this is not true of all materials. Any function that can be plotted on a graph as a straight line is called a linear function. For circuits with stable resistances, the plot of current over voltage is linear ( $I=E/R$ ). In circuits where resistance varies with changes in either voltage or current, the plot of current over voltage will be nonlinear (not a straight line). A varistor is a component that changes resistance with the amount of voltage impressed across it. With little voltage across it, its resistance is high. Then, at a certain "breakdown" or "firing" voltage, its resistance decreases dramatically. Negative resistance is where the current through a component actually decreases as the applied voltage across it is increased. Some electron tubes and semiconductor diodes (most notably, the tetrode tube and the Esaki, or tunnel diode, respectively) exhibit negative resistance over a certain range of voltages. So far, we've been analyzing single-battery, single-resistor circuits with no regard for the connecting wires between the components, so long as a complete circuit is formed. Does the wire length or circuit "shape" matter to our calculations? Let's look at a couple of circuit configurations and find out. 5.0 When we draw wires connecting points in a circuit, we usually assume those wires have negligible resistance. As such, they contribute no appreciable effect to the overall resistance of the circuit, and so the only resistance we have to contend with is the resistance in the components. In the above circuits, the only resistance comes from the  $5 \Omega$  resistors, so that is all we will consider in our calculations. In real life, metal wires actually do have resistance (and so do power sources), but those resistances are generally so much smaller than the resistance present in the other circuit components that they can be safely ignored. Exceptions to this rule exist in power system wiring, where even very small amounts of conductor resistance can create significant voltage drops given normal (high) levels of current. If connecting wire resistance is very little or none, we can regard the connected points in a circuit as being electrically common. That is, points 1 and 2 in the above circuits may be physically joined close together or far apart, and it doesn't matter for any voltage or resistance measurements relative to those points. The same goes for points 3 and 4. It is as if the ends of the resistor were attached directly across the terminals of the battery, so far as our Ohm's Law calculations and voltage measurements are concerned. This is useful to know, because it means you can re-draw a circuit diagram or re-wire a circuit, shortening or lengthening the wires as desired without appreciably impacting the circuit's function. All that matters is that the components attach to each other in the same sequence. It also means that voltage measurements between sets of "electrically common" points will be the same. That is, the voltage between points 1 and 4 (directly across the battery) will be the same as the voltage between points 2 and 3 (directly across the resistor). Take a close look at the following circuit, and try to determine which points are common to each other: Resistor 1, 2, 3 and 4. 6.0 10 V. The voltage between points 1 and 6 is 10 volts, coming straight from the battery. However, since points 5 and 4 are common to 1, and 3 are all common to 1, that same 10 volts also exists between these other pairs of points. Between points 1 and 2 are common to 6, and points 2 and 3 are common to 1, because they're directly connected together by wire. The same goes for points 4, 5, and 6. The voltage between points 1 and 6 = 10 volts. Between points 2 and 3 = 10 volts. Between points 1 and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 3 and 5 = 10 volts. Between points 2 and 5 = 10 volts. Between points 2 and 6 = 10 volts. Between points 3 and 6 = 10 volts. Between points 1 and 2 = 0 volts. Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to 1, and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 3 and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 1 and 5 = 10 volts. Between points 2 and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 1 and 6 = 10 volts. Between points 2 and 5 = 10 volts. Between points 4 and 5 = 0 volts. Points 4, 5, and 6 are between points 5 and 6 = 0 volts. Between points 1 and 3 = 0 volts. Between points 4 and 6 = 0 volts. This makes sense mathematically, too. With a 10 volt battery and a  $5 \Omega$  resistor, the circuit current will be 2 amps. With wire resistance being zero, the voltage drop across any continuous stretch of wire can be determined through Ohm's Law as such: Should be obvious that the calculated voltage drop across where wire is assumed to have zero resistance will always be zero, no matter what the magnitude of current, since zero multiplied by anything equals zero. Because common points in a circuit will exhibit the same relative voltage and resistance measurements, wires connecting common points are often labeled with the same designation. This is not to say that the terminal connection points are labeled the same, just the connecting wires. Take this circuit as an example: 5.0 Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to each other, so the wire connecting point 1 to 2 is labeled the same (wire 2) as the wire connecting point 2 to 3, but they should bear the exact same label. The same goes for the wires connecting points 6, 5, and 4. Knowing that electrically common points have zero voltage drop between them is a valuable troubleshooting principle. If I measure for voltage between points in a circuit that are supposed to be common to each other, I should read zero. If, however, I read substantial voltage between those two points, then I know with certainty that they cannot be electrically common but they register otherwise. If those points are supposed to be electrically common but they register otherwise, then I know that there is an "open failure" between those points. One final note: for most practical purposes, wire conductors can be assumed to possess zero resistance from end to end. In reality, however, there will always be some small amount of resistance encountered along the length of a wire, unless it's a superconducting wire. Knowing this, we need to bear in mind that the principles learned here about electrically common points are all valid to a large degree, but not to an absolute degree. That is, the rule that electrically common points are guaranteed to have zero voltage between them is more accurately stated as such: electrically common points will have very little voltage dropped between them. That small, virtually unavoidable trace of resistance found in any piece of connecting wire is bound to create a small voltage across the length of it as current is conducted through. So long as you understand that these rules are based upon ideal conditions, you won't be perplexed when you come across some condition appearing to be an exception to the rule. • REVIEW: Connecting wires in a circuit are assumed to have zero resistance unless otherwise stated. Wires in a circuit can be shortened or lengthened without impacting the circuit's function—all that matters is that the components are attached to one another in the same sequence. • Points directly connected together in a circuit by zero resistance (wire) are considered to be electrically common. Electrically common points, with zero resistance dropped between them, regardless of the magnitude of current (ideally). • The voltage or resistance readings referenced between sets of electrically common points will be the same. • These rules apply to ideal conditions, where connecting wires are assumed to possess absolutely zero resistance. In real life this will probably not be the case, but wire resistances should be low enough so that the general principles stated here still hold. We can trace the direction that electrons will flow in the same circuit by starting at the negative (-) terminal and following through to the positive (+) terminal of the battery, the only source of voltage in the circuit. From this we can see that the electrons are moving counter-clockwise, from point 6 to 5 to 4 to 3 to 2 to 1 and back to 6 again. As the current encounters the  $5 \Omega$  resistor, voltage is dropped across the resistor's ends. The polarity of this voltage drop is negative (-) at point 4 with respect to positive (+) at point 3. We can mark the polarity of the resistor's voltage drop with these negative and positive symbols, in accordance with the direction of current (which ever end of the resistor the current is entering at) excepting: We'd have to make our table of voltages a little more complex by marking the polarity of the voltage for each pair of points in this circuit: Between points 1 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 2 (-) = 0 volts. Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to 1, and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 4 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 4 (+) and 5 (-) = 0 volts. Points 4, 5, and 6 are between points 5 and 6 = 0 volts. Between points 1 and 3 = 0 volts. Between points 4 and 6 = 0 volts. This makes sense mathematically, too. With a 10 volt battery and a  $5 \Omega$  resistor, the circuit current will be 2 amps. With wire resistance being zero, the voltage drop across any continuous stretch of wire can be determined through Ohm's Law as such: Should be obvious that the calculated voltage drop across where wire is assumed to have zero resistance will always be zero, no matter what the magnitude of current, since zero multiplied by anything equals zero. Because common points in a circuit will exhibit the same relative voltage and resistance measurements, wires connecting common points are often labeled with the same designation. This is not to say that the terminal connection points are labeled the same, just the connecting wires. Take this circuit as an example: 5.0 Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to each other, so the wire connecting point 1 to 2 is labeled the same (wire 2) as the wire connecting point 2 to 3, but they should bear the exact same label. The same goes for the wires connecting points 6, 5, and 4. Knowing that electrically common points have zero voltage drop between them is a valuable troubleshooting principle. If I measure for voltage between points in a circuit that are supposed to be common to each other, I should read zero. If, however, I read substantial voltage between those two points, then I know with certainty that they cannot be electrically common but they register otherwise. If those points are supposed to be electrically common but they register otherwise, then I know that there is an "open failure" between those points. One final note: for most practical purposes, wire conductors can be assumed to possess zero resistance from end to end. In reality, however, there will always be some small amount of resistance encountered along the length of a wire, unless it's a superconducting wire. Knowing this, we need to bear in mind that the principles learned here about electrically common points are all valid to a large degree, but not to an absolute degree. That is, the rule that electrically common points are guaranteed to have zero voltage between them is more accurately stated as such: electrically common points will have very little voltage dropped between them. That small, virtually unavoidable trace of resistance found in any piece of connecting wire is bound to create a small voltage across the length of it as current is conducted through. So long as you understand that these rules are based upon ideal conditions, you won't be perplexed when you come across some condition appearing to be an exception to the rule. • REVIEW: Connecting wires in a circuit are assumed to have zero resistance unless otherwise stated. Wires in a circuit can be shortened or lengthened without impacting the circuit's function—all that matters is that the components are attached to one another in the same sequence. • Points directly connected together in a circuit by zero resistance (wire) are considered to be electrically common. Electrically common points, with zero resistance dropped between them, regardless of the magnitude of current (ideally). • The voltage or resistance readings referenced between sets of electrically common points will be the same. • These rules apply to ideal conditions, where connecting wires are assumed to possess absolutely zero resistance. In real life this will probably not be the case, but wire resistances should be low enough so that the general principles stated here still hold. We can trace the direction that electrons will flow in the same circuit by starting at the negative (-) terminal and following through to the positive (+) terminal of the battery, the only source of voltage in the circuit. From this we can see that the electrons are moving counter-clockwise, from point 6 to 5 to 4 to 3 to 2 to 1 and back to 6 again. As the current encounters the  $5 \Omega$  resistor, voltage is dropped across the resistor's ends. The polarity of this voltage drop is negative (-) at point 4 with respect to positive (+) at point 3. We can mark the polarity of the resistor's voltage drop with these negative and positive symbols, in accordance with the direction of current (which ever end of the resistor the current is entering at) excepting: We'd have to make our table of voltages a little more complex by marking the polarity of the voltage for each pair of points in this circuit: Between points 1 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 2 (-) = 0 volts. Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to 1, and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 4 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 4 (+) and 5 (-) = 0 volts. Points 4, 5, and 6 are between points 5 and 6 = 0 volts. Between points 1 and 3 = 0 volts. Between points 4 and 6 = 0 volts. This makes sense mathematically, too. With a 10 volt battery and a  $5 \Omega$  resistor, the circuit current will be 2 amps. With wire resistance being zero, the voltage drop across any continuous stretch of wire can be determined through Ohm's Law as such: Should be obvious that the calculated voltage drop across where wire is assumed to have zero resistance will always be zero, no matter what the magnitude of current, since zero multiplied by anything equals zero. Because common points in a circuit will exhibit the same relative voltage and resistance measurements, wires connecting common points are often labeled with the same designation. This is not to say that the terminal connection points are labeled the same, just the connecting wires. Take this circuit as an example: 5.0 Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to each other, so the wire connecting point 1 to 2 is labeled the same (wire 2) as the wire connecting point 2 to 3, but they should bear the exact same label. The same goes for the wires connecting points 6, 5, and 4. Knowing that electrically common points have zero voltage drop between them is a valuable troubleshooting principle. If I measure for voltage between points in a circuit that are supposed to be common to each other, I should read zero. If, however, I read substantial voltage between those two points, then I know with certainty that they cannot be electrically common but they register otherwise. If those points are supposed to be electrically common but they register otherwise, then I know that there is an "open failure" between those points. One final note: for most practical purposes, wire conductors can be assumed to possess zero resistance from end to end. In reality, however, there will always be some small amount of resistance encountered along the length of a wire, unless it's a superconducting wire. Knowing this, we need to bear in mind that the principles learned here about electrically common points are all valid to a large degree, but not to an absolute degree. That is, the rule that electrically common points are guaranteed to have zero voltage between them is more accurately stated as such: electrically common points will have very little voltage dropped between them. That small, virtually unavoidable trace of resistance found in any piece of connecting wire is bound to create a small voltage across the length of it as current is conducted through. So long as you understand that these rules are based upon ideal conditions, you won't be perplexed when you come across some condition appearing to be an exception to the rule. • REVIEW: Connecting wires in a circuit are assumed to have zero resistance unless otherwise stated. Wires in a circuit can be shortened or lengthened without impacting the circuit's function—all that matters is that the components are attached to one another in the same sequence. • Points directly connected together in a circuit by zero resistance (wire) are considered to be electrically common. Electrically common points, with zero resistance dropped between them, regardless of the magnitude of current (ideally). • The voltage or resistance readings referenced between sets of electrically common points will be the same. • These rules apply to ideal conditions, where connecting wires are assumed to possess absolutely zero resistance. In real life this will probably not be the case, but wire resistances should be low enough so that the general principles stated here still hold. We can trace the direction that electrons will flow in the same circuit by starting at the negative (-) terminal and following through to the positive (+) terminal of the battery, the only source of voltage in the circuit. From this we can see that the electrons are moving counter-clockwise, from point 6 to 5 to 4 to 3 to 2 to 1 and back to 6 again. As the current encounters the  $5 \Omega$  resistor, voltage is dropped across the resistor's ends. The polarity of this voltage drop is negative (-) at point 4 with respect to positive (+) at point 3. We can mark the polarity of the resistor's voltage drop with these negative and positive symbols, in accordance with the direction of current (which ever end of the resistor the current is entering at) excepting: We'd have to make our table of voltages a little more complex by marking the polarity of the voltage for each pair of points in this circuit: Between points 1 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 2 (-) = 0 volts. Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to 1, and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 4 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 4 (+) and 5 (-) = 0 volts. Points 4, 5, and 6 are between points 5 and 6 = 0 volts. Between points 1 and 3 = 0 volts. Between points 4 and 6 = 0 volts. This makes sense mathematically, too. With a 10 volt battery and a  $5 \Omega$  resistor, the circuit current will be 2 amps. With wire resistance being zero, the voltage drop across any continuous stretch of wire can be determined through Ohm's Law as such: Should be obvious that the calculated voltage drop across where wire is assumed to have zero resistance will always be zero, no matter what the magnitude of current, since zero multiplied by anything equals zero. Because common points in a circuit will exhibit the same relative voltage and resistance measurements, wires connecting common points are often labeled with the same designation. This is not to say that the terminal connection points are labeled the same, just the connecting wires. Take this circuit as an example: 5.0 Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to each other, so the wire connecting point 1 to 2 is labeled the same (wire 2) as the wire connecting point 2 to 3, but they should bear the exact same label. The same goes for the wires connecting points 6, 5, and 4. Knowing that electrically common points have zero voltage drop between them is a valuable troubleshooting principle. If I measure for voltage between points in a circuit that are supposed to be common to each other, I should read zero. If, however, I read substantial voltage between those two points, then I know with certainty that they cannot be electrically common but they register otherwise. If those points are supposed to be electrically common but they register otherwise, then I know that there is an "open failure" between those points. One final note: for most practical purposes, wire conductors can be assumed to possess zero resistance from end to end. In reality, however, there will always be some small amount of resistance encountered along the length of a wire, unless it's a superconducting wire. Knowing this, we need to bear in mind that the principles learned here about electrically common points are all valid to a large degree, but not to an absolute degree. That is, the rule that electrically common points are guaranteed to have zero voltage between them is more accurately stated as such: electrically common points will have very little voltage dropped between them. That small, virtually unavoidable trace of resistance found in any piece of connecting wire is bound to create a small voltage across the length of it as current is conducted through. So long as you understand that these rules are based upon ideal conditions, you won't be perplexed when you come across some condition appearing to be an exception to the rule. • REVIEW: Connecting wires in a circuit are assumed to have zero resistance unless otherwise stated. Wires in a circuit can be shortened or lengthened without impacting the circuit's function—all that matters is that the components are attached to one another in the same sequence. • Points directly connected together in a circuit by zero resistance (wire) are considered to be electrically common. Electrically common points, with zero resistance dropped between them, regardless of the magnitude of current (ideally). • The voltage or resistance readings referenced between sets of electrically common points will be the same. • These rules apply to ideal conditions, where connecting wires are assumed to possess absolutely zero resistance. In real life this will probably not be the case, but wire resistances should be low enough so that the general principles stated here still hold. We can trace the direction that electrons will flow in the same circuit by starting at the negative (-) terminal and following through to the positive (+) terminal of the battery, the only source of voltage in the circuit. From this we can see that the electrons are moving counter-clockwise, from point 6 to 5 to 4 to 3 to 2 to 1 and back to 6 again. As the current encounters the  $5 \Omega$  resistor, voltage is dropped across the resistor's ends. The polarity of this voltage drop is negative (-) at point 4 with respect to positive (+) at point 3. We can mark the polarity of the resistor's voltage drop with these negative and positive symbols, in accordance with the direction of current (which ever end of the resistor the current is entering at) excepting: We'd have to make our table of voltages a little more complex by marking the polarity of the voltage for each pair of points in this circuit: Between points 1 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 4 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 5 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 6 (-) = 10 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 2 (-) = 0 volts. Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to 1, and 4 = 10 volts. Between points 3 (+) and 4 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 2 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 1 (+) and 3 (-) = 0 volts. Between points 4 (+) and 5 (-) = 0 volts. Points 4, 5, and 6 are between points 5 and 6 = 0 volts. Between points 1 and 3 = 0 volts. Between points 4 and 6 = 0 volts. This makes sense mathematically, too. With a 10 volt battery and a  $5 \Omega$  resistor, the circuit current will be 2 amps. With wire resistance being zero, the voltage drop across any continuous stretch of wire can be determined through Ohm's Law as such: Should be obvious that the calculated voltage drop across where wire is assumed to have zero resistance will always be zero, no matter what the magnitude of current, since zero multiplied by anything equals zero. Because common points in a circuit will exhibit the same relative voltage and resistance measurements, wires connecting common points are often labeled with the same designation. This is not to say that the terminal connection points are labeled the same, just the connecting wires. Take this circuit as an example: 5.0 Points 1, 2, and 3 are all common to each other, so the wire connecting point 1 to 2 is labeled the same (wire 2) as the wire connecting point 2 to 3, but they should bear the exact same label. The same goes for the wires connecting points 6, 5, and 4. Knowing that electrically common points have zero voltage drop between them is a valuable troubleshooting principle. If I measure for voltage between points in a circuit that are supposed to be common to each other, I should read zero. If, however, I read substantial voltage between those two points, then I know with certainty that they cannot be electrically common but they register otherwise. If those points are supposed to be electrically common but they register otherwise, then I know that there is an "open failure" between those points. One final note: for most practical purposes, wire conductors can be assumed to possess zero resistance from end to end. In reality, however, there will always be some small amount of resistance encountered along the length of a wire, unless it's a superconducting wire. Knowing this, we need to bear in mind that the principles learned here about electrically common points are all valid to a large degree, but not to an absolute degree. That is, the rule that electrically common points are guaranteed to have zero voltage between them is more accurately stated as such: electrically common points will have very little voltage dropped between them. That small, virtually unavoidable trace of resistance found in any piece of connecting wire is bound to create a small voltage across the length of it as current is conducted through. So long as you understand that these rules are based upon ideal conditions, you won't be perplexed when you come across some condition appearing to be an exception to the rule. • REVIEW: Connecting wires in a circuit are assumed to have zero resistance unless otherwise stated. Wires in a circuit can be shortened or lengthened without impacting the circuit's function—all that matters is that the components are attached to one another in the same sequence. • Points directly connected together in a circuit by zero resistance (wire) are considered to be electrically common. Electrically common points, with zero resistance dropped between them, regardless of the magnitude of current (ideally). • The voltage or resistance readings referenced between sets of electrically common points will be the same. • These rules apply to ideal conditions, where connecting wires are assumed to possess absolutely zero resistance. In real life this will probably not be the case, but wire resistances should be low enough so that the general principles stated here still hold. We can trace the direction that electrons will flow in the same circuit by starting at the negative (-) terminal and following through to the positive (+) terminal of the battery, the only source of voltage in the circuit. From this we can see that the electrons are moving

personal lock, you must then double-check to see if the voltage really has been secured in a zero state. One way to check is to see if the machine (or whatever it is that's being worked on) will start up if the Start switch or button is activated. If it starts, then you know you haven't successfully secured the electrical power from it. Additionally, you should always check for the presence of dangerous voltage with a measuring device before actually touching any conductors in the circuit. To be safest, you should follow this procedure of checking, using, and then checking your meter. Check to see that your meter indicates properly on a known source of voltage. Use your meter to test the locked-out circuit for any dangerous voltage. Check your meter once more on a known source of voltage to see that it still indicates as it should. While this may seem excessive or even paranoid, it is a proven technique for preventing electrical shock. I once had a meter fail to indicate voltage when it should have been while checking a circuit to see if it was "dead." Had I not used other means to check for the presence of voltage, I might not be alive today to write this. There's always the chance that your voltage meter will be defective just when you need it to check for a dangerous condition. Following these steps will help ensure that you're never misled into a deadly situation by a broken meter. Finally, the electrical worker will arrive at a point in the safety check procedure where it is deemed safe to actually touch the conductor(s). Bear in mind that after all of the precautionary steps have taken, it is still possible (although very unlikely) that a dangerous voltage may be present. One final precautionary measure to take at this point is to make momentary contact with the conductor(s) with the back of the hand before grasping it or a metal tool to touch it. Why? If, for some reason there is still voltage present between that conductor and earth ground, finger motion from the shock reaction (clenching into a fist) will break contact with the conductor. Please note that this is a absolutely the last step of any electrical worker should ever take before beginning work on a power system, and should never be used as an alternative method of checking for dangerous voltage. If you ever have reason to doubt the worthiness of your meter, use another meter to obtain a "second opinion." • REVIEW: Zero Energy State: When a circuit, device, or system has been secured so that no potential energy exists to harm someone who works on it. Disconnect switch devices must be present in a properly designed electrical system to allow for convenient readiness of a Zero Energy State. Temporary grounding or shorting wires may be connected to a bus being serviced for extra protection to personnel working on that load. Lock-out/Tag-out works like this: when working on a system in a Zero Energy State, the worker places a personal padlock or combination lock on every energized device relevant to his or her task on the system. Also, a tag is hung on every one of those locks describing the nature and duration of the work to be performed. Always verify that the system has been secured in a Zero Energy State with test equipment after "locking out." Use your meter to test your meter and then double-checking the circuit to verify that it is working properly. When this is done, the worker can then proceed to actually touch the conductor(s) of the system, do so first with the back of one hand, and if a shock should occur, will pull the fingers from the conductor. Despite lock-out/tag-out procedures, but however they may occur, they still do happen, and anyone working around electrical systems should be aware of what needs to be done for a victim of electrical shock. If you see someone lying unconscious or "on the circuit," the very first thing to do is shut off the power by opening the appropriate disconnect switch or circuit breaker. If someone touches another person being shocked, there may be enough voltage dropped across the body of the victim to shock the would-be rescuer, thereby "freezing" two people instead of one. Don't be a hero. Electrons don't respect heroism. Make sure the situation is safe for you to step into, or else you will be the next victim, and nobody will benefit from your efforts. One problem with this rule is that the source of power may not be known, or easily found in time to save the victim of shock. If a shock victim's breathing and heartbeat are paralyzed by electric current, their survival time is very limited. If the shock current is of sufficient magnitude, their flesh and internal organs may be quickly roasted by the power the seconds it runs through their body. If the power disconnect switch cannot be located quickly enough, it may be possible to dislodge the victim from the circuit they're frozen on to by trying them or hitting them away with a dry wooden board or piece of nonmetallic conduit, common items to be found in industrial construction scenes. Another item that could be used to safely drag a "frozen" victim away from contact with power is an extension cord. By looping a cord around their torso and using it as a rope to pull them away from the circuit, their grip on the conductor(s) may be broken. Bear in mind that the victim will be holding on to the conductor with all their strength, so pulling them away probably won't be easy! Once the victim has been safely disconnected from the source of electric power, the immediate medical concerns for the victim should be respiration and circulation (breathing and pulse). If the rescuer is trained in CPR, they should follow the appropriate steps of checking for breathing and pulse, then applying CPR as necessary to keep the victim's body from deoxygenating. The cardinal rule of CPR is to keep going until you have been relieved by qualified personnel. If the victim is conscious, it is best to have them lie still until qualified emergency response personnel arrive on the scene. There is the possibility of the victim going into a state of physiological shock - a condition of insufficient blood circulation different from electrical shock - and so they should be kept as warm and comfortable as possible. An electrical shock insufficient to cause immediate interruption of the heartbeat may be strong enough to cause heart irregularities or a heart attack up to several hours later, so the victim should pay close attention to their own condition after the incident, ideally under supervision. • REVIEW: A person being shocked needs to be disconnected from the source of electrical power. Locate the disconnecting switch/breaker and turn it off. Alternatively, if the disconnecting device cannot be located, the victim can be pried or pulled from the circuit by an insulated object such as a dry wood board, piece of nonmetallic conduit, or rubber electrical cord. • Victims need immediate medical response: check for breathing and pulse, then apply CPR as necessary to maintain oxygenation. • If a victim is still consciousness after having been shocked, they need to be closely monitored and cared for until trained emergency response personnel arrive. There is danger of physiological shock, so keep the victim warm and comfortable. • Shock victims may suffer heart trouble up to several hours after being shocked. The danger of electric shock does not end after the immediate medical attention. Of course there is danger of electrical shock when directly performing manual work on an electrical power system. However, electric shock hazards exist in many other places, thanks to the widespread use of electric power in our lives. As we saw earlier, skin and body resistance has a lot to do with the relative hazard of electric circuits. The higher the body's resistance, the less likely harmful current will result from any given amount of voltage. Conversely, the lower the body's resistance, the more likely for injury to occur from the application of a voltage. The easiest way to decrease skin resistance is to get it wet. Therefore, touching electrical devices with wet hands, wet feet, or especially in a sweaty condition (salt water is a much better conductor of electricity than fresh water) is dangerous. In the household, the bathroom is one of the more likely places where wet people may contact electrical appliances, and so shock hazard is a definite threat there. Good bathroom design will locate power receptacles away from bathtubs, showers, and sinks to discourage the use of appliances nearby. Telephones that plug into a wall socket are also sources of hazardous voltage (the open circuit voltage is 48 volts DC, and the ringing signal is 150 volts AC - remember that any voltage over 30 is considered potentially dangerous!). Appliances such as telephones and radios should never, ever be used while sitting in a bathtub. Even battery-operated devices should be avoided. Some battery-operated devices employ voltage-increasing circuitry capable of generating lethal potentials. Swimming pools are another source of trouble, since people often operate radios and other powered appliances nearby. The National Electrical Code requires that special shock-detecting receptacles called Ground-Fault Current Interrupting (GFI or GFCI) be installed in wet and outdoor areas to help prevent shock incidents. More on these devices in a later section of this chapter. These special devices have no doubt saved many lives, but they can be no substitute for common sense and diligent precaution. As with firearms, the best "safety" is an informed and conscientious operator. Extension cords, so commonly used at home and in industry, are also sources of potential hazard. All cords should be regularly inspected for abrasion or cracking of insulation, and repaired immediately. One sure method of removing a damaged cord from service is to unplug it from the receptacle, then cut off that plug (the "male" plug) with a pair of side-cutting pliers to ensure that no one can use it until it is fixed. This is important on jobsites, where many people share the same equipment, and not all people there may be aware of the hazards. Any power tool showing evidence of electrical problems should be immediately serviced as well. I've heard several horror stories of people who continue to work with hand tools that periodically shock them. Remember, electricity can kill, and the death it brings can be gruesome. Like extension cords, a bad power tool can be removed from service by unplugging it and cutting off the plug at the end of the cord. Downed power lines are an obvious source of electric shock hazard and should be avoided at all costs. The voltages present between power lines or between a power line and earth ground are typically very high (2400 volts being one of the lowest voltages used in residential distribution systems). If a power line is broken and the metal conductor falls to the ground, the immediate result will usually be a tremendous amount of arcing (sparks produced), often enough to dislodge chunks of concrete or asphalt from the road surface, and reports rivaling that of a rifle or shotgun. To come into direct contact with a downed power line is almost sure to cause death, but other hazards exist which are not so obvious. When a line touches the ground, current travels between that downed conductor and the nearest grounding point in the system, thus establishing a circuit: the earth, being a conductor (if only a poor one), will conduct current between the downed line and the nearest system ground point, which will be some kind of conductor buried in the ground for good contact. Being that the earth is a much poorer conductor of electricity than the metal cables strong along the power poles, there will be substantial voltage dropped between the point of cable contact with the ground and the grounding conductor, and little voltage dropped along the length of the cabling (the following figures are very approximate): if the distance between the two ground contact points (the downed cable and the system ground) is small, there will be substantial voltage dropped along short distances between the two points. Therefore, a person standing on the ground between those two points will be in danger of receiving an electric shock by intercepting a voltage between their two feet! Again, these voltage figures are very approximate, but they serve to illustrate a potential hazard: that a person can become a victim of electric shock from a downed power line without even coming into contact with that line! One practical precaution a person could take if they see a power line falling towards the ground is to only contact the ground at one point, either by running away (when you run, only one foot contacts the ground at any given time), or if there's nowhere to run, by standing on one foot. Obviously, if there's somewhere safer to run, running is the best option. By eliminating two points of contact with the ground, there will be no chance of applying deadly voltage across the body through both legs. • REVIEW: Wet conditions increase risk of electric shock by lowering skin resistance. • Immediately replace worn or damaged extension cords and power tools. You can prevent innocent use of a bad cord or tool by cutting the male plug off the cord (while its unplugged from the receptacle, of course). • Power lines are very dangerous and should be avoided at all costs. If you see a line about to hit the ground, stand on one foot or run (only one foot contacting the ground) to prevent shock from voltage dropped across the ground between the line and the system ground point. As we saw earlier, a power system with no secure connection to earth ground is unpredictable from a safety perspective: there's no way to guarantee how much or how little voltage will exist between any point in the circuit and earth ground. By grounding one side of the power system's voltage source, at least one point in the circuit can be assured to be electrically common with the earth and therefore present no shock hazard. In a simple two-wire electrical power system, the conductor connected to ground is called the neutral, and the other conductor is called the hot, also known as the live or the active. Source Load "Hot" conductor "Neutral" conductor Ground point As far as the voltage source and load are concerned, grounding makes no difference at all. It exists purely for the sake of personnel safety, by guaranteeing that at least one point in the circuit will be safe to touch (zero voltage to ground). The "Hot" side of the circuit, named for its potential for shock hazard, will be dangerous to touch unless voltage is secured by proper disconnection from the source (ideally, using a systematic lock-out/tag-out procedure). This imbalance of hazard between the two conductors in a simple power circuit is important to understand. The following series of illustrations are based on common household wiring systems (using DC voltage sources rather than AC for simplicity). If we take a look at a simple, household electrical appliance such as a toaster with a conductive metal case, we can see that there should be no shock hazard when it is operating properly. The wires conducting power to the toaster's heating element are insulated from touching the metal case (and each other) by rubber or plastic. However, if one of the wires inside the toaster were to accidentally come in contact with the metal case, the case will be made electrically common to the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To help ensure that the former failure is less likely than the latter, engineers try to design appliances in such a way as to minimize hot conductor contact with the wire, and touching the case will be just as hazardous as touching the wire bare. Whether or not this presents a shock hazard depends on which wires are actually touching. To

2k1Just as in the case of series circuits, the same caveat for Ohm's Law applies: values for voltage, current, and resistance must be in the same context in order for the calculations to work correctly. However, in the above example circuit, we can immediately apply Ohm's Law to each resistor to find its current because we know the voltage across each resistor (9 Volts) and the resistance of each resistor: R3 I1 R1 = 9 V 10 kΩ = 0.9 mA I2 R2 = 9 V = 2 kΩ 4.5 mAamps Ohms R1 R2 R3 Total 9 9 9 10k 2k1 10kΩm's LawAt this point we still don't know what the total current or total resistance for this parallel circuit is, so we can't apply Ohm's Law to the rightmost ("Total") column. However, if we think carefully about what is happening it should become apparent that the total current must equal the sum of all individual resistor ("branch") currents: I = + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 R8 the total current exits the negative (-) battery terminal at point B and travels through the circuit, some of the flow splits off at point 7 to go up through R1, some more splits off at point 6 to go up through R2, and the remainder goes up through R3. Like a river branching into several smaller streams, the combined flow rate of all streams must equal the flow rate of the whole river. The same thing is encountered where the sum of the branch currents through R1, R2, and R3 is this the second principle of parallel circuits: the total circuit current is equal to the sum of the individual branch currents. Using this principle, we can fill in the I T spot on our table with the sum of R1, R2, and R3: Rule of parallel circuits I total = 1 + 2 + 3 + If finally applying Ohm's Law to the rightmost ("Total") column, we can calculate the total circuit resistance. Please note something very important here. In the parallel circuit, however, the opposite is true: we say that the individual resistances diminish rather than add to make the total. This principle completes our triad of "rules" for parallel circuits, just as series circuits were found to have three rules for voltage, current, and resistance. Mathematically, the relationship between total resistance and individual resistances in a parallel circuit looks like this: The same basic form of equation works for any number of resistors connected together in parallel, just add as many "R"s from the denominator of the fraction needed to accommodate all parallel resistors in the circuit. Just as with the series circuit, we can use computer analysis to double-check our calculations. First, of course, we have to describe our example circuit to the computer in terms it can understand. I'll start by re-drawing the circuit: I = + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 R8 R1 R2 R3 10 kΩ 2 kΩ 4.5 kΩOnce again we find that the original components are not yet compatible with the computer. This is where the SPICE program comes in. The SPICE program is a computer program that can simulate electrical circuits. It can simulate one or two points of points. For our simple circuit, the wires connecting the top of the resistors will have one node number, while the wires connecting the bottom of the components will have another. Staying true to the convention of SPICE, we need to insert zero-voltage sources in line (in series) with each component, and then reference our current measurements to those sources. For whatever reason, the creators of the SPICE program made it so that current could only be calculated through a voltage source. This is a somewhat annoying demand of the SPICE simulation. With each of these "dummy" voltage sources added, some new node numbers must be created to connect them to their respective branch resistors: The dummy voltage sources are all set at 0 Volts so as to have no impact on the operation of the circuit. The circuit description file, or netlist, looks like this: Parallel circuit v1 1.0 1.2 0 10k r2 3.0 2k r3 4.0 1k v1 1.2 3.0 0 v2 3.1 3.0 4 v1 0.9 1.0 print dc(v1) v2(v3) v3(v4) print dc(v1) v2(v3) v3(v4) endRunning the computer analysis, we get these results (I've annotated the printout with descriptive labels): 0.000E-04 4.500E-03 0.000E-03 battery R1 current R2 current R3 current Voltage These values do indeed match those calculated through Ohm's Law earlier: 0.9 mA for R1, 4.5 mA for R2, and 9.0 mA for R3. Being connected in parallel, of course, all resistors have the same voltage dropped across them (9 Volts, same as the battery). In summary, a parallel circuit is defined as one where all components are connected between the same set of electrically common points. Another way of saying this is that all components are connected across each other's terminals. From this definition, three rules of parallel circuits follow: all components share the same voltage; resistances diminish to equal a smaller, total resistance; and branch currents add to equal a larger, total current. Just as in the case of series circuits, all of these rules find root in the definition of a parallel circuit. If you understand that definition fully, then the rules are nothing more than footnotes to the definition. • REVIEW+ Components in a parallel circuit share the same voltage. E T otal = E = E = ... . In When students first see the parallel resistance equation, the natural question to ask is, "Where did that thing come from?" It is truly an odd piece of arithmetic, and its origin deserves a good explanation. Resistance, by definition, is the measure of friction a component presents to the flow of electrons through it. Resistance is symbolized by the capital letter "R" and is measured in the unit of "ohm." However, we can also think of this electrical property in terms of its inverse: how easy it is for electrons to flow through a component, rather than how difficult. If resistance is the word we use to symbolize the measure of how difficult it is for electrons to flow, then a good word to express how easy it is for electrons to flow would be conductance. Mathematically, conductance is the reciprocal, or inverse, of resistance: The greater the resistance, the less the conductance, and vice versa. This should make intuitive sense, resistance and conductance being opposite ways to denote the same essential electrical property. If two components' resistances are compared and it is found that component "A" has one-half the resistance of component "B," then we could alternatively express this relationship by saying that component "A" is twice as conductive as component "B." If component "A" has but one-third the resistance of component "B," and so on. Carrying this idea further, a symbol and unit were created to represent conductance. The symbol is the capital letter "G" and the unit is the mho, which is "ohm" spelled backwards (and you didn't think electronics engineers had any sense of humor!). Despite its appropriateness, the unit of the mho was replaced in later years by the symbol of siemens (abbreviated by the capital letter "S"). This decision to change unit names is reminiscent of the change from the temperature unit of degrees Centigrade to degrees Celsius, or the change from the unit of frequency c.p.s. (cycles per second) to Hertz. If you're looking for a pattern here, Siemens, Celsius, and Hertz are all surnames of famous scientists, the names of which, sadly, tell us less about the nature of the units than the units' original designations. As a footnote, the unit of siemens is never expressed without the last letter "s." In other words, there is no such thing as a unit of "siemens" as there is in the case of the "ohm" or the "mho." The reason for this is the proper spelling of the respective scientists' surnames. The unit for electrical resistance was named after someone named "Ohm," whereas the unit for electrical conductance was named after someone named "Siemens," therefore it would be improper to "singularize" the latter unit as its final "s" does not denote plurality. Back to our parallel circuit example, we should be able to see that multiple paths (branches) for current reduces total resistance for the whole circuit, as electrons are able to flow easier through the whole network of multiple branches than through any one of those branch resistances alone. In terms of resistance, additional branches result in a greater total (electrons flow with greater conductance): Total parallel resistance is less than any one of the individual branch resistances because parallel resistors resist less together than they would separately. To be more precise, the total conductance in a parallel circuit is equal to the sum of the individual conductances: If we know that conductance is nothing more than the mathematical reciprocal (1/R) of resistance, we can translate each term of the above formula into resistance by substituting the reciprocal of each respective conductance: Solving the above equation for total resistance (instead of the reciprocal of total resistance), we can invert (reciprocate) both sides of the equation. So, we arrive at our cryptic resistance formula at last! Conductance (G) is seldom used as a practical measurement, and so the above formula is a common one to see in the analysis of parallel circuits. • REVIEW+ Conductance is the opposite of resistance: the measure of how easy it is for electrons to flow through something. Conductance is symbolized with the letter "G" and is measured in units of mhos or Siemens. Mathematically, conductance equals the reciprocal of resistance:  $G = 1/R$  When calculating the power dissipation of resistive components, use any one of the three power equations to derive the answer from values of voltage, current, and/or resistance pertaining to each component: This is easily managed by adding another row to our familiar An interesting rule for total power versus individual power is that it is additive for any configuration of circuit: series, parallel, series/parallel, or otherwise. Power is a measure of rate of work, and since power dissipated must equal the total power applied by the source(s) (as per the Law of Conservation of Energy in physics), circuit configuration has no effect on the mathematics. • REVIEW+ Power is additive in any configuration of resistive circuit: P T otal = P1 + P2 + ... + PN the of the most common mistakes made by beginning electronics students in their application of Ohm's Laws is mixing the contexts of voltage, current, and resistance. In other words, a student might mistakenly use a value for I through one resistor and the value for E across a set of interconnected resistors, thinking that they'll arrive at the resistance of that one resistor. Not so! Remember this important rule: The variables used in Ohm's Law equations must be common to the same two points in the circuit under consideration. I cannot overemphasize this rule. This is especially important in series-parallel combination circuits where nearby components may have different values for both voltage drop and current. When using Ohm's Law to calculate a variable pertaining to a single component, be sure the voltage you're referencing is solely through that single component and the current you're referencing is solely through that single component only! A good way to remember this is to pay close attention to the two points terminating the component or set of components being analyzed, making sure that the voltage in question is across those two points, that the current in question is the electron flow from one of those points all the way to the other point, that the resistance in question is the equivalent of a single resistor between those two points, and that the power in question is the total power dissipated by all components between those two points. The "table" method presented for both series and parallel circuits in this chapter is a good way to keep the context of Ohm's Law correct for any kind of circuit configuration. In Equal Add Add P total = P1 + P2 + P3 Ddiminish E total = E1 + E2 = E3 I total = 1 + 1 + 2 + 3 Not only does the "table" method simplify the management of all relevant quantities, it also facilitates cross-checking of answers by making it easy to solve for the original unknown variables through other methods, or by working backwards to solve for the initially given values from your solutions. For example, if you have just solved for all unknown voltages, currents, and resistances in a circuit, you can check your work by adding a row at the bottom for power calculations on each resistor, seeing whether or not all the individual power values add up to the total power. If not, then you must have made a mistake somewhere! While this technique of "cross-checking" your work is nothing new, using the table to arrange all the data for the cross-checks results in a minimum of confusion. • REVIEW+ Apply Ohm's Law to vertical columns in the table. • Apply rules of series/parallel to horizontal rows in the table. • Check your calculations by working "backwards" to try to arrive at originally given values (from your first calculated answers), or by solving for a quantity using more than one method (from different given values). The job of a technician frequently entails "troubleshooting" (locating and correcting a problem) in malfunctioning circuits. Good troubleshooting is a demanding and rewarding effort, requiring a thorough understanding of the basic concepts, the ability to formulate hypotheses (proposed explanations of an effect), the ability to judge the value of different hypotheses based on their probability (how likely one particular cause may be over another), and a sense of creativity in applying a solution to rectify the problem. While it is possible to distill these skills into a scientific methodology, most practical troubleshooters would agree that troubleshooting involves a touch of art, and that it can take years of experience to fully develop this art. An essential skill to have is a ready and intuitive understanding of how component faults affect circuits in different configurations. We will explore some of the effects of component faults in both series and parallel circuits here, then to a greater degree at the end of the "Series-Parallel Combination Circuits" chapter. Let's start with a simple series circuit: 100 Ω 300 Ω 50 Ω with all components in this circuit functioning at their proper values, we can mathematically determine all currents and voltage drops. Now we suppose that R2 is shorted. Shorted means that the resistor is now a straight wire of zero length or resistance. The circuit would behave as though a "jumper" wire were connected across R2 (in this case you were wondering, "What is a jumper?") as a common wire for a temporary wire connection in a circuit). What causes the shorted condition of R2 is not matter to us in this example; we only care about its effect upon the circuit: 100 Ω 300 Ω 50 Ω with R2 shorted, either by a jumper wire or by an internal resistor failure, the total circuit resistance will decrease. Since the voltage output by the battery is a constant (at least in our ideal simulation here), a decrease in total circuit resistance means that total circuit current will increase. As the current increases, the voltage drop across R1 will also create with reaching effects in the rest of the circuit: 100 Ω 300 Ω 50 Ω With R2 at infinite resistance and total resistance being the sum of all individual resistances in a series circuit, the total current decreases to zero. With zero current circuit, there is no electron flow to produce voltage drops across R1 or R3. R2, on the other hand, will manifest the full supply voltage across its terminals. We can apply the same before/after analysis technique to parallel circuits as well. First, we determine what a "healthy" parallel circuit should behave like. Notice that in this parallel circuit, an open branch only affects the current through that branch and the circuit's total current. Total voltage being shared equally across all components in a parallel circuit, will be the same for all resistors. Due to the fact that the voltage source's tendency is to hold voltage constant, its voltage will not change, and being in parallel with all the resistors, it will hold all the resistors' voltages the same as they were before: 9 Volts. Being that voltage is the only common parameter in a parallel circuit, and the other resistors haven't changed resistance value, their respective branch currents remain unchanged. This is what happens in an household lamp circuit: all lamps get their operating voltage from power wiring arranged in a parallel fashion. Turning one lamp on and off (one branch in that parallel circuit closing and opening) doesn't affect the operation of other lamps in the room, only the current in that one lamp (branch circuit) and the total current powering all the lamps in the room. In an ideal case (with perfect voltage sources and zero-resistance connecting wire), shorted resistors in a simple parallel circuit will also have no effect on what's happening in other branches of the circuit. In real life, the effect is not quite the same, and we'll see why in the following example: A shorted resistor (resistor of 0 Ω) would theoretically draw infinite current from any finite source of voltage (I=E/0). In this case, the zero resistance of R2 decreases the circuit total resistance to zero Q as well, increasing total current to a value of infinity. As long as the voltage source holds steady at 9 Volts, however, the other branch currents (I1 and I3) will remain unchanged. The critical assumption in this "perfect" scheme, however, is that the voltage source will hold steady at its rated voltage while supplying an infinite amount of resistance (as opposed to absolutely zero resistance), no real voltage source could arbitrarily supply a huge overload current and maintain steady voltage at the same time. This is primarily due to the internal resistances intrinsic to all electrical power sources, stemming from the inescapable physical properties of the materials they're constructed of. These internal resistances, small as they may be, turn our simple parallel circuit into a series-parallel combination circuit. Usually, the internal resistances of voltage sources are low enough that they can be safely ignored, but when high currents resulting from shorted components are encountered, their effects become very noticeable. In this case, a shorted R2 would result in almost all the voltage being dropped across the internal resistance of the battery, with almost no voltage left over for resistors R1, R2, and R3. • Sufice it to say, intentional direct short-circuits across the terminals of any voltage source is a bad idea. Even if the resulting high current (heat, flashes, sparks) causes no harm to people nearby, the voltage source will likely sustain damage, unless it has been specifically designed to handle short-circuits, which most voltage sources are not. Eventually in this book I will lead you through the analysis of circuits without knowing exactly how many volts the battery produces, how many ohms of resistance is in each resistor, etc. This section serves as an introductory step to that kind of analysis. Whereas the normal application of Ohm's Law and the rules of series and parallel circuits is performed with numerical quantities ("quantitative"), this new kind of analysis without precise numerical figures is something I like to call qualitative analysis. In other words, we will be analyzing the qualities of the effects in a circuit rather than the precise quantities. The result, for you, will be a much deeper intuitive understanding of electric circuit operation. • REVIEW+ To determine what would happen in a circuit if a component fails, re-draw that circuit with the equivalent resistance of the failed component in place and re-calculate all values. • The ability to intuitively determine what will happen to a circuit with any given component fault is a crucial skill for any electronics troubleshooter to develop. The best way to learn is to experiment with circuit calculations and real-life circuits, paying close attention to what changes with a fault, what remains the same, and why! A shorted component is one whose resistance has dramatically decreased. For the record, resistors tend to fail open more often than shorted, and they almost never fail unless physically or electrically overstressed (physically abused or overheated). In the course of learning about electricity, you will want to construct your own circuits using resistors and batteries. Some options are available in this manner of circuit assembly, some easier than others. In this section, I will explore a couple of fabrication techniques that will not only help you build the circuits shown in this chapter, but also more advanced circuits. If all we wish to construct is a simple single-battery, single-resistor circuit, we may easily use alligator clip jumper wires like this: Resistor + Real circuit using jumper wires Jumper wires with "alligator" style spring clips at each end provide a safe and convenient method of electrically joining components together. If we wanted to build a simple series circuit with one battery and three resistors, the same "point-to-point" construction technique using jumper wires could be applied. This technique, however, proves impractical for circuits much more complex than this, due to the awkwardness of the jumper wires and the physical fragility of their connections. A more common method of temporary construction is the solderless breadboard, a device made of plastic with hundreds of spring-loaded connection sockets joining the inserted ends of components and/or 22-gauge solid wire pieces. A photograph of a real breadboard is shown here, followed by an illustration showing a simple series circuit constructed on one: Battery + Real circuit using a solderless breadboard Underneath each hole in the breadboard is a metal spring clip, designed to grasp any inserted wire or component lead. The connection pattern joins every five holes along a vertical column (as shown with the long axis of the breadboard situated horizontally). Thus, when a wire or component lead is inserted into a hole on the breadboard, there are four more holes in a column providing potential connection points to other wires and/or component leads. The result is an extremely flexible platform for temporary circuit construction. For example, the three-resistor circuit just shown could also be built on a solderless breadboard. Batteries + Real circuit using a solderless breadboard Breadboards have their limitations, though. First and foremost, they are intended for temporary construction only. If you pick up a breadboard, turn it upside-down, and shake it, any components plugged into it are likely to loosen, and may fall out of their respective holes. Also, breadboards are limited to fairly low-current (less than 1 amp) circuits. Those spring clips have a small contact area, and thus cannot support high currents without excessive heating. For greater permanence, one might wish to choose soldering or wire-wrapping. These techniques involve fastening the components and wires to some structure providing a secure mechanical location (such as a phenolic or fiberglass board with holes drilled in it, much like a breadboard without the intrinsic spring-clip connections), and then attaching wires to the secured component leads. Soldering is a form of low-temperature welding, using a tin/lead or tin/silver alloy that melts to and electrically bonds copper objects. Wire ends are soldered to component leads or to small, copper ring "pads" bonded on the surface of the circuit board serve to help prevent accidental shorting between terminals by a screwdriver or other metal object. In wire wrapping, a small-gauge wire is tightly wrapped around component leads rather than soldered to leads or copper pads, the tension of the wrapped wire providing a sound mechanical and electrical junction to connect components together. An example of a printed circuit board, or PCB, intended for hobbyist use is shown in this photograph. This board appears copper-side-up: the side where all the soldering is done. Each hole is ringed with a small layer of copper metal for bonding to the solder. All holes are independent of each other on this particular board, unlike the holes on a solderless breadboard which are connected together in groups of five. Printed circuit boards with the 5-hole connection pattern as breadboards can be purchased and used for hobby circuit construction, though. Production printed circuit boards have traces of copper laid down on the phenolic or fiberglass substrate material to form pre-engineered connection pathways which function as wires in a circuit. An example of such a board is shown here, this unit actually a "power supply" circuit designed to take 120 volt alternating current (AC) power from a household wall socket and transform it into low-voltage direct current (DC). A resistor appears on this board, the fifth component counting up from the bottom, located in the middle-right area of the board. A view of this board's underside reveals the copper "traces" connecting components together, as well as the silver-colored deposits of solder on the traces. A soldered or wire-wrapped circuit is considered permanent: that is, it is unlikely to fall apart accidentally. However, these construction techniques are sometimes considered too permanent. If anyone wishes to replace a component or change the circuit in any substantial way, they must invest a fair amount of time undoing the connections. Also, both soldering and wire-wrapping require specialized tools which may not be immediately available. An alternative construction technique used throughout the industrial world is that of the terminal strip. Terminal strips, alternatively called barrier strips or terminal blocks, are comprised of a length of nonconducting material with several small bars of metal embedded within. Each metal bar has at least one machine screw or other fastener with which a wire or component lead may be secured. Multiple wires fastened by one screw are electrically common to each other as are wires fastened to multiple screws on the same bar. The following photograph shows one style of terminal strip, with a few wires attached. Another, smaller terminal strip is shown in this next photograph. This type, sometimes referred to as a "European" style, has recessed screws to help prevent accidental shorting between terminals by a screwdriver or other metal object. In the following illustration, a single-battery, three-resistor circuit is shown constructed on a terminal strip. If the terminal strip uses machine screws to secure new connections or break old connections, then terminal strips use spring-loaded clips or pins to a breadboard's except for the suggested and disengaged using a screwdriver or similar tool. The electrical connections established by a terminal strip are quite robust and are considered suitable for both permanent and temporary connections. On the face of a terminal strip, one interested in electrical circuitry and electronics is likely to notice the "power" connection, which is for a power source. For a power source, the power terminals are labeled "positive" and "negative." The "ground" connection is labeled "common" or "earth." Solderless breadboards are typically designed for temporary circuit construction, often demands a different component orientation. Building simple circuits on terminal strips is one way to develop the spatial reasoning skill of "stretching" wires to make the same connection. Consider the case of a single-battery, three-resistor parallel circuit constructed on a terminal strip. Real circuit using a terminal strip Programming from a nice, neat schematic diagram to the real circuit, especially when the resistors to be connected are physically arranged in a linear fashion on the terminal strip, is not obvious to many, so I'll outline the process step-by-step. First, start with the clean schematic diagram and all components secured to the terminal strip, with no connecting wires between the wires on the terminal strip and the components. Then, re-draw the schematic diagram, securing a connecting wire between the point of connection in the schematic, securing a connecting wire between the same two points on the real circuit. I find it helpful to over-draw the schematic's wire with another line to indicate what connections I've made in real life. Real circuit using a terminal strip Next, trace the wire connection from one side of the battery to the first component in the schematic, securing a connecting wire between the same two points on the real circuit. I find it helpful to over-draw the schematic's wire with another line to indicate what connections I've made in real life. Real circuit using a terminal strip Continue this process, wire by wire, until all connections in the schematic diagram have been accounted for. It might be helpful to regard common wires in a SPICE-like fashion: make all connections to a common wire in the circuit as one stop, making sure each and every component with a connection to that wire has a connection to that wire before proceeding to the next. For the next step, I'll show how the top sides of the remaining two resistors are connected together, being common with the wire secured in the previous step. This is the same as the top sides of all resistors (as shown in the schematic) connected together, and to the battery's positive (+) terminal. All we have to do now is connect the bottom sides together and to the other side of the battery. Real circuit using a terminal strip Typically in industry, all wires are labeled with number tags, just as they do in a SPICE simulation. In this case, we could label the wires 1 and 2; + - Common wire numbers representing electrically common points Another important convention is to modify the schematic diagram slightly so as to indicate actual wire connection points on the terminal strip. This demands a labeling system for the strip itself, by another number representing each metal bar on the strip. This way, the schematic may be used as a "map" to locate points in a real circuit, regardless of how tangled and complex the connecting wiring may appear to the eyes. This may seem excessive for the simple, three-resistor circuit shown here, but such detail is absolutely necessary for construction and maintenance of large circuits, especially when those wires span a great physical distance, using more than one terminal strip located in more than one panel or rack. • REVIEW+ A solderless breadboard is a low-temperature welding process utilizing a lead/tin or tin/silver alloy to bond wires and component leads together, usually with the components secured to a fiberglass board. • Wire-wrapping is an alternative to soldering, involving small-gauge wire tightly wrapped around component leads rather than a welded joint to connect components together. A terminal strip, also known as a barrier strip or terminal block, is another device used to mount components and wires to build circuits. Series terminals or heavy spring clips attached to metal bars provide connection points for the wires end and component leads. These metal bars are mounted separately to a piece of nonconducting material such as plastic, bakelite, or ceramic. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information. Jason Starck : HTML document formatting, which led to a much better-looking second edition. Ron LaPlante (October 1998): helped create "table" method of series and parallel circuit analysis. It should be apparent that the voltage drop across each resistor is proportional to its resistance, given that the current is the same through all resistors. Notice how the voltage across R2 is just as it is a function of resistance. The proportionality of voltage drops remains constant: The voltage across R1, for example, was 10 Volts when the battery supply was 45 Volts. When the battery voltage was increased to 180 Volts (4 times as much), the voltage drop across R1 also increased by a factor of 4 (from 10 to 40 Volts). The ratio between R1's voltage drop and total voltage, however, did not change. Likewise, none of the other voltage drops changed with the increased supply voltage either. For this reason a series circuit is often called a voltage divider for its ability to proportion-or divide-the total voltage into fractional portions of constant ratio. With a little bit of algebra, we can derive a formula for determining series resistor voltage drop given nothing more than total voltage, individual resistance, and total resistance:  $R_1 / E = R_1 / E = n$  = the ratio of individual resistance to total resistance is the same as the ratio of individual voltage drop to total supply voltage in a voltage divider circuit. This is known as the voltage divider formula, and it is a short-cut method for determining voltage drop in a series circuit without going through the current calculation(s) of Ohm's Law. Using this formula, we can re-analyze the example circuit's voltage drops in fewer steps: One device frequently used as a voltage-dividing component is the potentiometer, which is a resistor with a movable element positioned by a manual control or lever. The movable element, typically called a wiper, makes contact with a resistive strip of material (commonly called the slidewire if made of resistive metal wire) at any point selected by the manual control. 1. Wiper contact The wiper contact is the left-facing arrow symbol drawn in the middle of the vertical resistor element. As it is moved up, it contacts the resistive strip closer to terminal 1 and further away from terminal 2. As it is moved down, the opposite effect results. The resistance as measured between terminals 1 and 2 is constant for any wiper position. Others, like the one depicted in the previous illustration, are actuated by a turn-screw for fine adjustment ability. The latter units are sometimes referred to as trim pots, because they work well for applications requiring a variable resistance to be "trimmed" to some precise value. It should be noted that not all linear potentiometers have the same terminal assignments as shown in this illustration. With some, the wiper terminal is in the middle, between the two end terminals. The following photograph shows a real, rotary potentiometer with exposed wiper and slidewire for easy viewing. The shaft which moves the wiper has been turned almost fully clockwise so that the wiper is nearly touching the left terminal end of the slidewire. Here is the same potentiometer with the wiper shaft moved almost to the full-counterclockwise position, so that the wiper is near the other extreme end of travel: If a constant voltage is applied between the outer terminals (across the length of the slidewire), the wiper position will tap off a fraction of the applied voltage, measurable between the wiper contact and either of the other two terminals. The fractional value depends entirely on the physical position of the wiper: less voltage more voltage just like the fixed voltage divider, the potentiometer's voltage division ratio is strictly a function of resistance and not of the magnitude of applied voltage. In other words, if the potentiometer knob or lever is moved to the 50 percent (exact center) position, the voltage dropped between wiper and either outer terminal would be exactly 1/2 of the applied voltage, no matter what that voltage happens to be, or what the end-to-end resistance of the potentiometer is. In other words, a potentiometer functions as a variable voltage divider where the voltage division ratio is set by wiper position. This application of the potentiometer is a very useful means of obtaining a variable voltage from a fixed-voltage source such as a battery. If a circuit you're building requires a certain amount of voltage that is less than the value of an available battery's voltage, you may connect the outer terminals of a potentiometer across that battery and one of the outer terminals for use in your circuit. Circuit requiring less voltage than what the battery provides + V-Adjust potentiometer to obtain desired voltage. Battery When used in this manner, the name potentiometer makes perfect sense: they meter (control) the potential (voltage) applied across them by creating a variable voltage-divider ratio. This use of the three-terminal potentiometer is very popular in circuit design. Shown here are several small potentiometers of the kind commonly used in consumer electronic equipment and by hobbyists and students in constructing circuits. The smaller units on the very left and very right are designed to plug into a flat panel with wires soldered to each of the three terminals. Here are three more potentiometers, more specialized than the set just shown: The large "Helipot" unit is a laboratory potentiometer designed for quick and easy connection to a circuit. The unit in the lower-left corner of the photograph is the same type of potentiometer, just without a case or 10-turn counting dial. Both of these potentiometers are precision units, using multi-turn helical-track resistance strips and wiper mechanisms for making

between individual component and total circuit will be the same, merely the direction of change. In other words, if any single resistor decreases in value, then the total circuit resistance must also decrease, and vice versa. In this case, since R 2 is the only failed component, and its resistance has decreased, the total resistance must decrease:Now we can apply Ohm's Law (qualitatively) to the Total column in the table. Given the fact that total voltage has remained the same and total resistance has decreased, we can conclude that total current must increase ( $I=E/R$ ).In case you're not familiar with the qualitative assessment of an equation, it works like this. First, we write the equation as solved for the unknown quantity. In this case, we're trying to solve for current, given voltage and resistance:Now that our equation is in the proper form, we assess what change (if any) will be experienced by "I," given the change(s) to "E" and "R":If the denominator of a fraction decreases in value while the numerator stays the same, then the overall value of the fraction must increase:Therefore, Ohm's Law ( $I=E/R$ ) tells us that the current (I) will increase. We'll mark this conclusion in our table with an "up" arrow:With all resistance places filled in the table and all quantities determined in the Total column, we can proceed to determine the other voltages and currents. Knowing that the total resistance in this table was the result of  $R_1 // R_2$  and  $R_3 // R_4$  in series, we know that the value of total current will be the same as that in  $R_1 // R_2$  and  $R_3 // R_4$  (because series components share the same current). Therefore, if total current increased, then current through  $R_1 // R_2$  and  $R_3 // R_4$  must also have increased with the failure of R 2 :Fundamentally, what we're doing here with a qualitative usage of Ohm's Law and the rules of series and parallel circuits is no different from what we've done before with numerical figures. In fact, its a lot easier because you don't have to worry about making an arithmetic or calculator keystroke error in a calculation. Instead, you're just focusing on the principles behind the equations. From our table above, we can see that Ohm's Law should be applicable to the  $R_1 // R_2$  and  $R_3 // R_4$  columns. For  $R_3 // R_4$  , we figure what happens to the voltage, given an increase in current and no change in resistance. Intuitively, we can see that this must result in an increase in voltage across the parallel combination of  $R_3 // R_4$  :But how do we apply the same Ohm's Law formula ( $E=IR$ ) to the  $R_1 // R_2$  column, where we have resistance decreasing and current increasing? It's easy to determine if only one variable is changing, as it was with  $R_3 // R_4$  , but with two variables moving around and no definite numbers to work with, Ohm's Law isn't going to be much help. However, there is another rule we can apply horizontally to determine what happens to the voltage across  $R_1 // R_2$  : the rule for voltage in series circuits. If the voltages across  $R_1 // R_2$  and  $R_3 // R_4$  add up to equal the total (battery) voltage and we know that the  $R_3 // R_4$  voltage has increased while total voltage has stayed the same, then the voltage across  $R_1 // R_2$  must have decreased with the change of R 2 's resistance value:Now we're ready to proceed to some new columns in the table. Knowing that R 3 and R 4 comprise the parallel subsection  $R_3 // R_4$  , and knowing that voltage is shared equally between parallel components, the increase in voltage seen across the parallel combination  $R_3 // R_4$  must also be seen across R 3 and R 4 individually:The same goes for R 1 and R 2 . The voltage decrease seen across the parallel combination of R 1 and R 2 will be seen across R 1 and R 2 individually:Applying Ohm's Law vertically to those columns with unchanged ("same") resistance values, we can tell what the current will do through those components. Increased voltage across an unchanged resistance leads to increased current. Conversely, decreased voltage across an unchanged resistance leads to decreased current:Once again we find ourselves in a position where Ohm's Law can't help us: for R 2 , both voltage and resistance have decreased, but without knowing how much each one has changed, we can't use the  $I=E/R$  formula to qualitatively determine the resulting change in current. However, we can still apply the rules of series and parallel circuits horizontally. We know that the current through the  $R_1 // R_2$  parallel combination has increased, and we also know that the current through R 1 has decreased. One

through more components increased voltage and a larger load to increase current. Conversely, decreased voltage across an anchoring junction would decrease current. Current through R 1 //R 2, total voltage and resistances have decreased, without knowing how much each one has changed, we can't use the  $I=E/R$  formula to qualitatively determine the resulting change in current. However, we can still apply the rules of series and parallel circuits horizontally. We know that the current through the R 1 //R 2 parallel combination has increased, and we also know that the current through R 1 has decreased. One of the rules of parallel circuits is that total current is equal to the sum of the individual branch currents. In this case, the current through R 1 //R 2 is equal to the current through R 1 added to the current through R 2. If current through R 1 //R 2 has increased while current through R 1 has decreased, current through R 2 must have increased. And with that, our table of qualitative values stands completed. This particular exercise may look laborious due to all the detailed commentary, but the actual process can be performed very quickly with some practice. An important thing to realize here is that the general procedure is little different from quantitative analysis: start with the known values, then proceed to determining total resistance, then total current, then transfer figures of voltage and current as allowed by the rules of series and parallel circuits to the appropriate columns. A few general rules can be memorized to assist and/or to check your progress when proceeding with such an analysis:

- For any single component failure (open or shorted), the total resistance will always change in the same direction (either increase or decrease) as the resistance change of the failed component.
- When a component fails shorted, its resistance always decreases. Also, the current through it will increase, and the voltage across it may drop. I say "may" because in some cases it will remain the same (case in point: a simple parallel circuit with an ideal power source).
- When a component fails open, its resistance always increases. The current through that component will decrease to zero, because it is an incomplete electrical path (no continuity). This may result in an increase of voltage across it. The same exception stated above applies here as well: in a simple parallel circuit with an ideal voltage source, the voltage across an open-failed component will remain unchanged. Once again, when building battery/resistor circuits, the student or hobbyist is faced with several different modes of construction. Perhaps the most popular is the solderless breadboard: a platform for constructing temporary circuits by plugging components and wires into a grid of interconnected points. A breadboard appears to be nothing but a plastic frame with hundreds of small holes in it. Underneath each hole, though, is a spring clip which connects to other spring clips beneath other holes. The connection pattern between holes is simple and uniform: Suppose we wanted to construct the following series-parallel combination circuit on a breadboard: 100 Ω 250 Ω 200 Ω 350 Ω. The recommended way to do so on a breadboard would be to arrange the resistors in approximately the same pattern as seen in the schematic, for ease of relation to the schematic. If 24 volts is required and we only have 6-volt batteries available, four may be connected in series to achieve the same effect: This is by no means the only way to connect these four resistors together to form the circuit shown in the schematic. Consider this alternative layout: If greater permanence is desired without resorting to soldering or wire-wrapping, one could choose to construct this circuit on a terminal strip (also called a barrier strip, or terminal block). In this method, components and wires are secured by mechanical tension underneath screws or heavy clips attached to small metal bars. The metal bars, in turn, are mounted on a nonconducting body to keep them electrically isolated from each other. Building a circuit with components secured to a terminal strip isn't as easy as plugging components into a breadboard, principally because the components cannot be physically arranged to resemble the schematic layout. Instead, the builder must understand how to "bend" the schematic's representation into the real-world layout of the strip. Consider one example of how the same four-resistor circuit could be built on a terminal strip: Another terminal strip layout, simpler to understand and relate to the schematic, involves anchoring parallel resistors (R 1 //R 2 and R 3 //R 4) to the same two terminal points on the strip like this: Building more complex circuits on a terminal strip involves the same spatial reasoning skills, but of course requires greater care and planning. Take for instance this complex circuit, represented in schematic form: The terminal strip used in the prior example barely has enough terminals to mount all seven resistors required for this circuit! It will be a challenge to determine all the necessary wire connections between resistors, but with patience it can be done. First, begin by installing and labeling all resistors on the strip. The original schematic diagram will be shown next to the terminal strip circuit for reference: Next, begin connecting lines in the schematic to indicate completion in the real circuit. Watch this sequence of illustrations as each individual wire is identified in the schematic, then added to the real circuit: Step 1:Step 2:Step 3:Step 4:Step 5:Step 6:Step 7:Step 8:Step 9:Step 10:Step 11: Although there are minor variations possible with this terminal strip circuit, the choice of connections shown in this example sequence is both electrically accurate (electrically identical to the schematic diagram) and carries the additional benefit of not burdening any one screw terminal on the strip with more than two wire ends, a good practice in any terminal strip circuit. An example of a "variant" wire connection might be the very last wire added (step 11), which I placed between the left terminal of R 2 and the left terminal of R 3. This last wire completed the parallel connection between R 2 and R 3 in the circuit. However, I could have placed this wire instead between the left terminal of R 2 and the right terminal of R 1, since the right terminal of R 1 is already connected to the left terminal of R 3 (having been placed there in step 9) and so is electrically common with that one point. Doing this, though, would have resulted in three wires secured to the right terminal of R 1 instead of two, which is a faux pas in terminal strip etiquette. Would the circuit have worked this way? Certainly! It's just that more than two wires secured at a single terminal makes for a "messy" connection: one that is aesthetically unpleasing and may place undue stress on the screw terminal. Another variation would be to reverse the terminal connections for resistor R 7. As shown in the last diagram, the voltage polarity across R 7 is negative on the left and positive on the right (-, +), whereas all the other resistor polarities are positive on the left and negative on the right (+, -). While this poses no electrical problem, it might cause confusion for anyone measuring resistor voltage drops with a voltmeter, especially an analog voltmeter which will "peg" downscale when subjected to a voltage of the wrong polarity. For the sake of consistency, it might be wise to arrange all wire connections so that all resistor voltage drop polarities are the same, like this: Though electrons do not care about such consistency in component layout, people do. This illustrates an important aspect of any engineering endeavor: the human factor. Whenever a design may be modified for easier comprehension and/or easier maintenance - with no sacrifice of functional performance - it should be done so.
- REVIEW: Circuits built on terminal strips can be difficult to lay out, but when built they are robust enough to be considered permanent, yet easy to modify.
- It is bad practice to secure more than two wire ends and/or component leads under a single terminal screw or clip on a terminal strip. Try to arrange connecting wires so as to avoid this condition.
- Whenever possible, build your circuits with clarity and ease of understanding in mind. Even though component and wiring layout is usually of little consequence in DC circuit function, it matters significantly for the sake of the person who has to modify or troubleshoot it later. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information.

Tony Armstrong : Suggested reversing polarity on resistor R 7 in last terminal strip circuit. : HTML document formatting, which led to a much better looking second edition. Ron LaPlante (October 1998): helped create "table" method of series and parallel circuit analysis. A meter is any device built to accurately detect and display an electrical quantity in a form readable by a human being. Usually this "readable form" is visual: motion of a pointer on a scale, a series of lights arranged to form a "bargraph," or some sort of display composed of numerical figures. In the analysis and testing of circuits, there are meters designed to accurately measure the basic quantities of voltage, current, and resistance. There are many other types of meters as well, but this chapter primarily covers the design and operation of the basic three. Most modern meters are "digital" in design, meaning that their readable display is in the form of numerical digits. Older designs of meters are mechanical in nature, using some kind of pointer device to show quantity of measurement. In either case, the principles applied in adapting a display unit to the measurement of (relatively) large quantities of voltage, current, or resistance are the same. The display mechanism of a meter is often referred to as a movement, borrowing from its mechanical nature to move a pointer along a scale so that a measured value may be read. Though modern digital meters have no moving parts, the term "movement" may be applied to the same basic device performing the display function. The design of digital "movements" is beyond the scope of this chapter, but mechanical meter movement designs are very understandable. Most mechanical movements are based on the principle of electromagnetism: that electric current through a conductor produces a magnetic field perpendicular to the axis of electron flow. The greater the electric current, the stronger the magnetic field produced. If the magnetic field formed by the conductor is allowed to interact with another magnetic field, a physical force will be generated between the two sources of fields. If one of these sources is free to move with respect to the other, it will do so as current is conducted through the wire, the motion (usually against the resistance of a spring) being proportional to strength of current. The first meter movements built were known as galvanometers, and were usually designed with maximum sensitivity in mind. A very simple galvanometer may be made from a magnetized needle (such as the needle from a magnetic compass) suspended from a string, and positioned within a coil of wire. Current through the wire coil will produce a magnetic field which will deflect the needle from pointing in the direction of earth's magnetic field. An antique string galvanometer is shown in the following photograph: Such instruments were useful in their time, but have little place in the modern world except as proof-of-concept and elementary experimental devices. They are highly susceptible to motion of any kind, and to any disturbances in the natural magnetic field of the earth. Now, the term "galvanometer" usually refers to any design of electromagnetic meter movement built for exceptional sensitivity, and not necessarily a crude device such as that shown in the photograph. Practical electromagnetic meter movements can be made now where a pivoting wire coil is suspended in a strong magnetic field, shielded from the majority of outside influences. Such an instrument design is generally known as a permanent-magnet, moving coil, or PMMC movement: In the picture above, the meter movement "needle" is shown pointing somewhere around 35 percent of full-scale, zero being full to the left of the arc and full-scale being completely to the right of the arc. An increase in measured current will drive the needle to point further to the right and a decrease will cause the needle to drop back down toward its resting point on the left. The arc on the meter display is labeled with numbers to indicate the value of the quantity being measured, whatever that quantity is. In other words, if it takes 50 microamps of current to drive the needle fully to the right (making this a "50 μA full-scale movement"), the scale would have 0 μA written at the very left end and 50 μA at the very right, 25 μA being marked in the middle of the scale. In all likelihood, the scale would be divided into much smaller graduating marks, probably every 5 or 1 μA, to allow whoever is viewing the movement to infer a more precise reading from the needle's position. The meter movement will have a pair of metal connection terminals on the back for current to enter and exit. Most meter movements are polarity-sensitive, one direction of current driving the needle to the right and the other driving it to the left. Some meter movements have a needle that is spring-centered in the middle of the scale sweep instead of to the left, thus enabling measurements of either polarity: 0 100 -100 Common polarity-sensitive movements include the D'Arsonval and Weston designs, both PMMC-type instruments. Current in one direction through the wire will produce a clockwise torque on the needle mechanism, while current in the other direction will produce a counterclockwise torque. Some meter movements are polarity-insensitive, relying on the attraction of an unmagnetized, movable iron vane toward a stationary, current-carrying wire to deflect the needle. Such meters are ideally suited for the measurement of alternating current (AC). A polarity-sensitive movement would just vibrate back and forth uselessly if connected to a source of AC. While most mechanical meter movements are based on electromagnetism (electron flow through a conductor creating a perpendicular magnetic field), a few are based on electrostatics: that is, the attractive or repulsive force generated by electric charges across space. This is the same phenomenon exhibited by certain materials (such as wax and wool) when rubbed together. If a voltage is applied between two conductive surfaces across an air gap, there will be a physical force attracting the two surfaces together capable of moving some kind of indicating mechanism. That physical force is directly proportional to the voltage applied between the plates, and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the plates. The force is also irrespective of polarity, making this a polarity-insensitive type of meter movement: force Voltage to be measured Unfortunately, the force generated by the electrostatic attraction is very small for common voltages. In fact, it is so small that such meter movement designs are impractical for use in general test instruments. Typically, electrostatic meter movements are used for measuring very high voltages (many thousands of volts). One great advantage of the electrostatic meter movement, however, is the fact that it has extremely high resistance, whereas electromagnetic movements (which depend on the flow of electrons through wire to generate a magnetic field) are much lower in resistance. As we will see in greater detail to come, greater resistance (resulting in less current drawn from the circuit under test) makes for a better voltmeter. A much more common application of electrostatic voltage measurement is seen in a device known as a Cathode Ray Tube, or CRT. These are special glass tubes, very similar to television viewscreen tubes. In the cathode ray tube, a beam of electrons traveling in a vacuum are deflected from their course by voltage between pairs of metal plates on either side of the beam. Because electrons are negatively charged, they tend to be repelled by the negative plate and attracted to the positive plate. A reversal of voltage polarity across the two plates will result in a deflection of the electron beam in the opposite direction, making this type of meter "movement" polarity-sensitive: The electrons, having much less mass than metal plates, are moved by this electrostatic force very quickly and readily. Their deflected path can be traced as the electrons impinge on the glass end of the tube where they strike a coating of phosphorus chemical, emitting a glow of light seen outside of the tube. The greater the voltage between the deflection plates, the further the electron beam will be "bent" from its straight path, and the further the glowing spot will be seen from center on the end of the tube. A photograph of a CRT is shown here: In a real CRT, as shown in the above photograph, there are two pairs of deflection plates rather than just one. In order to be able to sweep the electron beam around the whole area of the screen rather than just in a straight line, the beam must be deflected in more than one dimension. Although these tubes are able to accurately register small voltages, they are bulky and require electrical power to operate (unlike electromagnetic meter movements, which are more compact and actuated by the power of the measured signal current going through them). They are also much more fragile than other types of electrical metering devices. Usually, cathode ray tubes are used in conjunction with precise external circuits to form a larger piece of test equipment known as an oscilloscope, which has the ability to display a graph of voltage over time, a tremendously useful tool for certain types of circuits where voltage and/or current levels are dynamically changing. Whatever the type of meter or size of meter movement, there will be a rated value of voltage or current necessary to give full-scale indication. In electromagnetic movements, this will be the "full-scale deflection current" necessary to rotate the needle so that it points to the exact end of the indicating scale. In electrostatic movements, the full-scale rating will be expressed as the value of voltage resulting in the maximum deflection of the needle actuated by the plates, or the value of voltage in a cathode-ray tube which deflects the electron beam to the edge of the indicating screen. In digital "movements," it is the amount of voltage resulting in a "full-count" indication on the numerical display: when the digits cannot display a larger quantity. The task of the meter designer is to take a given meter movement and design the necessary external circuitry for full-scale indication at some specified amount of voltage or current. Most meter movements (electrostatic movements excepted) are quite sensitive, giving full-scale indication at only a small fraction of a volt or an amp. This is impractical for most tasks of voltage and current measurement. What the technician often requires is a meter capable of measuring high voltages and currents. By making the sensitive meter movement part of a voltage or current divider circuit, the movement's useful measurement range may be extended to measure far greater levels than what could be indicated by the movement alone. Precision resistors are used to create the divider circuits necessary to divide voltage or current appropriately. One of the lessons you will learn in this chapter is how to design these divider circuits.
- REVIEW: A "movement" is the display mechanism of a meter.
- Electromagnetic movements work on the principle of a magnetic field being generated by electric current through a wire. Examples of electromagnetic meter movements include the D'Arsonval, Weston, and iron-vane designs.
- Electrostatic movements work on the principle of physical force generated by an electric field between two plates.
- Cathode Ray Tubes (CRT's) use an electrostatic field to bend the path of an electron beam, providing indication of the beam's position by light created when the beam strikes the end of the glass tube. As was stated earlier, most meter movements are sensitive devices. Some D'Arsonval movements have full-scale deflection current ratings as little as 50 μA, with an (internal) wire resistance of less than 1000 Ω. This makes for a voltmeter with a full-scale rating of only 50 millivolts (50 μA X 1000 Ω)! In order to build voltmeters with practical (higher voltage) scales from such sensitive movements, we need to find some way to reduce the measured quantity of voltage down to a level the movement can handle. Let's start our example problems with a D'Arsonval meter movement having a full-scale deflection rating of 1 mA and a coil resistance of 500 Ω: black test lead lead red test + -F.S. = 1 mA Using Ohm's Law ( $E=IR$ ), we can determine how much voltage will drive this meter movement directly to full scale: If all we wanted was a meter that could measure 1/2 of a volt, the bare meter movement we have here would suffice. But to measure greater levels of voltage, something more is needed. To get an effective voltmeter meter range in excess of 1/2 volt, we'll need to design a circuit allowing only a precise proportion of measured voltage to drop across the meter movement. This will extend the meter movement's range to higher voltages. Correspondingly, we will need to re-label the scale on the meter face to indicate its new measurement range with this proportioning circuit connected. But how do we create the necessary proportioning circuit? Well, if our intention is to allow this meter movement to measure a greater voltage than it does now, what we need is a voltage divider circuit to proportion the total measured voltage into a lesser fraction across the meter movement's connection points. Knowing that voltage divider circuits are built from series resistances, we'll connect a resistor in series with the meter movement (using the movement's own internal resistance as the second resistance in the divider): black test lead lead red test + -500 Ω F.S. = 1 mA The series resistor is called a "multiplier" resistor because it multiplies the working range of the meter movement as it proportionately divides the measured voltage across it. Determining the required multiplier resistance value is an easy task if you're familiar with series circuit analysis. For example, let's determine the necessary multiplier value to make this 1 mA, 500 Ω movement read exactly full-scale at an applied voltage of 10 volts. To do this, we first need to set up an E/I/R Knowing that the movement will be at full-scale with 1 mA of current going through it, and that we want this to happen at an applied (total series circuit) voltage of 10 volts, we can fill in the table as such: There are a couple of ways to determine the resistance value of the multiplier. One way is to determine total circuit resistance using Ohm's Law in the "total" column ( $R=E/I$ ), then subtract the 500 Ω of the movement to arrive at the value for the multiplier: With exactly 10 volts applied between the meter test leads (from some battery or precision power supply), there will be exactly 1 mA of current through the meter movement, as restricted by the "multiplier" resistor and the movement's own internal resistance. Exactly 1/2 volt will be dropped across the resistance of the movement's wire coil, and the needle will be pointing precisely at full-scale. Having re-labeled the scale to read from 0 to 10 V (instead of 0 to 1 mA), anyone viewing the scale will interpret its indication as ten volts. Please take note that the meter user does not have to be aware at all that the movement itself is actually measuring just a fraction of that ten volts from the external source. All that matters to the user is that the circuit as a whole functions to accurately display the total applied voltage. This is how practical electrical meters are designed and

volts. Please take note that the meter user does not have to be aware at all that the movement itself is actually measuring just a fraction of that ten volts from the external source. All that matters to the user is that the circuit as a whole functions to accurately display the total, applied voltage. This is how practical electrical meters are designed and used: a sensitive meter movement is built to operate with as little voltage and current as possible for maximum sensitivity, then it is "fooled" by some sort of divider circuit built of precision resistors so that it indicates fullscale when a much larger voltage or current is impressed on the circuit as a whole. We have examined the design of a simple voltmeter here. Ammeters follow the same general rule, except that parallel-connected "shunt" resistors are used to create a current divider circuit as opposed to the series-connected voltage divider "multiplier" resistors used for voltmeter designs. Generally, it is useful to have multiple ranges established for an electromechanical meter such as this, allowing it to read a broad range of voltages with a single movement mechanism. This is accomplished through the use of a multi-pole switch and several multiplier resistors, each one sized for a particular voltage range: The five-position switch makes contact with only one resistor at a time. In the bottom (full clockwise) position, it makes contact with no resistor at all, providing an "off" setting. Each resistor is sized to provide a particular full-scale range for the voltmeter, all based on the particular rating of the meter movement (1 mA, 500  $\Omega$ ). The end result is a voltmeter with four different full-scale ranges of measurement. Of course, in order to make this work sensibly, the meter movement's scale must be equipped with labels appropriate for each range. With such a meter design, each resistor value is determined by the same technique, using a known total voltage, movement full-scale deflection rating, and movement resistance. For a voltmeter with ranges of 1 volt, 10 volts, 100 volts, and 1000 volts, the multiplier resistances would be as follows: Note the multiplier resistor values used for these ranges, and how odd they are. It is highly unlikely that a 999.5 k $\Omega$  precision resistor will ever be found in a parts bin, so voltmeter designers often opt for a variation of the above design which uses more common resistor values: With each successively higher voltage range, more multiplier

resistors are pressed into service by the selector switch, making their series resistances add for the necessary total. For example, with the range selector switch set to the 1000 volt position, we need a total multiplier resistance value of  $999.5 \text{ k}\Omega$ . With this meter design, that's exactly what we'll get:  $R_{\text{T otal}} = R_4 + R_3 + R_2 + R_1$   $R_{\text{T otal}} = 900 \text{ k}\Omega + 90 \text{ k}\Omega + 9 \text{ k}\Omega + 500 \Omega$   $R_{\text{T otal}} = 999.5 \text{ k}\Omega$  The advantage, of course, is that the individual multiplier resistor values are more common (900k, 90k, 9k) than some of the odd values in the first design. From the perspective of the meter user, however, there will be no discernible difference in function. • REVIEW: • Extended voltmeter ranges are created for sensitive meter movements by adding series "multiplier" resistors to the movement circuit, providing a precise voltage division ratio. Every meter impacts the circuit it is measuring to some extent, just as any tire-pressure gauge changes the measured tire pressure slightly as some air is let out to operate the gauge. While some impact is inevitable, it can be minimized through good meter design. Since voltmeters are always connected in parallel with the component or components under test, any current through the voltmeter will contribute to the overall current in the tested circuit, potentially affecting the voltage being measured. A perfect voltmeter has infinite resistance, so that it draws no current from the circuit under test. However, perfect voltmeters only exist in the pages of textbooks, not in real life! Take the following voltage divider circuit as an extreme example of how a realistic voltmeter might impact the circuit its measuring: With no voltmeter connected to the circuit, there should be exactly 12 volts across each  $250 \text{ M}\Omega$  resistor in the series circuit, the two equal-value resistors dividing the total voltage (24 volts) exactly in half. However, if the voltmeter in question has a lead-to-lead resistance of  $10 \text{ M}\Omega$  (a common amount for a modern digital voltmeter), its resistance will create a parallel subcircuit with the lower resistor of the divider when connected: A voltage divider with resistance values of  $250 \text{ M}\Omega$  and  $9.615 \text{ M}\Omega$  will divide 24 volts into portions of 23.1111 volts and 0.8889 volts, respectively. Since the voltmeter is part of that  $9.615 \text{ M}\Omega$  resistance, that is what it will indicate: 0.8889 volts. Now, the voltmeter can only indicate the voltage its connected across. It has no way of "knowing" there was a potential of 12 volts dropped across the lower  $250 \text{ M}\Omega$  resistor before it was connected across it. The very act of connecting the voltmeter to the circuit makes it part of the circuit, and the voltmeter's own resistance alters the resistance ratio of the voltage divider circuit, consequently affecting the voltage being measured. Imagine using a tire pressure gauge that took so great a volume of air to operate that it would deflate any tire it was connected to. The amount of air consumed by the pressure gauge in the act of measurement is analogous to the current taken by the voltmeter movement to move the needle. The less air a pressure gauge requires to operate, the less it will deflate the tire.

pressure gauge that took so great a volume of air to operate that it would deflate any tire it was connected to. The amount of air consumed by the pressure gauge in the act of measurement is analogous to the current taken by the voltmeter movement to move the needle. The less air a pressure gauge requires to operate, the less it will deflate the tire under test. The less current drawn by a voltmeter to actuate the needle, the less it will burden the circuit under test. This effect is called loading, and it is present to some degree in every instance of voltmeter usage. The scenario shown here is worst-case, with a voltmeter resistance substantially lower than the resistances of the divider resistors. But there always will be some degree of loading, causing the meter to indicate less than the true voltage with no meter connected. Obviously, the higher the voltmeter resistance, the less loading of the circuit under test, and that is why an ideal voltmeter has infinite internal resistance. Voltmeters with electromechanical movements are typically given ratings in "ohms per volt" of range to designate the amount of circuit impact created by the current draw of the movement. Because such meters rely on different values of multiplier resistors to give different measurement ranges, their lead-to-lead resistances will change depending on what range they're set to. Digital voltmeters, on the other hand, often exhibit a constant resistance across their test leads regardless of range setting (but not always!), and as such are usually rated simply in ohms of input resistance, rather than "ohms per volt" sensitivity. What "ohms per volt" means is how many ohms of lead-to-lead resistance for every volt of range setting on the selector switch. Let's take our example voltmeter from the last section as an example: On the 1000 volt scale, the total resistance is  $1\text{ M}\Omega$  ( $999.5\text{ k}\Omega + 500\Omega$ ), giving  $1,000,000\ \Omega$  per 1000 volts of range, or  $1000\ \text{ohms per volt}$  ( $1\text{ k}\Omega/\text{V}$ ). This ohms-per-volt "sensitivity" rating remains constant for any range of this meter:  $100\text{ volt range } 100\text{ k}\Omega$   $100\text{ V} = 1000\ \Omega/\text{V}$  sensitivity =  $1000\ \Omega/\text{V}$  sensitivity  $10\text{ k}\Omega$   $10\text{ V}$   $10\text{ volt range} = 1000\ \Omega/\text{V}$  sensitivity  $1\text{ k}\Omega$   $1\text{ V}$   $1\text{ volt range}$ . The astute observer will notice that the ohms-per-volt rating of any meter is determined by a single factor: the full-scale current of the movement, in this case 1 mA. "Ohms per volt" is the mathematical reciprocal of "volts per ohm," which is defined by Ohm's Law as  $\text{Voltage} = (\text{Current})(\text{Resistance})$ . Consequently, the following equation is true for all voltmeters, including digital ones:  $\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{Voltage}}{\text{Current}}$ .

current ( $I=E/R$ ). Consequently, the full-scale current of the movement dictates the  $\Omega/\text{volt}$  sensitivity of the meter, regardless of what ranges the designer equips it with through multiplier resistors. In this case, the meter movement's full-scale current rating of 1 mA gives it a voltmeter sensitivity of  $1000 \Omega/\text{V}$  regardless of how we range it with multiplier resistors. To minimize the loading of a voltmeter on any circuit, the designer must seek to minimize the current draw of its movement. This can be accomplished by re-designing the movement itself for maximum sensitivity (less current required for full-scale deflection), but the tradeoff here is typically ruggedness: a more sensitive movement tends to be more fragile. Another approach is to electronically boost the current sent to the movement, so that very little current needs to be drawn from the circuit under test. This special electronic circuit is known as an amplifier, and the voltmeter thus constructed is an amplified voltmeter. The internal workings of an amplifier are too complex to be discussed at this point, but suffice it to say that the circuit allows the measured voltage to control how much battery current is sent to the meter movement. Thus, the movement's current needs are supplied by a battery internal to the voltmeter and not by the circuit under test. The amplifier still loads the circuit under test to some degree, but generally hundreds or thousands of times less than the meter movement would by itself. Before the advent of semiconductors, vacuum tubes were used as amplifying devices to perform this boosting. Such vacuum-tube voltmeters, or (VTVM's) were once very popular instruments for electronic test and measurement. Here is a photograph of a very old VTVM, with the vacuum tube exposed! Now, solid-state transistor amplifier circuits accomplish the same task in digital meter designs. While this approach (of using an amplifier to boost the measured signal current) works well, it vastly complicates the design of the meter, making it nearly impossible for the beginning electronics student to comprehend its internal workings. A final, and ingenious, solution to the problem of voltmeter loading is that of the potentiometric or null-balance instrument. It requires no advanced (electronic) circuitry or sensitive devices like transistors or vacuum tubes, but it does require greater technician involvement and skill.

In a potentiometric instrument, a precision adjustable voltage source is compared against the measured voltage, and a sensitive device called a null detector is used to indicate when the two voltages are equal. In some circuit designs, a precision potentiometer is used to provide the adjustable voltage, hence the label potentiometric. When the voltages are equal, there will be zero current drawn from the circuit under test, and thus the measured voltage should be unaffected. It is easy to show how this works with our last example, the high-resistance voltage divider circuit: The "null detector" is a sensitive device capable of indicating the presence of very small voltages. If an electromechanical meter movement is used as the null detector, it will have a spring-centered needle that can deflect in either direction so as to be useful for indicating a voltage of either polarity. As the purpose of a null detector is to accurately indicate a condition of zero voltage, rather than to indicate any specific (nonzero) quantity as a normal voltmeter would, the scale of the instrument used is irrelevant. Null detectors are typically designed to be as sensitive as possible in order to more precisely indicate a "null" or "balance" (zero voltage) condition. An extremely simple type of null detector is a set of audio headphones, the speakers within acting as a kind of meter movement. When a DC voltage is initially applied to a speaker, the resulting current through it will move the speaker cone and produce an audible "click." Another "click" sound will be heard when the DC source is disconnected. Building on this principle, a sensitive null detector may be made from nothing more than headphones and a momentary contact switch: Test leads If a set of "8 ohm" headphones are used for this purpose, its sensitivity may be greatly increased by connecting it to a device called a transformer. The transformer exploits principles of electromagnetism to "transform" the voltage and current levels of electrical energy pulses. In this case, the type of transformer used is a step-down transformer, and it converts low-current pulses (created by closing and opening the pushbutton switch while connected to a small voltage source) into higher-current pulses to more efficiently drive the speaker cones inside the headphones. An "audio output" transformer with an impedance ratio of 1000:8 is ideal for this purpose. The transformer also increases detector

converts lowcurrent pulses (created by closing and opening the pushbutton switch while connected to a small voltage source) into higher-current pulses to more efficiently drive the speaker cones inside the headphones. An "audio output" transformer with an impedance ratio of 1000:8 is ideal for this purpose. The transformer also increases detector sensitivity by accumulating the energy of a low-current signal in a magnetic field for sudden release into the headphone speakers when the switch is opened. Thus, it will produce louder "clicks" for detecting smaller signals. Test leads 1 k $\Omega$  8  $\Omega$  Connected to the potentiometric circuit as a null detector, the switch/transformer/headphone arrangement is used as such: The purpose of any null detector is to act like a laboratory balance scale, indicating when the two voltages are equal (absence of voltage between points 1 and 2) and nothing more. The laboratory scale balance beam doesn't actually weigh anything; rather, it simply indicates equality between the unknown mass and the pile of standard (calibrated) masses. Likewise, the null detector simply indicates when the voltage between points 1 and 2 are equal, which (according to Kirchhoff's Voltage Law) will be when the adjustable voltage source (the battery symbol with a diagonal arrow going through it) is precisely equal in voltage to the drop across R 2. To operate this instrument, the technician would manually adjust the output of the precision voltage source until the null detector indicated exactly zero (if using audio headphones as the null detector, the technician would repeatedly press and release the pushbutton switch, listening for silence to indicate that the circuit was "balanced"), and then note the source voltage as indicated by a voltmeter connected across the precision voltage source, that indication being representative of the voltage across the lower 250 M $\Omega$  resistor: The voltmeter used to directly measure the precision source need not have an extremely high  $\Omega/V$  sensitivity, because the source will supply all the current it needs to operate. So long as there is zero voltage across the null detector, there will be zero current between points 1 and 2, equating to no loading of the divider circuit under test. It is worthy to reiterate the fact that this method, properly executed, places almost zero load upon the measured circuit. Ideally, it places absolutely no load on the tested circuit,

operator. So long as there is zero voltage across the null detector, there will be zero current between points 1 and 2, equating to no loading of the divider circuit under test. It is worthy to reiterate the fact that this method, properly executed, places **zero load** upon the measured circuit. Ideally, it places absolutely no load on the tested circuit, but to achieve this ideal goal the null detector would have to have absolutely zero voltage across it, which would require an infinitely sensitive null meter and a perfect balance of voltage from the adjustable voltage source. However, despite its practical inability to achieve absolute zero loading, a potentiometric circuit is still an excellent technique for measuring voltage in high-resistance circuits. And unlike the electronic amplifier solution, which solves the problem with advanced technology, the potentiometric method achieves a hypothetically perfect solution by exploiting a fundamental law of electricity (KVL). • REVIEW: • An ideal voltmeter has infinite resistance. • Too low of an internal resistance in a voltmeter will adversely affect the circuit being measured. • Vacuum tube voltmeters (VTVM's), transistor voltmeters, and potentiometric circuits are all means of minimizing the load placed on a measured circuit. Of these methods, the potentiometric ("null-balance") technique is the only one capable of placing zero load on the circuit. • A null detector is a device built for maximum sensitivity to small voltages or currents. It is used in potentiometric voltmeter circuits to indicate the absence of voltage between two points, thus indicating a condition of balance between an adjustable voltage source and the voltage being measured. A meter designed to measure electrical current is popularly called an "ammeter" because the unit of measurement is "amps." In ammeter designs, external resistors added to extend the usable range of the movement are connected in parallel with the movement rather than in series as is the case for voltmeters. This is because we want to divide the measured current, not the measured voltage, going to the movement, and because current divider circuits are always formed by parallel resistances. Taking the same meter movement as the voltmeter example, we can see that it would make a very limited instrument by itself, full-scale deflection occurring at only 1 mA: As is the case with extending a meter movement's voltage-measuring ability, we would have to correspondingly re-label the movement's scale so that it read differently for an extended current range. For example, if we wanted to design an ammeter to have a full-scale range of 5 amps using the same meter movement (having an intrinsic full-scale range of only 1 mA), we would have to re-label the movement's scale to

would have to correspondingly re-label the movement's scale so that it read differently for an extended current range. For example, if we wanted to design an ammeter to have a full-scale range of 5 amps using the same meter movement as before (having an intrinsic full-scale range of only 1 mA), we would have to re-label the movement's scale to read 0 A on the far left and 5 A on the far right, rather than 0 mA to 1 mA as before. Whatever extended range provided by the parallel-connected resistors, we would have to represent graphically on the meter movement face. black test lead lead red test + -F.S = 1 mA Using 5 amps as an extended range for our sample movement, let's determine the amount of parallel resistance necessary to "shunt," or bypass, the majority of current so that only 1 mA will go through the movement with a total current of 5 A: Knowing that the circuit formed by the movement and the shunt is of a parallel configuration, we know that the voltage across the movement, shunt, and test leads (total) must be the same: We also know that the current through the shunt must be the difference between the total current (5 amps) and the current through the movement (1 mA), because branch currents add in a parallel configuration: Of course, we could have calculated the same value of just over 100 milli-ohms (100 mΩ) for the shunt by calculating total resistance ( $R=E/I$ ; 0.5 volts/5 amps = 100 mΩ exactly), then working the parallel resistance formula backwards, but the arithmetic would have been more challenging: In real life, the shunt resistor of an ammeter will usually be encased within the protective metal housing of the meter unit, hidden from sight. Note the construction of the ammeter in the following photograph: This particular ammeter is an automotive unit manufactured by Stewart-Warner. Although the D'Arsonval meter movement itself probably has a full scale rating in the range of milliamperes, the meter as a whole has a range of +/-60 amps. The shunt resistor providing this high current range is enclosed within the metal housing of the meter. Note also with this particular meter that the needle centers at zero amps and can indicate either a "positive" current or a "negative" current. Connected to the battery charging circuit of an automobile, this meter is able to indicate a charging condition (electrons flowing from generator to battery) or a discharging condition (electrons flowing from

battery to the rest of the car's loads). As is the case with multiple-range voltmeters, ammeters can be given more than one usable range by incorporating several shunt resistors switched with a multi-pole switch: A multirange ammeter off Notice that the range resistors are connected through the switch so as to be in parallel with the meter movement, rather than in series as it was in the voltmeter design. The fiveposition switch makes contact with only one resistor at a time, of course. Each resistor is sized accordingly for a different full-scale range, based on the particular rating of the meter movement (1 mA, 500  $\Omega$ ). With such a meter design, each resistor value is determined by the same technique, using a known total current, movement full-scale deflection rating, and movement resistance. For an ammeter with ranges of 100 mA, 1 A, 10 A, and 100 A, the shunt resistances would be as such: Notice that these shunt resistor values are very low! 5.00005 m $\Omega$  is 5.00005 milli-ohms, or 0.00500005 ohms! To achieve these low resistances, ammeter shunt resistors often have to be custom-made from relatively large-diameter wire or solid pieces of metal. One thing to be aware of when sizing ammeter shunt resistors is the factor of power dissipation. Unlike the voltmeter, an ammeter's range resistors have to carry large amounts of current. If those shunt resistors are not sized accordingly, they may overheat and suffer damage, or at the very least lose accuracy due to overheating. For the example meter above, the power dissipations at full-scale indication are (the double-squiggly lines represent "approximately equal to" in mathematics): 500.5 m $\Omega$  0.5 W 5.05  $\Omega$  49.5 mW An 1/8 watt resistor would work just fine for R 4 , a 1/2 watt resistor would suffice for R 3 and a 5 watt for R 2 (although resistors tend to maintain their long-term accuracy better if not operated near their rated power dissipation, so you might want to over-rate resistors R 2 and R 3 ), but precision 50 watt resistors are rare and expensive components indeed. A custom resistor made from metal stock or thick wire may have to be constructed for R 1 to meet both the requirements of low resistance and high power rating. Sometimes, shunt resistors are used in conjunction with voltmeters of high input resistance to measure current. In these cases, the current through the voltmeter movement is small enough to be considered negligible, and the shunt

thick wire may have to be constructed for R1 to meet both the requirements of low resistance and high power rating. Sometimes, shunt resistors are used in conjunction with voltmeters of high input resistance to measure current. In these cases, the current through the voltmeter movement is small enough to be considered negligible, and the shunt resistance can be sized according to how many volts or millivolts of drop will be produced per amp of current: + V current to be measured measured current to be voltmeter R shunt If, for example, the shunt resistor in the above circuit were sized at precisely 1 Ω, there would be 1 volt dropped across it for every amp of current through it. The voltmeter indication could then be taken as a direct indication of current through the shunt. For measuring very small currents, higher values of shunt resistance could be used to generate more voltage drop per given unit of current, thus extending the usable range of the (volt)meter down into lower amounts of current. The use of voltmeters in conjunction with low-value shunt resistances for the measurement of current is something commonly seen in industrial applications. The use of a shunt resistor along with a voltmeter to measure current can be a useful trick for simplifying the task of frequent current measurements in a circuit. Normally, to measure current through a circuit with an ammeter, the circuit would have to be broken (interrupted) and the ammeter inserted between the separated wire ends, like this: If we have a circuit where current needs to be measured often, or we would just like to make the process of current measurement more convenient, a shunt resistor could be placed between those points and left there permanently, current readings taken with a voltmeter as needed without interrupting continuity in the circuit: Of course, care must be taken in sizing the shunt resistor low enough so that it doesn't adversely affect the circuit's normal operation, but this is generally not difficult to do. This technique might also be useful in computer circuit analysis, where we might want to have the computer display current through a circuit in terms of a voltage (with SPICE, this would allow us to avoid the idiosyncrasy of reading negative current values): We would interpret the voltage reading across the shunt resistor (between circuit nodes 1 and 2 in the SPICE simulation) directly as amps, with 7.999E-04

being 0.7999 mA, or 799.9  $\mu$ A. Ideally, 12 volts applied directly across 15 k $\Omega$  would give us exactly 0.8 mA, but the resistance of the shunt lessens that current just a tiny bit (as it would in real life). However, such a tiny error is generally well within acceptable limits of accuracy for either a simulation or a real circuit, and so shunt resistors can be used in all but the most demanding applications for accurate current measurement.

- REVIEW: • Ammeter ranges are created by adding parallel "shunt" resistors to the movement circuit, providing a precise current division.
- Shunt resistors may have high power dissipations, so be careful when choosing parts for such meters!
- Shunt resistors can be used in conjunction with high-resistance voltmeters as well as low-resistance ammeter movements, producing accurate voltage drops for given amounts of current. Shunt resistors should be selected for as low a resistance value as possible to minimize their impact upon the circuit under test. Just like voltmeters, ammeters tend to influence the amount of current in the circuits they're connected to. However, unlike the ideal voltmeter, the ideal ammeter has zero internal resistance, so as to drop as little voltage as possible as electrons flow through it. Note that this ideal resistance value is exactly opposite as that of a voltmeter. With voltmeters, we want as little current to be drawn as possible from the circuit under test. With ammeters, we want as little voltage to be dropped as possible while conducting current. Here is an extreme example of an ammeter's effect upon a circuit: With the ammeter disconnected from this circuit, the current through the 3  $\Omega$  resistor would be 666.7 mA, and the current through the 1.5  $\Omega$  resistor would be 1.33 amps. If the ammeter had an internal resistance of 1/2  $\Omega$ , and it were inserted into one of the branches of this circuit, though, its resistance would seriously affect the measured branch current: Having effectively increased the left branch resistance from 3  $\Omega$  to 3.5  $\Omega$ , the ammeter will read 571.43 mA instead of 666.7 mA. Placing the same ammeter in the right branch would affect the current to an even greater extent: Now the right branch current is 1 amp instead of 1.333 amps, due to the increase in resistance created by the addition of the ammeter into the current path. When using standard ammeters that connect in series with the circuit being measured, it might not be practical or possible to

right branch would affect the current to an even greater extent: Now the right branch current is 1 amp instead of 1.333 amps, due to the increase in resistance created by the addition of the ammeter into the current path. When using standard ammeters that connect in series with the circuit being measured, it might not be practical or possible to redesign the meter for a lower input (lead-to-lead) resistance. However, if we were selecting a value of shunt resistor to place in the circuit for a current measurement based on voltage drop, and we had our choice of a wide range of resistances, it would be best to choose the lowest practical resistance for the application. Any more resistance than necessary and the shunt may impact the circuit adversely by adding excessive resistance in the current path. One ingenious way to reduce the impact that a current-measuring device has on a circuit is to use the circuit wire as part of the ammeter movement itself. All current-carrying wires produce a magnetic field, the strength of which is in direct proportion to the strength of the current. By building an instrument that measures the strength of that magnetic field, a nocontact ammeter can be produced. Such a meter is able to measure the current through a conductor without even having to make physical contact with the circuit, much less break continuity or insert additional resistance.

current to be measured magnetic field encircling the current-carrying conductor clamp-on ammeter Ammeters of this design are made, and are called "clamp-on" meters because they have "jaws" which can be opened and then secured around a circuit wire. Clamp-on ammeters make for quick and safe current measurements, especially on high-power industrial circuits. Because the circuit under test has had no additional resistance inserted into it by a clamp-on meter, there is no error induced in taking a current measurement. current to be measured magnetic field encircling the current-carrying conductor clamp-on ammeterThe actual movement mechanism of a clamp-on ammeter is much the same as for an ironvane instrument, except that there is no internal wire coil to generate the magnetic field. More modern designs of clamp-on ammeters utilize a small magnetic field detector device called a Hall-effect sensor to accurately determine field strength. Some clamp-on meters contain electronic amplifier circuitry to generate a small

voltage proportional to the current in the wire between the jaws, that small voltage connected to a voltmeter for convenient readout by a technician. Thus, a clamp-on unit can be an accessory device to a voltmeter, for current measurement. A less accurate type of magnetic-field-sensing ammeter than the clamp-on style is shown in the following photograph: The operating principle for this ammeter is identical to the clamp-on style of meter: the circular magnetic field surrounding a current-carrying conductor deflects the meter's needle, producing an indication on the scale. Note how there are two current scales on this particular meter: +/-75 amps and +/-400 amps. These two measurement scales correspond to the two sets of notches on the back of the meter. Depending on which set of notches the current-carrying conductor is laid in, a given strength of magnetic field will have a different amount of effect on the needle. In effect, the two different positions of the conductor relative to the movement act as two different range resistors in a direct-connection style of ammeter.

- REVIEW: • An ideal ammeter has zero resistance.
- A "clamp-on" ammeter measures current through a wire by measuring the strength of the magnetic field around it rather than by becoming part of the circuit, making it an ideal ammeter.
- Clamp-on meters make for quick and safe current measurements, because there is no conductive contact between the meter and the circuit.

Though mechanical ohmmeter (resistance meter) designs are rarely used today, having largely been superseded by digital instruments, their operation is nonetheless intriguing and worthy of study. The purpose of an ohmmeter, of course, is to measure the resistance placed between its leads. This resistance reading is indicated through a mechanical meter movement which operates on electric current. The ohmmeter must then have an internal source of voltage to create the necessary current to operate the movement, and also have appropriate ranging resistors to allow just the right amount of current through the movement at any given resistance. With 9 volts of battery potential and only  $500\ \Omega$  of movement resistance, our circuit current will be  $18\text{ mA}$ , which is far beyond the full-scale rating of the movement. Such an excess of current will likely damage the meter. Not only that, but having such a condition limits the usefulness of the device. If full-left-of-scale

movement at any given resistance. With 9 volts of battery potential and only 500  $\Omega$  of movement resistance, our circuit current will be 18 mA, which is far beyond the full-scale rating of the movement. Such an excess of current will likely damage the meter. Not only that, but having such a condition limits the usefulness of the device. If full left-of-scale on the meter face represents an infinite amount of resistance, then full right-of-scale should represent zero. Currently, our design "pegs" the meter movement hard to the right when zero resistance is attached between the leads. We need a way to make it so that the movement just registers full-scale when the test leads are shorted together. This is accomplished by adding a series resistance to the meter's circuit: black test lead lead red test + -500  $\Omega$  F.S. = 1 mA To determine the proper value for R, we calculate the total circuit resistance needed to limit current to 1 mA (full-scale deflection on the movement) with 9 volts of potential from the battery, then subtract the movement's internal resistance from that figure:  $R_{\text{total}} = E/I = 9 \text{ V} / 1 \text{ mA}$   $R_{\text{total}} = 9 \text{ k}\Omega$   $R = R_{\text{total}} - 500 \Omega = 8.5 \text{ k}\Omega$  Now that the right value for R has been calculated, we're still left with a problem of meter range. On the left side of the scale we have "infinity" and on the right side we have zero. Besides being "backwards" from the scales of voltmeters and ammeters, this scale is strange because it goes from nothing to everything, rather than from nothing to a finite value (such as 10 volts, 1 amp, etc.). One might pause to wonder, "what does middle-of-scale represent? What figure lies exactly between zero and infinity?" Infinity is more than just a very big amount: it is an incalculable quantity, larger than any definite number ever could be. If half-scale indication on any other type of meter represents 1/2 of the full-scale range value, then what is half of infinity on an ohmmeter scale? The answer to this paradox is a nonlinear scale. Simply put, the scale of an ohmmeter does not smoothly progress from zero to infinity as the needle sweeps from right to left. Rather, the scale starts out "expanded" at the right-hand side, with the successive resistance values growing closer and closer to each other toward the left side of the scale: Infinity cannot be approached in a linear (even) fashion, because the scale would never get there! With a nonlinear scale, the amount of resistance spanned for any given distance on the

scale increases as the scale progresses toward infinity, making infinity an attainable goal. We still have a question of range for our ohmmeter, though. What value of resistance between the test leads will cause exactly 1/2 scale deflection of the needle? If we know that the movement has a full-scale rating of 1 mA, then 0.5 mA (500  $\mu$ A) must be the value needed for half-scale deflection. Following our design with the 9 volt battery as a source we get:  $R_{\text{total}} = E/I = 9 \text{ V}$   $R_{\text{total}} = 18 \text{ k}\Omega$  500  $\mu$ A With an internal movement resistance of 500  $\Omega$  and a series range resistor of 8.5 k $\Omega$ , this leaves 9 k $\Omega$  for an external (lead-to-lead) test resistance at 1/2 scale. In other words, the test resistance giving 1/2 scale deflection in an ohmmeter is equal in value to the (internal) series total resistance of the meter circuit. Using Ohm's Law a few more times, we can determine the test resistance value for 1/4 and 3/4 scale deflection as well: One major problem with this design is its reliance upon a stable battery voltage for accurate resistance reading. If the battery voltage decreases (as all chemical batteries do with age and use), the ohmmeter scale will lose accuracy. With the series range resistor at a constant value of 8.5 k $\Omega$  and the battery voltage decreasing, the meter will no longer deflect full-scale to the right when the test leads are shorted together (0  $\Omega$ ). Likewise, a test resistance of 9 k $\Omega$  will fail to deflect the needle to exactly 1/2 scale with a lesser battery voltage. There are design techniques used to compensate for varying battery voltage, but they do not completely take care of the problem and are to be considered approximations at best. For this reason, and for the fact of the nonlinear scale, this type of ohmmeter is never considered to be a precision instrument. One final caveat needs to be mentioned with regard to ohmmeters: they only function correctly when measuring resistance that is not being powered by a voltage or current source. In other words, you cannot measure resistance with an ohmmeter on a "live" circuit! The reason for this is simple: the ohmmeter's accurate indication depends on the only source of voltage being its internal battery. The presence of any voltage across the component to be measured will interfere with the ohmmeter's operation. If the voltage is large enough, it may even damage the ohmmeter.

indication depends on the only source of voltage being its internal battery. The presence of any voltage across the component to be measured will interfere with the ohmmeter's operation. If the voltage is large enough, it may even damage the ohmmeter. • REVIEW: Ohmmeters contain internal sources of voltage to supply power in taking resistance measurements. • An analog ohmmeter scale is "backwards" from that of a voltmeter or ammeter, the movement needle reading zero resistance at full-scale and infinite resistance at rest. • Analog ohmmeters also have nonlinear scales, "expanded" at the low end of the scale and "compressed" at the high end to be able to span from zero to infinite resistance. • Analog ohmmeters are not precision instruments. • Ohmmeters should never be connected to an energized circuit (that is, a circuit with its own source of voltage). Any voltage applied to the test leads of an ohmmeter will invalidate its reading. Most ohmmeters of the design shown in the previous section utilize a battery of relatively low voltage, usually nine volts or less. This is perfectly adequate for measuring resistances under several mega-ohms ( $M\Omega$ ), but when extremely high resistances need to be measured, a 9 volt battery is insufficient for generating enough current to actuate an electromechanical meter movement. Also, as discussed in an earlier chapter, resistance is not always a stable (linear) quantity. This is especially true of non-metals. Recall the graph of current over voltage for a small air gap (less than an inch):ionization potential. While this is an extreme example of nonlinear conduction, other substances exhibit similar insulating/conducting properties when exposed to high voltages. Obviously, an ohmmeter using a low-voltage battery as a source of power cannot measure resistance at the ionization potential of a gas, or at the breakdown voltage of an insulator. If such resistance values need to be measured, nothing but a high-voltage ohmmeter will suffice. The most direct method of high-voltage resistance measurement involves simply substituting a higher voltage battery in the same basic design of ohmmeter investigated earlier: black test lead/red test leadKnowing, however, that the resistance of some materials tends to change with applied voltage, it would be advantageous to be able to adjust the voltage of this ohmmeter to obtain resistance measurements under different conditions: black test lead/red test lead.

test lead lead red test + -Unfortunately, this would create a calibration problem for the meter. If the meter movement deflects full-scale with a certain amount of current through it, the full-scale range of the meter in ohms would change as the source voltage changed. Imagine connecting a stable resistance across the test leads of this ohmmeter while varying the source voltage: as the voltage is increased, there will be more current through the meter movement, hence a greater amount of deflection. What we really need is a meter movement that will produce a consistent, stable deflection for any stable resistance value measured, regardless of the applied voltage. Accomplishing this design goal requires a special meter movement, one that is peculiar to megohmmeters, or meggers, as these instruments are known. The numbered, rectangular blocks in the above illustration are cross-sectional representations of wire coils. These three coils all move with the needle mechanism. There is no spring mechanism to return the needle to a set position. When the movement is unpowered, the needle will randomly "float." The coils are electrically connected like this: 2 3 1 Test leads Red Black With infinite resistance between the test leads (open circuit), there will be no current through coil 1, only through coils 2 and 3. When energized, these coils try to center themselves in the gap between the two magnet poles, driving the needle fully to the right of the scale where it points to "infinity." Any current through coil 1 (through a measured resistance connected between the test leads) tends to drive the needle to the left of scale, back to zero. The internal resistor values of the meter movement are calibrated so that when the test leads are shorted together, the needle deflects exactly to the  $0 \Omega$  position. Because any variations in battery voltage will affect the torque generated by both sets of coils (coils 2 and 3, which drive the needle to the right, and coil 1, which drives the needle to the left), those variations will have no effect on the calibration of the movement. In other words, the accuracy of this ohmmeter movement is unaffected by battery voltage: a given amount of measured resistance will produce a certain needle deflection, no matter how much or little battery voltage is present. The only effect that a variation in voltage will have on meter indication is the degree to which the measured resistance changes with applied

accuracy of this ohmmeter movement is unaffected by battery voltage, a given amount of measured resistance will produce a certain needle deflection, no matter how much or little battery voltage is present. The only effect that a variation in voltage will have on meter indication is the degree to which the measured resistance changes with applied voltage. So, if we were to use a megger to measure the resistance of a gas-discharge lamp, it would read very high resistance (needle to the far right of the scale) for low voltages and low resistance (needle moves to the left of the scale) for high voltages. This is precisely what we expect from a good high-voltage ohmmeter: to provide accurate indication of subject resistance under different circumstances. For maximum safety, most meggers are equipped with hand-crank generators for producing the high DC voltage (up to 1000 volts). If the operator of the meter receives a shock from the high voltage, the condition will be self-correcting, as he or she will naturally stop cranking the generator! Sometimes a "slip clutch" is used to stabilize generator speed under different cranking conditions, so as to provide a fairly stable voltage whether it is cranked fast or slow. Multiple voltage output levels from the generator are available by the setting of a selector switch. A simple hand-crank megger is shown in this photograph: Some meggers are battery-powered to provide greater precision in output voltage. For safety reasons these meggers are activated by a momentary-contact pushbutton switch, so the switch cannot be left in the "on" position and pose a significant shock hazard to the meter operator. Real meggers are equipped with three connection terminals, labeled Line, Earth, and Guard. The schematic is quite similar to the simplified version shown earlier: 2 3 1 High voltageResistance is measured between the Line and Earth terminals, where current will travel through coil 1. The "Guard" terminal is provided for special testing situations where one resistance must be isolated from another. Take for instance this scenario where the insulation resistance is to be tested in a two-wire cable: conductor insulation conductor sheath cable To measure insulation resistance from a conductor to the outside of the cable, we need to connect the "Line" lead of the megger to one of the conductors and connect the "Earth" lead of the megger to a wire wrapped around the length of the cable, in such a way as to be insulated from the conductor and the sheath.

the sheath of the cable: wire wrapped around cable sheath L E G In this configuration the megger should read the resistance between one conductor and the outside sheath. Or will it? If we draw a schematic diagram showing all insulation resistances as resistor symbols, what we have looks like this: Rather than just measure the resistance of the second conductor to the sheath ( $R_{c2-s}$ ), what we'll actually measure is that resistance in parallel with the series combination of conductor-to-conductor resistance ( $R_{c1-c2}$ ) and the first conductor to the sheath ( $R_{c1-s}$ ). If we don't care about this fact, we can proceed with the test as configured. If we desire to measure only the resistance between the second conductor and the sheath ( $R_{c2-s}$ ), then we need to use the megger's "Guard" terminal: wire wrapped around cable sheath L E G Megger with "Guard" connected Now the circuit schematic looks like this: Earth Line Guard Connecting the "Guard" terminal to the first conductor places the two conductors at almost equal potential. With little or no voltage between them, the insulation resistance is nearly infinite, and thus there will be no current between the two conductors. Consequently, the megger's resistance indication will be based exclusively on the current through the second conductor's insulation, through the cable sheath, and to the wire wrapped around, not the current leaking through the first conductor's insulation. Meggers are field instruments: that is, they are designed to be portable and operated by a technician on the job site with as much ease as a regular ohmmeter. They are very useful for checking high-resistance "short" failures between wires caused by wet or degraded insulation. Because they utilize such high voltages, they are not as affected by stray voltages (voltages less than 1 volt produced by electrochemical reactions between conductors, or "induced" by neighboring magnetic fields) as ordinary ohmmeters. For a more thorough test of wire insulation, another high-voltage ohmmeter commonly called a hi-pot tester is used. These specialized instruments produce voltages in excess of 1 kV, and may be used for testing the insulating effectiveness of oil, ceramic insulators, and even the integrity of other high-voltage instruments. Because they are capable of producing such high voltages, they must be operated with the utmost care, and only by trained personnel. It should be noted that hi-pot

instruments produce voltages in excess of 1 kV, and may be used for testing the insulating effectiveness of oil, ceramic insulators, and even the integrity of other high voltage instruments. Because they are capable of producing such high voltages, they must be operated with the utmost care, and only by trained personnel. It should be noted that in most testers and even meggers (in certain conditions) are capable of damaging wire insulation if incorrectly used. Once an insulating material has been subjected to breakdown by the application of an excessive voltage, its ability to electrically insulate will be compromised. Again, these instruments are to be used only by trained personnel. Seeing as how a common meter movement can be made to function as a voltmeter, ammeter, or ohmmeter simply by connecting it to different external resistor networks, it should make sense that a multi-purpose meter ("multimeter") could be designed in one unit with the appropriate switch(es) and resistors. For general purpose electronics work, the multimeter reigns supreme as the instrument of choice. No other device is able to do so much with so little an investment in parts and elegant simplicity of operation. As with most things in the world of electronics, the advent of solidstate components like transistors has revolutionized the way things are done, and multimeter design is no exception to this rule. However, in keeping with this chapter's emphasis on analog ("old-fashioned") meter technology, I'll show you a few pre-transistor meters. The unit shown above is typical of a handheld analog multimeter, with ranges for voltage, current, and resistance measurement. Note the many scales on the face of the meter movement for the different ranges and functions selectable by the rotary switch. The wires for connecting this instrument to a circuit (the "test leads") are plugged into the two copper jacks (socket holes) at the bottom-center of the meter face marked "-TEST +", black and red. This multimeter (Barnett brand) takes a slightly different design approach than the previous unit. Note how the rotary selector switch has fewer positions than the previous meter, but also how there are many more jacks into which the test leads may be plugged into. Each one of those jacks is labeled with a number indicating the respective full-scale range of the meter. Lastly, here is a picture of a digital multimeter. Note that the familiar meter movement has been replaced by a blank seven-segment display screen. When powered, numerical digits appear in that screen, one digit at a time, depicting the amount of voltage, current, or resistance being measured. This particular hand-held model of digital multimeter has a rotary selector switch and four jacks into which test leads can be plugged. Two leads are red and one black.



two or with three wires connecting the gauge to the bridge) works as a thermometer just as well as it does a strain indicator. If all we want to do is measure strain, this is not good. We can transcend this problem, however, by using a "dummy" strain gauge in place of R<sub>2</sub>, so that both elements of the rheostat arm will change resistance in the same proportion when temperature changes, thus canceling the effects of temperature change: Resistors R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> are of equal resistance value, and the strain gauges are identical to one another. With no applied force, the bridge should be in a perfectly balanced condition and the voltmeter should register 0 volts. Both gauges are bonded to the same test specimen, but only one is placed in a position and orientation so as to be exposed to physical strain (the active gauge). The other gauge is isolated from all mechanical stress, and acts merely as a temperature compensation device (the "dummy" gauge). If the temperature changes, both gauge resistances will change by the same percentage, and the bridge's state of balance will remain unaffected. Only a differential resistance (difference of resistance between the two strain gauges) produced by physical force on the test specimen can alter the balance of the bridge. Wire resistance doesn't impact the accuracy of the circuit as much as before, because the wires connecting both strain gauges to the bridge are approximately equal length. Therefore, the upper and lower sections of the bridge's rheostat arm contain approximately the same amount of strain resistance, and their effects tend to cancel. Even though there are now two strain gauges in the bridge circuit, only one is responsive to mechanical strain and thus we would still refer to this arrangement as a quarter-bridge. However, if we were to take the upper strain gauge and position it so that it is exposed to the opposite force as the lower gauge (i.e. when the upper temperature is compressed, the lower gauge will be stretched, and vice versa), we will have both gauges responding to strain, and the bridge will be more responsive to applied force. This utilization is known as a half-bridge. Since both strain gauges will either increase or decrease resistance by the same proportion in response to temperature, the effects of temperature change will be canceled, and the circuit will suffer minimal temperature-induced measurement error. An example of how a pair of strain gauges may be bonded to a test specimen so as to yield this effect is illustrated here: Strain gauge #1 with no force applied to the test specimen, both strain gauges have equal resistance and the bridge circuit is balanced. However, when a downward force is applied to the free end of the specimen, it will bend downward, stretching gauge #1 and compressing gauge #2 at the same time. In applications where such complementary pairs of strain gauges can be bonded to the test specimen, it may be advantageous to make all four elements of the bridge "active" for even greater sensitivity. This is called a full-bridge circuit. Both half-bridge and full-bridge configurations grant greater sensitivity over the quarter-bridge circuit, but often it is not possible to bond complementary pairs of strain gauges to the test specimen. Thus, the quarter-bridge circuit is frequently used in strain measurement systems. When possible, the full-bridge configuration is the best to use. This is true not only because it is more sensitive than the others, but because it is linear while the others are not. Quarter-bridge and half-bridge circuits provide an output (imbalance) signal that is only approximately proportional to applied strain force. Linearity, or proportionality, of these bridge circuits is best when the amount of resistance change due to applied force is very small compared to the nominal resistance of the gauge(s). With a full-bridge, however, the output voltage is directly proportional to applied force, with no approximation provided that the change in resistance caused by the applied force is equal for all four strain gauges. Unlike the Wheatstone and Kelvin bridges, which provide measurement at a condition of perfect balance and therefore function irrespective of source voltage, the amount of source (or "excitation") voltage matters in an unbalanced bridge like this. Therefore, strain gauge bridges are rated in millivolts of excitation voltage per unit measure of force. A typical example for a strain gauge of the type used for measuring force in industrial environments is 15 mV/V at 1000 pounds of applied force (either compressive or tensile), the bridge will be unbalanced by 15 millivolts for every volt of excitation voltage. Again, such a figure is precise if the bridge circuit is full-active (four active strain gauges, one in each arm of the bridge), but only approximate for half-bridge and bridge arrangements. Strain gauges may be purchased as complete units, with both strain gauge elements and bridge resistors in one housing, sealed and encapsulated for protection from the elements, and equipped with mechanical fastening points for attachment to a machine or structure. Such a package is typically called a load cell. Like many of the other topics addressed in this chapter, strain gauge systems can become quite complex, and a full dissertation on strain gauges would be beyond the scope of this book. **REVIEW:** A strain gauge is a thin strip of metal designed to measure mechanical load by changing resistance when stressed (stretched or compressed within its elastic limit). Strain gauge resistance changes are typically measured in a bridge circuit, to allow for precise measurement of the small resistance changes, and to provide compensation for resistance variations due to temperature. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information. Jason Starck - HTML document formatting, which led to a much better looking second edition. To illustrate how even a simple circuit can be analyzed by breakdown into series and parallel portions, take start with this series-parallel circuit: To analyze the above circuit, one would first find the equivalent of R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> in parallel, then add R<sub>1</sub> in series to arrive at a total resistance. Then, taking the voltage of battery B<sub>1</sub> with that total circuit resistance, the total current could be calculated through the use of Ohm's Law ( $I = V/R$ ), then that current figure used to calculate voltage drop in the circuit. All in all, a fairly simple procedure. However, the addition of just one more battery could change all of that: Resistors R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> are no longer in parallel with each other, because B<sub>2</sub> has been inserted into R<sub>3</sub>'s branch of the circuit. Upon closer inspection, it appears there are no two resistors in this circuit directly in series or parallel with each other, reducing them to single equivalent resistances. If there are no resistors in a simple series or parallel configuration with each other, then what can we do? It should be clear that this seemingly simple circuit, with only three resistors, is impossible to reduce as a combination of simple series and simple parallel circuits. It is something different altogether. However, this is not the only type of circuit defined by series/parallel analysis: Here we have a bridge circuit, and for the sake of example we will suppose that it is not balanced (ratio R<sub>1</sub>/R<sub>4</sub> not equal to ratio R<sub>2</sub>/R<sub>3</sub>). If it were balanced, there would be zero current through R<sub>3</sub>, and it could be approached as a series/parallel combination circuit (R<sub>1</sub> + R<sub>4</sub>) / R<sub>2</sub> = R<sub>3</sub>). However, any current through R<sub>3</sub> makes the series/parallel analysis impossible: R<sub>1</sub> is not in series with R<sub>4</sub> because R<sub>3</sub> is in parallel with R<sub>2</sub>. At this point, the heart of the problem is the existence of multiple unknown quantities. At least in a series/parallel combination circuit, there is a way to find total resistance and total voltage leaving total current as a single unknown value to calculate (and then that current was used to satisfy previously unknown variables in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed). With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kirchhoff's Current Law is the first step in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed. With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kirchhoff's Current Law is the first step in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed. With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kirchhoff's Current Law is the first step in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed. With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kirchhoff's Current Law is the first step in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed. With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kirchhoff's Current Law is the first step in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed. With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kirchhoff's Current Law is the first step in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed. With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kirchhoff's Current Law is the first step in the reduction process until the entire circuit could be analyzed. With these problems, more than one parameter (variable) is involved at the most basic level of circuit simplification. With the two-battery circuit, there is no way to arrive at a value for total resistance, because there are two sources of power to provide voltage and current (we would need two "total" resistances in order to proceed with an Ohm's Law calculation). With the unbalanced bridge circuit, there is such a thing as total resistance across the battery (paying attention to polarity), but that total current immediately splits up into unknown proportions at each of the resistors, so no single Ohm's Law calculation for voltage ( $E = IR$ ) can be carried out. So what can we do when we're faced with multiple resistors in a single circuit? The answer is to break the circuit down into smaller parts, and then solve for the individual resistances. (In simulation, and also known as R.E.I. However, when we're solving for multiple unknown values, we must have the same number of equations as we have unknowns in order to reach a solution.) There are several methods of solving simultaneous equations in systems of equations, whereby multiple unknown variables are solved by relating them to each other via multiple equations. In a scenario with only one unknown variable, there only needs to be a single equation to solve for that variable. In a system of equations, however, many scientific and programmable calculators are able to solve for simultaneous unknowns. It is recommended to use such a calculator when first learning how to analyze these circuits. Later on, we'll see that some clever people have found tricks to avoid having to use simultaneous equations on these types of circuits. We call these tricks network theorems, and we will explore a few later in this chapter. **REVIEW:** Some circuit configurations ("networks") cannot be solved by reduction according to series/parallel circuit rules, due to multiple unknown values. A mathematical technique to solve for multiple unknowns (called "simultaneous equations" or "systems") can be applied to basic laws of circuits to solve networks. The first step is to choose a node (junction of wires) in the circuit to use as a point of reference for our unknown currents. I'll choose the node joining the right of R<sub>1</sub>, the top of R<sub>2</sub>, and the left of R<sub>3</sub>. At this node, guess which directions the three wires currents take, labeling the top current as I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> respectively. Bear in mind that these directions of current are speculative at this point. Fortunately, if it turns out that any of our guesses were wrong, we will know when we mathematically solve for the currents (any "wrong" current directions will show up as negative numbers in our solution). Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) tells us that the algebraic sum of currents entering and exiting a node must equal zero, so we can relate these three currents (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub>) to each other in a single equation. For the sake of convention, I'll denote any current entering the node as negative in sign, and any current exiting the node as positive in sign. So we can write the following equation for Kirchhoff's Current Law applied to node R<sub>3</sub>:  $I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$ . Kir

not Ohm's Law, this will suffice. • REVIEW: "Delta" ( $\Delta$ ) networks are also known as "Pi" ( $\pi$ ) networks. • "Y" networks are also known as "T" networks. •  $\Delta$  and Y networks can be converted to their equivalent counterparts with the proper resistance equations. By "equivalent," I mean that the two networks will be electrically identical as measured from the three terminals (A, B, and C). • A bridge circuit can be simplified to a series/parallel circuit by converting half of it from a  $\Delta$  to a Y network. After voltage drops between the original three connection points (A, B, and C) have been solved for, those voltages can be transferred back to the original bridge circuit, across those same equivalent points. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information. (1) A.E. Fitzgerald. So far in our discussions on electricity and electric circuits, we have not discussed in any detail how batteries function. Rather, we have simply assumed that they produce constant voltage through some sort of mysterious process. Here, we will explore that process to some degree and cover some of the practical considerations involved with real batteries and their use in power systems. In the first chapter of this book, the concept of an atom was discussed, as being the basic building-block of all material objects. Atoms, in turn, are composed of even smaller pieces of matter called particles. Electrons, protons, and neutrons are the basic types of particles found in atoms. Each of these particle types plays a distinct role in the behavior of an atom. While electrical activity involves the motion of electrons, the chemical identity of an atom (which largely determines how conductive the material will be) is determined by the number of protons in the nucleus. The protons in an atom's nucleus are extremely difficult to dislodge, and so the chemical identity of any atom is very stable. One of the goals of the ancient alchemists (to turn lead into gold) was foiled by this sub-atomic stability. All efforts to alter how conductive the material will be are determined by the number of electrons in the nucleus. The electrons in an atom, however, are much more easily dislodged. As we have already seen, motion is one way in which electrons can be transferred from one atom to another (glass and silk, wax and wool), and so is heat (generating voltage by heating a junction or dissimilar metals, as in the case of thermocouples). Electrons can do much more than just move around between atoms; they can also serve to link different atoms together. This linking of atoms by electrons is called a chemical bond. A crude (and simplified) representation of such a bond between two atoms might look like this: There are several types of chemical bonds, the ones shown above being representative of a covalent bond, where electrons are shared between atoms. Because chemical bonds are based on links formed by electrons, these bonds are only as strong as the immobility of the electrons forming them. That is to say, chemical bonds can be created or broken by the same forces that force electrons to move: heat, light, friction, etc. When atoms are joined by chemical bonds, they form materials with unique properties known as allotropes. The dual atom diagram shown above is an example of a single molecule formed by two atoms of the same type. Most molecules are composed of different types of atoms. Even though atoms of the same type have slightly different physical properties, the total element has unique properties that sum up to something else. For instance, carbon atoms link together in a different way than oxygen atoms, forming the material of everyday bandages. In yet another case, Fullerene (a dozen or so carbon atoms form a cage molecule) looks something like a soccer ball. Fullerenes molecules are used in everything from lighting equipment to space travel. The bond formed by the excessively rich combustion of acetylene gas (as in the initial ignition of an oxy-acetylene welding/cutting torch) contains many Fullerene molecules. When alchemists succeeded in changing the properties of a substance by heat, light, friction, or mixture with other substances, they were really observing changes in the types of molecules formed by atoms breaking and forming bonds with other atoms. Chemistry is the modern counterpart to alchemy, and concerns itself primarily with the properties of these chemical bonds and the reactions associated with them. A type of chemical bond of particular interest to our study of batteries is the so-called ionic bond, and it differs from the covalent bond in that one atom of the molecule possesses an excess of electrons while another atom lacks electrons; the bonds between them being a result of the electrostatic attraction between the two unlike charges. When ionic bonds are formed from neutral atoms, there is a transfer of electrons between the positively and negatively charged atoms. An atom that gains an excess of electrons is said to be reduced, an atom with a deficiency of electrons is said to be oxidized. A mnemonic to help remember the definitions is OIL RIC (oxidized is less, reduced is gained). It is important to note that molecules will often contain both ionic and covalent bonds. Sodium hydroxide ( $\text{NaOH}$ ) has an ionic bond between the sodium atom (positive) and the hydroxyl ion (negative). The hydroxyl ion has a covalent bond (shown as a bar) between the hydrogen and oxygen atoms; Sodium only loses one electron, so its charge is +1 in the above example. If an atom loses more than one electron, the resulting charge can be indicated as +2, +3, +4, etc., or by a Roman numeral in parentheses showing the oxidation state, such as (I), (II), (IV), etc. Some atoms can have multiple oxidation states, and it is sometimes important to include the oxidation state in the molecular formula to avoid ambiguity. The formation of ionic bonds from neutral atoms or molecules (or vice versa) involves the transfer of electrons. That transfer of electrons can be harnessed to generate an electric current. A device constructed to do just this is called a voltaic cell, or cell for short, usually consisting of two metal electrodes immersed in a chemical mixture (called an electrolyte) designed to facilitate such an electrochemical (oxidation/reduction) reaction: electrolyte solution + electrode + . The two electrodes are made of different materials, both of which chemically react with the electrolyte in some form of ionic bonding. In the common "lead-acid" cell (the kind commonly used in automobiles), the negative electrode is made of lead (Pb) and the positive is made of lead (IV) dioxide ( $\text{PbO}_2$ ), both metallic substances. It is important to note that lead dioxide is metallic; and is an electrical conductor, unlike other metal oxides that are usually insulators. (note: 1) The electrolyte solution is a dilute sulfuric acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). If the electrodes of the cell are connected to an external circuit, such that electrons have a place to flow from one to the other, lead(IV) atoms in the positive electrode ( $\text{PbO}_2$ ) will gain two electrons each to produce  $\text{PbSO}_4$ . The oxygen atoms which are "left over" combine with positively charged hydrogen ions ( $\text{H}^+$ ) to form water ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). This flow of electrons into the lead dioxide ( $\text{PbO}_2$ ) electrode, gives it a positive electrical charge. Consequently, lead atoms in the negative electrode will give up two electrons each to produce lead ( $\text{PbII}$ ), which combines with sulfate ions ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) produced from the dissociation of the hydrogen ions ( $\text{H}^+$ ) from the sulfuric acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) to form lead sulfate ( $\text{PbSO}_4$ ). The flow of electrons out of the lead electrode gives it a negative electrical charge. These reactions are shown diagrammatically below: At (-) electrode/Oxidation: 1. Note on lead oxide nomenclature: The nomenclature for lead oxides can be confusing. The term, lead oxide can be referred to either  $\text{Pb}(II)\text{O}_2$  or  $\text{Pb}(IV)\text{O}_2$ , and the correct compound can be determined from context. Other synonyms for  $\text{Pb}(IV)\text{O}_2$  are: lead dioxide, lead peroxide, plumbic oxide, lead oxide brown, and lead superoxide. The term, lead peroxide is particularly confusing, as it implies a compound of lead (II) with two oxygen atoms.  $\text{Pb}(II)\text{O}_2$ , which apparently does not exist. Unfortunately, the term lead peroxide has persisted in industrial literature. In this section, lead dioxide will be used to refer to  $\text{Pb}(IV)\text{O}_2$ , and lead oxide will refer to  $\text{Pb}(II)\text{O}_2$ . The oxidation states will not be shown usually. This process of the cell providing electrical energy to supply a load is called discharging, since it is depleting its internal chemical reserves. Theoretically, after all of the sulfuric acid has been exhausted, the result will be two electrodes of lead sulfate ( $\text{PbSO}_4$ ) and an electrolyte solution of pure water ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), leaving no more capacity for additional ionic bonding. In this state, the cell is said to be fully discharged. In a lead-acid cell, the state of charge can be determined by an analysis of acid strength. This is easily accomplished with a device called a hydrometer, which measures the specific gravity (density) of the electrolyte. Sulfuric acid is denser than water, so the greater the charge of a cell, the greater the acid concentration, and thus a denser electrolyte solution. There is no simple chemical reaction representative of all volt cells, so any detailed discussion of chemistry is bound to have limited application. The important thing to understand is that electrons are motivated to and/or from the cell's electrodes via ionic reactions between the electrode molecules and the electrolyte molecules. The reaction is enabled when there is an external path for electric current, and ceases when that path is broken. Being that the motivation for electrons to move through a cell is chemical in nature, the amount of voltage (electromotive force) generated by any cell will be specific to the particular chemical reaction for that cell type. For instance, the lead-acid cell just described has a nominal voltage of 2.04 volts per cell, based on a fully "charged" cell (acid concentration strong) in good physical condition. There are other types of cells with different specific voltage outputs. The Edison cell, for example, with a positive electrode made of nickel oxide, a negative electrode made of iron, and an electrolyte solution of potassium hydroxide (a caustic, not acid, substance) generates a nominal voltage of only 1.2 volts, due to the specific differences in chemical reaction with those electrode and electrolyte substances. The chemical reactions of some types of cells can be reversed by forcing electric current backwards through the cell (in the negative electrode and out the positive electrode). This process is called polarization. Any such (rechargeable) cell is called a secondary cell. A cell whose chemistry cannot be reversed by a reverse current is called a primary cell. When a lead-acid cell is charged by an external current source, the chemical reactions experienced during discharge are reversed. • Atoms bound together by electrons are called molecules. • Ionic bonds are molecular unions formed when an electron-deficient atom (a positive ion) joins with an electron-excessive atom (a negative ion). • Electrochemical reactions involve the transfer of electrons between atoms. This transfer can be harnessed to form an electric current. • A cell is said to be discharged when its internal chemical reserves have been depleted through use. • A secondary cell's chemistry can be reversed (recharged) by forcing current backwards through it. • A primary cell cannot be practically recharged. • Lead-acid cell can be assessed with an instrument called a hydrometer, which measures the density of the electrolyte liquid. The denser the electrolyte, the stronger the acid concentration, and the greater charge state of the cell. The word battery simply means a group of similar components. In military vocabulary, a "battery" refers to a cluster of guns. In electricity, a "battery" is a set of voltaic cells designed to provide greater voltage and/or current is possible with one cell alone. The symbol for a cell is very simple, consisting of one long line and one short line, parallel to each other, with connecting wires. The symbol for a battery is nothing more than a couple of cell symbols stacked in series. • As was stated before, the voltage produced by any particular kind of cell is determined strictly by the chemistry of that cell type. The size of the cell is irrelevant to its voltage. To obtain greater voltage than the output of a single cell, multiple cells must be connected in series. The total voltage of a battery is the sum of all cell voltages. A typical automotive lead-acid battery has six cells, for a nominal voltage output of 6 x 2.0 or 12.0 volts. The cells in an automotive battery are contained within the same hard rubber housing, connected together with thick lead bars instead of wires. The electrodes and electrolyte solutions for each cell are contained in separate, partitioned sections of the battery case. In large batteries, the electrodes commonly take the shape of thin metal grids or plates, and are often referred to as plates instead of electrodes. For the sake of convenience, battery symbols are usually limited to four lines, alternating long/short, although the real battery it represents may have many more cells than that. On occasion, however, you might come across a symbol for a battery with unusually high voltage, intentionally drawn with extra lines. The lines, of course, are representative of the individual cell plates. If the physical size of a cell has no impact on its voltage, then what does it affect? The answer is resistance, which in turn affects the maximum amount of current that a cell can provide. Every volt cell contains some amount of internal resistance due to the electrodes and the electrolyte. The larger a cell is constructed, the greater the electrode contact area with the electrolyte, and thus the less internal resistance it will have. Although we generally consider a cell or battery in a circuit to be a perfect source of voltage (absolutely constant), the current through it dictated solely by the external resistance of the circuit to which it is attached, this is not entirely true in real life. Since every cell or battery contains some internal resistance, that resistance must affect the current in any given circuit. The real battery shown above has an internal resistance of 0.2  $\Omega$ , which affects its ability to supply current to the load resistance of 1  $\Omega$ . The ideal battery on the left has no internal resistance, and so our Ohm's Law calculations for current ( $I = E/R$ ) give us a perfect value of 10 amps for current with the 1 ohm load and 10 volt supply. The real battery, with its built-in resistance further impeding the flow of electrons, can only supply 8.333 amps to the same resistance load. The real battery, on the other hand, can only supply 50 amps (10 volts / 0.2  $\Omega$ ) to a short circuit of 0  $\Omega$  resistance, due to its internal resistance. The chemical reaction inside the cell may still be providing exactly 10 volts, but voltage is dropped across that internal resistance as electrons flow through it, which reduces the amount of voltage available at the battery terminals to the load. Since we live in an imperfect world, with imperfect batteries, we need to understand the implications of factors such as internal resistance. Typically, batteries are placed in applications where their internal resistance is negligible compared to that of the circuit load (where their short-circuit current far exceeds their usual load current), and so the performance is very close to that of an ideal voltage source. If we need to construct a battery with lower resistance than what one cell can provide (for greater current capacity), we will have to connect the cells together in parallel. Essentially, what we have done here is determine the Thevenin equivalent of the five cells in parallel (an equivalent network of one voltage source and one series resistance). The equivalent network has the same source voltage but a fraction of the resistance of any individual cell in the original network. The overall effect of connecting cells in parallel is to decrease the equivalent internal resistance, just as resistors in parallel diminish in total resistance. The equivalent internal resistance of this battery of 5 cells is 1/5 that of each individual cell. The overall voltage stays the same: 2.0 volts. If this battery of cells were powering a circuit, the current through each cell would be 1/5 of the total circuit current, due to the equal split of current through equal-parallel parallel branches. • REVIEW: A battery is a cluster of cells connected together for greater voltage and/or current capacity. • Cells connected together in series (parallel added) results in greater total voltage. • Physical cell size impacts cell resistance, which in turn impacts the ability for the cell to supply current to a load. Generally, the larger the cell, the less internal resistance. Cells connected in parallel add up to a total resistance that is less than the individual cell resistances, and there is a limit to how much parallel can be added through a circuit before its energy reserves are exhausted. • Parallel capacity can be measured in terms of total number of electrons, but this would be a huge number. We could add the unit of the coulomb (equal to 6.25 x 10<sup>18</sup> electrons, or 6,250,000,000,000,000 electrons) to make the quantities more practical to work with, but instead a new unit, the amp-hour, was made for this purpose. Since 1 amp is actually a flow rate of 1 coulomb of electrons per second, and there are 3600 seconds in an hour, we can state a direct proportion between coulombs and amp-hours: 1 amp-hour = 3600 coulombs. Why make up a new unit when an old would have done just fine? To make your lives as students and technicians more difficult, of course! A battery with a capacity of 1 amp-hour should be able to continuously supply a current of 1 amp to a load for exactly 1 hour, or 2 amps for 1/2 hours, etc. before becoming completely discharged. In an ideal battery, this relationship between continuous current and discharge time is stable and absolute, but real batteries don't behave exactly as this simple linear formula would indicate. Therefore, when amp-hour capacity is given for a battery, it is specified at either a given current, given time, or assumed to be rated for a time period of 9 hours (if no limiting factor is given). For example, an average automotive battery might have a capacity of about 70 amp-hours, specified at a current of 3.5 amps. This means that the amount of time this battery could continuously supply a current of 3.5 amps to a load would be 20 hours (70 amp-hours / 3.5 amps). But let's suppose that a lower-resistance load were connected to that battery, drawing 70 amps continuously. Our amp-hour equation tells us that the battery should hold out for exactly 1 hour (70 amp-hours / 70 amp), but this might not be true in real life. With higher currents, the battery will dissipate more heat across its internal resistance, which has the effect of altering the chemical reactions taking place within. Changes are, the battery would fully discharge some time before the calculated time of 1 hour under this greater load. Conversely, if a very light load (1 mA) were to be connected to the battery, our equation would tell us that the battery should provide power for 70,000 hours, or just under 8 years (70 amp-hours / 1 milliamp). But the odds are that much of the chemical energy in a real battery would have been drained due to other factors (evaporation of electrolyte, deterioration of electrodes, leakage current within battery) long before 8 years had elapsed. Therefore, we must take the amp-hour rating trusted only near the specified current or timespan given by the manufacturer. Some manufacturers will provide amp-hour derating factors specifying reductions in total capacity at different levels of current and/or temperature. For secondary cells, the amp-hour rating provides a rule for necessary charging time at any given level of charge current. For example, the 70 amp-hour automotive battery in the previous example should take 10 hours to charge from a fully-discharged state at a constant charging current of 7 amps (70 amp-hours / 7 amps). Approximate amp-hour capacities of some common batteries are given here. • Typical automotive battery: 70 amp-hours @ 3.5 A (secondary cell); D-size carbon-zinc battery: 4.5 amp-hours @ 100 mA (primary cell); 9 volt carbon-zinc battery: 400 milliamp-hours @ 8 mA (primary cell). As a battery discharges, not only does it diminish its internal store of energy, but its internal resistance also increases (as the electrolyte becomes less and less conductive). As the chemicals become more and more dilute. The most deceptive change that a discharging battery exhibits is resistance. The best check for a battery's condition is a voltage measurement under load, while the battery is supplying a substantial current through a circuit. Otherwise, a simple voltmeter check across the terminals may falsely indicate a healthy battery (adequate voltage) even though the internal resistance has increased considerably. What constitutes a "substantial current" is determined by the battery's design parameters. A voltmeter check revealing only 7.5 volts for a 13.2 volt battery, then you know without a doubt that it's dead. However, if the voltmeter went to indicate 12.5 volts, it may be near full charge or somewhat depleted - you couldn't tell without a load check. Bear in mind also that the resistance used to place a battery under load must be rated for the amount of power expected to be dissipated. For checking large batteries such as an automobile (12 volt nominal) lead-acid battery, this may mean a resistor with a power rating of several hundred watts. • REVIEW: The amp-hour is a unit of battery energy capacity, equal to the amount of continuous current multiplied by the discharge time, that a battery can supply before exhausting its internal store of chemical energy. • An amp-hour battery rating is only an approximation of the battery's charge capacity, and should be trusted only at the current level or time specified by the manufacturer. Such a rating cannot be extrapolated for very high currents or very long times with any accuracy. • Discharged batteries lose voltage and increase in resistance. The best check for a dead battery is a voltage test under load. Back in the early days of electrical measurement technology, a special type of battery known as a mercury standard cell was popularly used as a voltage calibration standard. The output of a mercury cell was 1.0183 to 1.0194 volts DC (depending on the specific design of cell), and was extremely stable over time. Advertised drift was around 0.004 percent of rated voltage per year. Mercury standard cells were sometimes known as Weston cells or cadmium cells. Unfortunately, mercury cells were rather intolerant of any current drain and could not even be measured with an analog voltmeter without compromising accuracy. Manufacturers typically called for no more than 0.1 mA of current through the cell, and even that figure was considered a momentary, or surge maximum! Consequently, standard cells could only be measured with a potentiometric (null-balance) device where current drain is almost zero. Short-circuiting a mercury cell was prohibited, and once short-circuited, the cell could never be relied upon again as a standard device. Mercury standard cells were also susceptible to slight changes in voltage if physically or thermally disturbed. Two different types of mercury standard cells were developed for different calibration purposes: saturated and unsaturated. Saturated standard cells provided the greatest voltage stability over time, at the expense of thermal instability. In other words, their voltage drifted very little with the passage of time (just a few microvolts over the span of a decade), but tended to vary with changes in temperature (tens of microvolts per degree Celsius). These cells functioned best in temperature-controlled laboratory environments where long-term stability is paramount. Unsaturated standard cells provided thermal stability over time, the voltage remaining virtually constant with changes in temperature but decreasing steadily by about 100  $\mu\text{V}$  every year. These cells functioned best as "field" calibration devices where ambient temperature is not precisely controlled. Nominal voltage for a saturated cell was 1.0186 volts, and 1.019 volts for an unsaturated cell. Modern semiconductor voltage (zener diode regulator) references have superseded standard cell batteries as laboratory and field voltage standards. A fascinating device closely related to primary-cell batteries is the fuel cell, so-called because it harnesses the chemical reaction of combustion to generate an electric current. The process of chemical oxidation (oxygen ionically bonding with other elements) is capable of producing an electric flow between two electrodes just as well as any combination of metals and electrolytes. A fuel cell can be thought of as a battery with an externally supplied chemical energy source. To date, the most successful fuel cells constructed are those which run on hydrogen and oxygen, although much research has been done on cells using hydrocarbon fuels. While "burning" hydrogen, a fuel cell's only waste byproducts are water and a small amount of heat. When operating on carbon-containing fuels, carbon dioxide is also released as a byproduct. Because the operating temperature of modern fuel cells is far below that of normal combustion, no oxides of nitrogen ( $\text{NO}_x$ ) are formed, making it far less polluting, all other factors being equal. The efficiency of energy conversion in a fuel cell from chemical to electrical far exceeds the theoretical Carnot efficiency limit of any internal-combustion engine, which is an exciting prospect for power generation and hybrid electric automobiles. Another type of "battery" is the solar cell, a by-product of the semiconductor revolution in electronics. The photoelectric effect, whereby electrons are dislodged from atoms under the influence of light, has been known in physics for many decades, but it has only been with recent advances in semiconductor technology that a device existed capable of harnessing this effect to any practical degree. Conversion efficiencies for silicon solar cells are still quite low, but their benefits as power sources are legion: no moving parts, no noise, no waste products or pollution (aside from the manufacture of solar cells, which is still a fairly "dirty" industry), and indefinite life, thin round wafer of crystalline silicon wires schematic symbol Specific cost of solar cell technology (dollars per kilowatt) is still very high, with little prospect of significant decrease barring some kind of revolutionary advance in technology. Unlike electronic components made from semiconductor material, which can be made smaller and smaller with less scrap as a result of better quality control, a single solar cell still takes the same amount of ultra-pure silicon to make as it did thirty years ago. Superior quality control fails to yield the same production gain seen in the manufacture of chips and transistors (where isolated species of impurity can ruin many microscopic circuits on one wafer of silicon). The same number of impure inclusions does little to impact the overall efficiency of a 3-inch solar cell. Yet another type of special-purpose "battery" is the chemical detection cell. Simply put, these cells chemically react with specific substances in the air to create a voltage directly proportional to the concentration of that substance. A common application for a chemical detection cell is in the detection and measurement of oxygen concentration. Many portable oxygen analyzers have been designed around these small cells. Cell chemistry must be designed to match the specific substance(s) to be detected, and the cells do tend to "wear out," as their electrode materials deplete or become contaminated with use. • REVIEW: mercury standard cells are special types of batteries which were once used as voltage calibration standards before the advent of precision semiconductor reference devices. • A fuel cell is a kind of battery that uses a combustible fuel and oxidizer as reactants to generate electricity. They are promising sources of electrical power in the future, "burning" fuels with very low  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions. • A solar cell uses ambient light energy to move electrons from one electrode to the other, producing voltage (and current, providing an external circuit). • A chemical detection cell is a special type of voltaic cell which produces voltage proportional to the concentration of a specific substance. Please note that the current is equal across all points in a series circuit, so we must be sure that these batteries are of equal voltage. If not, we will need to connect them in parallel. This is good. On the same note, we must be sure that all the branches of a parallel circuit, so we must be sure that these batteries are of equal voltage. If not, we will need to connect them in series. With a parallel battery bank, it is preferable for the wiring against load overcurrent (between the parallel branches) to be a wire with a higher ampacity than the individual branch wires. Batteries have been known to internally short-circuit due to electrical separator failure, causing a problem not unlike that where batteries of unequal capacities are connected in parallel. To guard against this eventuality, we should protect each and every battery against overcurrent with individual battery fuses, in addition to the load fuse. When dealing with secondary cell batteries, particular attention must be paid to the method and timing of charging. Cycling refers to the process of charging a battery to a "full" condition and then discharging it to a lower state. All batteries have a finite (limited) cycle life, and the allowable "depth" of cycle (how far it should be discharged at any time) varies from design to design. Overcharging is subject to the production of hydrogen gas due to electrolysis. This is especially true for overcharged lead-acid cells, but not exclusive to that type. Hydrogen is an extremely flammable gas (especially in the presence of free oxygen created by the same electrolysis process), odored and colorless. Such batteries pose an explosion threat even under normal operating conditions, and must be treated with respect. The author has been a firsthand witness to a lead-acid battery explosion, from an automotive battery ignited hydrogen gas within the battery case, blowing the top off the battery and splashing sulfuric acid everywhere. This occurred in a high school automotive shop, no less. If it were not for all the students nearby, wearing safety glasses and button-down collars, significant injury could have occurred. When connecting batteries in parallel for greater current capacity, the wires must be of equal length, or else some of the batteries will become depleted sooner than others, compromising the capacity of the whole battery. Please note that the current is equal across all branches of a parallel circuit, so we must be sure that these batteries are of equal voltage. If not, we will need to connect them in series. With a parallel battery bank, it is preferable for the wiring against load overcurrent (between the parallel branches) to be a wire with a higher ampacity than the individual branch wires. Batteries have been known to internally short-circuit due to electrical separator failure, causing a problem not unlike that where batteries of unequal capacities are connected in parallel. To guard against this eventuality, we should protect each and every battery against overcurrent with individual battery fuses, in addition to the load fuse. When dealing with secondary cell batteries, particular attention must be paid to the method and timing of charging. Cycling refers to the process of charging a battery to a "full" condition and then discharging it to a lower state. All batteries have a finite (limited) cycle life, and the allowable "depth" of cycle (how far it should be discharged at any time) varies from design to design. Overcharging is subject to the production of hydrogen gas due to electrolysis. This is especially true for overcharged lead-acid cells, but not exclusive to that type. Hydrogen is an extremely flammable gas (especially in the presence of free oxygen created by the same electrolysis process), odored and colorless. Such batteries pose an explosion threat even under normal operating conditions, and must be treated with respect. The author has been a firsthand witness to a lead-acid battery explosion, from an automotive battery ignited hydrogen gas within the battery case, blowing the top off the battery and splashing sulfuric acid everywhere. This occurred in a high school automotive shop, no less. If it were not for all the students nearby, wearing safety glasses and button-down collars, significant injury could have occurred. When connecting batteries in parallel for greater current capacity, the wires must be of equal length, or else some of the batteries will become depleted sooner than others, compromising the capacity of the whole battery. Please note that the current is equal across all branches of a parallel circuit, so we must be sure that these batteries are of equal voltage. If not, we will need to connect them in series. With a parallel battery bank, it is preferable for the wiring against load overcurrent (between the parallel branches) to be a wire with a higher ampacity than the individual branch wires. Batteries have been known to internally short-circuit due to electrical separator failure, causing a problem not unlike that where batteries of unequal capacities are connected in parallel. To guard against this eventuality, we should protect each and every battery against overcurrent with individual battery fuses, in addition to the load fuse. When dealing with secondary cell batteries, particular attention must be paid to the method and timing of charging. Cycling refers to the process of charging a battery to a "full" condition and then discharging it to a lower state. All batteries have a finite (limited) cycle life, and the allowable "depth" of cycle (how far it should be discharged at any time) varies from design to design. Overcharging is subject to the production of hydrogen gas due to electrolysis. This is especially true for overcharged lead-acid cells, but not exclusive to that type. Hydrogen is an extremely flammable gas (especially in the presence of free oxygen created by the same electrolysis process), odored and colorless. Such batteries pose an explosion threat even under normal operating conditions, and must be treated with respect. The author has been a firsthand witness to a lead-acid battery explosion, from an automotive battery ignited hydrogen gas within the battery case, blowing the top off the battery and splashing sulfuric acid everywhere. This occurred in a high school automotive shop, no less. If it were not for all the students nearby, wearing safety glasses and button-down collars, significant injury could have occurred. When connecting batteries in parallel for greater current capacity, the wires must be of equal length, or else some of the batteries will become depleted sooner than others, compromising the capacity of the whole battery. Please note that the current is equal across all branches of a parallel circuit, so we must be sure that these batteries are of equal voltage. If not, we will need to connect them in series. With a parallel battery bank, it is preferable for the wiring against load overcurrent (between the parallel branches) to be a wire with a higher ampacity than the individual branch wires. Batteries have been known to internally short-circuit due to electrical separator failure, causing a problem not unlike that where batteries of unequal capacities are connected in parallel. To guard against this eventuality, we should protect each and every battery against overcurrent with individual battery fuses, in addition to the load fuse. When dealing with secondary cell batteries, particular attention must be paid to the method and timing of charging. Cycling refers to the process of charging a battery to a "full" condition and then discharging it to a lower state. All batteries have a finite (limited) cycle life, and the allowable "depth" of cycle (how far it should be discharged at any time) varies from design to design. Overcharging is subject to the production of hydrogen gas due to electrolysis. This is especially true for overcharged lead-acid cells, but not exclusive to that type. Hydrogen is an extremely flammable gas (especially in the presence of free oxygen created by the same electrolysis process), odored and colorless. Such batteries pose an explosion threat even under normal operating conditions, and must be

coefficient of resistance. This factor is represented by the Greek lower-case letter "alpha" ( $\alpha$ ). • A positive coefficient for a material means that its resistance increases with an increase in temperature. Pure metals typically have positive temperature coefficients of resistance. Coefficients approaching zero can be obtained by alloying certain metals. • A negative coefficient for a material means that its resistance decreases with an increase in temperature. Semiconductor materials (carbon, silicon, germanium) typically have negative temperature coefficients of resistance. • The formula used to determine the resistance of a conductor at some temperature other than what is specified in a resistance table is as follows: Where,  $R = \text{Conductor resistance at temperature } T$   $R_{\text{ref}} = \text{Conductor resistance at reference temperature}$   $\alpha = \text{Temperature coefficient of resistance for the conductor material}$ .  $T = \text{Conductor temperature in degrees Celcius}$ .  $T_{\text{ref}} = \text{Reference temperature that } \alpha \text{ is specified at for the conductor material}$ .  $T_{\text{ref}}$ , usually 20 °C, but sometimes 0 °C. Conductors lose all of their electrical resistance when cooled to super-low temperatures (near absolute zero, about -273 °Celsius). It must be understood that superconductivity is not merely an extrapolation of most conductors' tendency to gradually lose resistance with decreasing temperature; rather, it is a sudden, quantum leap in resistivity from finite to nothing. A superconducting material has absolutely zero electrical resistance, not just some small amount. Superconductivity was first discovered by H. Kamerlingh Onnes at the University of Leiden, Netherlands in 1911. Just three years earlier, in 1908, Onnes had developed a method of liquefying helium gas, which provided a medium with which to supercool experimental objects to just a few degrees above absolute zero. Deciding to investigate changes in electrical resistance of mercury when cooled to this low of a temperature, he discovered that its resistance dropped to nothing just below the boiling point of helium. There is some debate over exactly how and why superconducting materials superconduct. One theory holds that electrons group together and travel in pairs (called Cooper pairs) within a superconductor rather than travel independently, and that has something to do with their frictionless flow. Interestingly enough, another phenomenon of super-cold temperatures, superfluidity, happens with certain liquids (especially liquid helium), resulting in frictionless flow of molecules. Superconductivity promises extraordinary capabilities for electric circuits. If conductor resistance could be eliminated entirely, there would be no power losses or inefficiencies in electric power systems due to stray resistances. Electric motors could be made almost perfectly (100%) efficient. Components such as capacitors and inductors, whose ideal characteristics are normally spoiled by inherent wire resistances, could be made ideal in a practical sense. Already, some practical superconducting conductors, motors, and capacitors have been developed, but their use at this present time is limited due to the practical problems intrinsic to maintaining super-cold temperatures. The threshold temperature for a superconductor to switch from normal conduction to superconductivity is called the transition temperature. Transition temperatures for "classic" superconductors are in the cryogenic range (near absolute zero), but much progress has been made in developing "high-temperature" superconductors which superconduct at warmer temperatures. One type is a ceramic mixture of yttrium, barium, copper, and oxygen which transitions at a relatively balmy -160 °Celsius. Ideally, a superconductor should be able to operate within the range of ambient temperatures, or at least within the range of inexpensive refrigeration equipment. The critical temperatures for a few common substances are shown here in this table. Temperatures are given in kelvins, which has the same incremental span as degrees Celsius (an increase or decrease of 1 kelvin is the same amount of temperature change as 1 °Celsius), only offset so that 0 K is absolute zero. This way, we don't have to deal with a lot of negative figures. Element Superconducting materials also interact in interesting ways with magnetic fields. While in the superconducting state, a superconducting material will tend to exclude all magnetic fields, a phenomenon known as the Meissner effect. However, if the magnetic field strength intensifies beyond a critical level, the superconducting material will be rendered non-superconductive. In other words, superconducting materials will lose their superconductivity (no matter how cold you make them) if exposed to too strong of a magnetic field. In fact, the presence of any magnetic field tends to lower the critical temperature of any superconducting material: the more magnetic field present, the colder you have to make the material before it will superconduct. This is another practical limitation to superconductors in circuit design, since electric current through any conductor produces a magnetic field. Even though a superconducting wire would have zero resistance to oppose current, there will still be a limit of how much current could practically go through that wire due to its critical magnetic field limit. There are already a few industrial applications of superconductors, especially since the recent (1987) advent of the yttrium-barium-copper-oxygen ceramic, which only requires liquid nitrogen to cool, as opposed to liquid helium. It is even possible to order superconductivity kits from educational suppliers which can be operated in high school labs (liquid nitrogen not included). Typically, these kits exhibit superconductivity by the Meissner effect, suspending a tiny magnet in mid-air over a superconducting disk cooled by a bath of liquid nitrogen. The zero resistance offered by superconducting circuits leads to unique consequences. In a superconducting short-circuit, it is possible to maintain large currents indefinitely with zero applied voltage! superconducting wire electrons will flow unimpeded by resistance, continuing to flow forever! Rings of superconducting material have been experimentally proven to sustain continuous current for years with no applied voltage. So far as anyone knows, there is no theoretical time limit to how long an unaided current could be sustained in a superconducting circuit. If you're thinking this appears to be a form of perpetual motion, you're correct! Contrary to popular belief, there is no law of physics prohibiting perpetual motion; rather, the prohibition stands against any machine or system generating more energy than it consumes (what would be referred to as an over-unity device). At best, all a perpetual motion machine (like the superconducting ring) would be good for is to store energy, not generate it freely! Superconductors also offer some strange possibilities having nothing to do with Ohm's Law. One such possibility is the construction of a device called a Josephson Junction, which acts as a relay of sorts, controlling one current with another current (with no moving parts, of course). The small size and fast switching time of Josephson Junctions may lead to new computer circuit designs: an alternative to using semiconductor transistors. • REVIEW: • Superconductors are materials which have absolutely zero electrical resistance. • All presently known superconductive materials need to be cooled far below ambient temperature to superconduct. The maximum temperature at which they do so is called the transition temperature. The atoms

in insulating materials have very tightly-bound electrons, resisting free electron flow very well. However, insulators cannot resist indefinite amounts of voltage. With enough voltage applied, any insulating material will eventually succumb to the electrical "pressure" and electron flow will occur. However, unlike the situation with conductors where current is in a linear proportion to applied voltage (given a fixed resistance), current through an insulator is quite nonlinear: for voltages below a certain threshold level, virtually no electrons will flow, but if the voltage exceeds that threshold, there will be a rush of current. Once current is forced through an insulating material, breakdown of that material's molecular structure has occurred. After breakdown, the material may or may not behave as an insulator any more, the molecular structure having been altered by the breach. There is usually a localized "puncture" of the insulating medium where the electrons flowed during breakdown. Thickness of an insulating material plays a role in determining its breakdown voltage, otherwise known as dielectric strength. Specific dielectric strength is sometimes listed in terms of volts per mil (1/1000 of an inch), or kilovolts per inch (the two units are equivalent), but in practice it has been found that the relationship between breakdown voltage and thickness is not exactly linear. An insulator three times as thick has a dielectric strength slightly less than 3 times as much. However, for rough estimation use, volt-per-thickness ratings are fine.

- REVIEW: • With a high enough applied voltage, electrons can be freed from the atoms of insulating materials, resulting in current through that material.
- The minimum voltage required to "violate" an insulator by forcing current through it is called the breakdown voltage, or dielectric strength.
- The thicker a piece of insulating material, the higher the breakdown voltage, all other factors being equal.

Specific dielectric strength is typically rated in one of two equivalent units: volts per mil, or kilovolts per inch. Tables of specific resistance and temperature coefficient of resistance for elemental materials (not alloys) were derived from figures found in the 78th edition of the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information.

Aaron : Typographical error correction. Jason Starck : HTML document formatting, which led to a much betterlooking second edition. Whenever an electric voltage exists between two separated conductors, an electric field is present within the space between those conductors. In basic electronics, we study the interactions of voltage, current, and resistance as they pertain to circuits, which are conductive paths through which electrons may travel. When we talk about fields, however, we're dealing with interactions that can be spread across empty space. Admittedly, the concept of a "field" is somewhat abstract. At least with electric current it isn't too difficult to envision tiny particles called electrons moving their way between the nuclei of atoms within a conductor, but a "field" doesn't even have mass, and need not exist within matter at all. Despite its abstract nature, almost every one of us has direct experience with fields, at least in the form of magnets. Have you ever played with a pair of magnets, noticing how they attract or repel each other depending on their relative orientation? There is an undeniable force between a pair of magnets, and this force is without "substance." It has no mass, no color, no odor, and if not for the physical force exerted on the magnets themselves, it would be utterly insensible to our bodies. Physicists describe the interaction of magnets in terms of magnetic fields in the space between them. If iron filings are placed near a magnet, they orient themselves along the lines of the field, visually indicating its presence. The subject of this chapter is electric fields (and devices called capacitors that exploit them), not magnetic fields, but there are many similarities. Most likely you have experienced electric fields as well. Chapter 1 of this book began with an explanation of static electricity, and how materials such as wax and wool -when rubbed against each other -produced a physical attraction. Again, physicists would describe this interaction in terms of electric fields generated by the two objects as a result of their electron imbalances. Suffice it to say that whenever a voltage exists between two points, there will be an electric field manifested in the space between those points. Fields have two measures: a field force and a field flux. The field force is the amount of "push" that a field exerts over a certain distance. The field flux is the total quantity, or effect, of the field through space. Field force and flux are roughly analogous to voltage ("push") and current (flow) through a conductor, respectively, although field flux can exist in totally empty space (without the motion of particles such as electrons) whereas current can only take place where there are free electrons to move. Field flux can be opposed in space, just as the flow of electrons can be opposed by resistance. The amount of field flux that will develop in space is proportional to the amount of field force applied, divided by the amount of opposition to flux. Just as the type of conducting material dictates that conductor's specific resistance to electric current, the type of insulating material separating two conductors dictates the specific opposition to field flux. Normally, electrons cannot enter a conductor unless there is a path for an equal amount of electrons to exit (remember the marble-in-tube analogy?). This is why conductors must be connected together in a circular path (a circuit) for continuous current to occur. Oddly enough, however, extra electrons can be "squeezed" into a conductor without a path to exit if an electric field is allowed to develop in space relative to another conductor. The number of extra free electrons added to the conductor (or free electrons taken away) is directly proportional to the amount of field flux between the two conductors. Capacitors are components designed to take advantage of this phenomenon by placing two conductive plates (usually metal) in close proximity with each other. There are many different styles of capacitor construction, each one suited for particular ratings and purposes. For very small capacitors, two circular plates sandwiching an insulating material will suffice. For larger capacitor values, the "plates" may be strips of metal foil, sandwiched around a flexible insulating medium and rolled up for compactness. The highest capacitance values are obtained by using a microscopic-thickness layer of insulating oxide separating two conductive surfaces. In any case, though, the general idea is the same: two conductors, separated by an insulator. The schematic symbol for a capacitor is quite simple, being little more than two short, parallel lines (representing the plates) separated by a gap. Wires attach to the respective plates for connection to other components. An older, obsolete schematic symbol for capacitors showed interleaved plates, which is actually a more accurate way of representing the real construction of most capacitors: modern obsoleteWhen a voltage is applied across the two plates of a capacitor, a concentrated field flux is created between them, allowing a significant difference of free electrons (a charge) to develop between the two plates: As the electric field is established by the applied voltage, extra free electrons are forced to collect on the negative conductor, while free electrons are "robbed" from the positive conductor. This differential charge equates to a storage of energy in the capacitor, representing the potential charge of the electrons between the two plates. The greater the difference of electrons on opposing plates of a capacitor, the greater the field flux, and the greater "charge" of energy the capacitor will store. Because capacitors store the potential energy of accumulated electrons in the form of an electric field, they behave quite differently than resistors (which simply dissipate energy in the form of heat) in a circuit. Energy storage in a capacitor is a function of the voltage between the plates, as well as other factors which we will discuss later in this chapter. A capacitor's ability to store energy as a function of voltage (potential difference between the two leads) results in a tendency to try to maintain voltage at a constant level. In other words, capacitors tend to resist changes in voltage drop. When voltage across a capacitor is increased or decreased, the capacitor "resists" the change by drawing current from or supplying current to the source of the voltage change, in opposition to the change. To store more energy in a capacitor, the voltage across it must be increased. This means that more electrons must be added to the (-) plate and more taken away from the (+) plate, necessitating a current in that direction. Conversely, to release energy from a capacitor, the voltage across it must be decreased. This means some of the excess electrons on the (-) plate must be returned to the (+) plate, necessitating a current in the other direction. Just as Isaac Newton's first Law of Motion ("an object in motion tends to stay in motion; an object at rest tends to stay at rest") describes the tendency of a mass to oppose changes in velocity, we can state a capacitor's tendency to oppose changes in voltage as such: "A charged capacitor tends to stay charged; a discharged capacitor tends to stay discharged." Hypothetically, a capacitor left untouched will indefinitely maintain whatever state of voltage charge that its been left it. Only an outside source (or drain) of current can alter the voltage charge stored by a perfect capacitor: voltage (charge) sustained with the capacitor open-circuited C + -Practically speaking, however, capacitors will eventually lose their stored voltage charges due to internal leakage paths for electrons to flow from one plate to the other. Depending on the specific type of capacitor, the time it takes for a stored voltage charge to self-dissipate can be a long time (several years with the capacitor sitting on a shelf!). When the voltage across a capacitor is increased, it draws current from the rest of the circuit, acting as a power load. In this condition the capacitor is said to be charging, because there is an increasing amount of energy being stored in its electric field. Note the direction of electron current with regard to the voltage polarity: Energy being absorbed by the capacitor from the rest of the circuit. Conversely, when the voltage across a capacitor is decreased, the capacitor supplies current to the rest of the circuit, acting as a power source. In this condition the capacitor is said to be discharging. Its store of energy -held in the electric field -is decreasing now as energy is released to the rest of the circuit. Note the direction of electron current with regard to the voltage polarity: Energy being released by the capacitor to the rest of the circuit decreasing If a source of voltage is suddenly applied to an uncharged capacitor (a sudden increase of voltage), the capacitor will draw current from that source, absorbing energy from it, until the capacitor's voltage equals that of the source. Once the capacitor voltage reached this final (charged) state, its current decays to zero. Conversely, if a load resistance is connected to a charged capacitor, the capacitor will supply current to the load, until it has released all its stored energy and its voltage decays to zero. Once the capacitor voltage reaches this final (discharged) state, its current decays to zero. In their ability to be charged and discharged, capacitors can be thought of as acting somewhat like secondary-cell batteries. The choice of insulating material between the plates, as was mentioned before, has a great impact upon how much field flux (and therefore how much charge) will develop with any given amount of voltage applied across the plates. Because of the role of this insulating material in affecting field flux, it has a special name: dielectric. Not all dielectric materials are equal: the extent to which materials inhibit or encourage the formation of electric field flux is called the permittivity of the dielectric. The measure of a capacitor's ability to store energy for a given amount of voltage drop is called capacitance. Not surprisingly, capacitance is also a measure of the intensity of opposition to changes in voltage (exactly how much current it will produce for a given rate of change in voltage). Capacitance is symbolically denoted with a capital "C," and is measured in the unit of the Farad, abbreviated as "F." Convention, for some odd reason, has favored the metric prefix "micro" in the measurement of large capacitances, and so many capacitors are rated in terms of confusingly large micro-Farad values: for example, one large capacitor I have seen was rated 330,000 microFarads!! Why not state it as 330 milliFarads? I don't know. An obsolete name for a capacitor is condenser or condensor. These terms are not used in any new books or schematic diagrams (to my knowledge), but they might be encountered in older electronics literature. Perhaps the most well-known usage for the term "condenser" is in automotive engineering, where a small capacitor called by that name was used to mitigate excessive sparking across the switch contacts (called "points") in electromechanical ignition systems.

- REVIEW: • Capacitors react against changes in voltage by supplying or drawing current in the direction necessary to oppose the change. • When a capacitor is faced with an increasing voltage, it acts as a load; drawing current as it absorbs energy (current going in the negative side and out the positive side, like a

electromechanical ignition systems. • REVIEW: Capacitors react against changes in voltage by supplying or drawing current in the direction necessary to oppose the change. • When a capacitor is faced with an increasing voltage, it acts as a load: drawing current as it absorbs energy (current going in the negative side and out the positive side, like a resistor). • When a capacitor is faced with a decreasing voltage, it acts as a source: supplying current as it releases stored energy (current going out the negative side and in the positive side, like a battery). • The ability of a capacitor to store energy in the form of an electric field (and consequently to oppose changes in voltage) is called capacitance. It is measured in the unit of the Farad (F). • Capacitors used to be commonly known by another term: condenser (alternatively spelled "condensor"). Capacitors do not have a stable "resistance" as conductors do. However, there is a definite mathematical relationship between voltage and current for a capacitor, as follows: The lower-case letter "i" symbolizes instantaneous current, which means the amount of current at a specific point in time. This stands in contrast to constant current or average current (capital letter "I") over an unspecified period of time. The expression " $dv/dt$ " is one borrowed from calculus, meaning the instantaneous rate of voltage change over time, or the rate of change of voltage (volts per second increase or decrease) at a specific point in time, the same specific point in time that the instantaneous current is referenced at. For whatever reason, the letter v is usually used to represent instantaneous voltage rather than the letter e. However, it would not be incorrect to express the instantaneous voltage rate-of-change as " $de/dt$ " instead. In this equation we see something novel to our experience thusfar with electric circuits: the variable of time. When relating the quantities of voltage, current, and resistance to a resistor, it doesn't matter if we're dealing with measurements taken over an unspecified period of time ( $E=IR$ ;  $V=IR$ ), or at a specific moment in time ( $e=ir$ ;  $v=ir$ ). The same basic formula holds true, because time is irrelevant to voltage, current, and resistance in a component like a resistor. In a capacitor, however, time is an essential variable, because current is related to how rapidly voltage changes over time. To fully understand this, a few illustrations may be necessary. Suppose we were to connect a capacitor to a variable-voltage source, constructed with a potentiometer and a battery: If the potentiometer mechanism remains in a single position (wiper is stationary), the voltmeter connected across the capacitor will register a constant (unchanging) voltage, and the ammeter will register 0 amps. In this scenario, the instantaneous rate of voltage change ( $dv/dt$ ) is equal to zero, because the voltage is unchanging. The equation tells us that with 0 volts per second change for a  $dv/dt$ , there must be zero instantaneous current (i). From a physical perspective, with no change in voltage, there is no need for any electron motion to add or subtract charge from the capacitor's plates, and thus there will be no current. Capacitor current Now, if the potentiometer wiper is moved slowly and steadily in the "up" direction, a greater voltage will gradually be imposed across the capacitor. Thus, the voltmeter indication will be increasing at a slow rate: Potentiometer wiper moving slowly in the "up" direction Increasing Steady current voltage If we assume that the potentiometer wiper is being moved such that the rate of voltage increase across the capacitor is steady (for example, voltage increasing at a constant rate of 2 volts per second), the  $dv/dt$  term of the formula will be a fixed value. According to the equation, this fixed value of  $dv/dt$ , multiplied by the capacitor's capacitance in Farads (also fixed), results in a fixed current of some magnitude. From a physical perspective, an increasing voltage across the capacitor demands that there be an increasing charge differential between the plates. Thus, for a slow, steady voltage increase rate, there must be a slow, steady rate of charge building in the capacitor, which equates to a slow, steady flow rate of electrons, or current. In this scenario, the capacitor is acting as a load, with electrons entering the negative plate and exiting the positive, accumulating energy in the electric field. Capacitor current If the potentiometer is moved in the same direction, but at a faster rate, the rate of voltage change ( $dv/dt$ ) will be greater and so will be the capacitor's current. When mathematics students first study calculus, they begin by exploring the concept of rates of change for various mathematical functions. The derivative, which is the first and most elementary calculus principle, is an expression of one variable's rate of change in terms of another. Calculus students have to learn this principle while studying abstract equations. You get to learn this principle while studying something you can relate to: electric circuits! To put this relationship between voltage and current in a capacitor in calculus terms, the current through a capacitor is the derivative of the voltage across the capacitor with respect to time. Or, stated in simpler terms, a capacitor's current is directly proportional to how quickly the voltage across it is changing. In this circuit where capacitor voltage is set by the position of a rotary knob on a potentiometer, we can say that the capacitor's current is directly proportional to how quickly we turn the knob. If we were to move the potentiometer's wiper in the same direction as before ("up"), but at varying rates, we would obtain graphs that looked like this: Capacitor current Note how that at any given point in time, the capacitor's current is proportional to the rate-of-change, or slope of the capacitor's voltage plot. When the voltage plot line is rising quickly (steep slope), the current will likewise be great. Where the voltage plot has a mild slope, the current is small. At one place in the voltage plot where it levels off (zero slope, representing a period of time when the potentiometer wasn't moving), the current falls to zero. If we were to move the potentiometer wiper in the "down" direction, the capacitor voltage would decrease rather than increase. Again, the capacitor will react to this change of voltage by producing a current, but this time the current will be in the opposite direction. A decreasing capacitor voltage requires that the charge differential between the capacitor's plates be reduced, and the only way that can happen is if the electrons reverse their direction of flow, the capacitor discharging rather than charging. In this condition, with electrons exiting the negative plate and entering the positive, the capacitor will act as a source, like a battery, releasing its stored energy to the rest of the circuit. Again, the amount of current through the capacitor is directly proportional to the rate of voltage change across it. The only difference between the effects of a decreasing voltage and an increasing voltage is the direction of electron flow. For the same rate of voltage change over time, either increasing or decreasing, the current magnitude (amps) will be the same. Mathematically, a decreasing voltage rate-of-change is expressed as a negative  $dv/dt$  quantity. Following the formula  $i = C(dv/dt)$ , this will result in a current figure (i) that is likewise negative in sign, indicating a direction of flow corresponding to discharge of the capacitor. There are three basic factors of capacitor construction determining the amount of capacitance created. These factors all dictate capacitance by affecting how much electric field flux (relative difference of electrons between plates) will develop for a given amount of electric field force (voltage between the two plates): PLATE AREA: All other factors being equal, greater plate area gives greater capacitance; less plate area gives less capacitance. Explanation: Larger plate area results in more field flux (charge collected on the plates) for a given field force (voltage across the plates). less capacitance more capacitance PLATE SPACING: All other factors being equal, further plate spacing gives less capacitance; closer plate spacing gives greater capacitance. Explanation: Closer spacing results in a greater field force (voltage across the capacitor divided by the distance between the plates), which results in a greater field flux (charge collected on the plates) for any given voltage applied across the plates. less capacitance more capacitance DIELECTRIC MATERIAL: All other factors being equal, greater permittivity of the dielectric gives greater capacitance; less permittivity of the dielectric gives less capacitance. Explanation: Although it's complicated to explain, some materials offer less opposition to field flux for a given amount of field force. Materials with a greater permittivity allow for more field flux (offer less opposition), and thus a greater collected charge, for any given amount of field force (applied voltage). less capacitance more capacitance glass air (relative permittivity = 1.0006) (relative permittivity = 7.0) "Relative" permittivity means the permittivity of a material, relative to that of a pure vacuum. The greater the number, the greater the permittivity of the material. Glass, for instance, with a relative permittivity of 7, has seven times the permittivity of a pure vacuum, and consequently will allow for the establishment of an electric field flux seven times stronger than that of a vacuum, all other factors being equal. The following is a table listing the relative permittivities (also known as the "dielectric constant") of various common substances: Where,  $C = d \epsilon A C = \text{Capacitance in Farads } \epsilon = \text{Permittivity of dielectric (absolute, not relative)} A = \text{Area of plate overlap in square meters}$  A capacitor can be made variable rather than fixed in value by varying any of the physical factors determining capacitance. One relatively easy factor to vary in capacitor construction is that of plate area, or more properly, the amount of plate overlap. The following photograph shows an example of a variable capacitor using a set of interleaved metal plates and an air gap as the dielectric material: As the shaft is rotated, the degree to which the sets of plates overlap each other will vary, changing the effective area of the plates between which a concentrated electric field can be established. This particular capacitor has a capacitance in the picofarad range, and finds use in radio circuitry. When capacitors are connected in series, the total capacitance is less than any one of the series capacitors' individual capacitances. If two or more capacitors are connected in series, the overall effect is that of a single (equivalent) capacitor having the sum total of the plate spacings of the individual capacitors. As we've just seen, an increase in plate spacing, with all other factors unchanged, results in decreased capacitance. Thus, the total capacitance is less than any one of the individual capacitors' capacitances. The formula for calculating the series total capacitance is the same form as for calculating parallel resistances:  $C_{\text{total}} = C_1 C_2 C_n + 1 + \dots + 1$  When capacitors are connected in parallel, the total capacitance is the sum of the individual capacitors' capacitances. If two or more capacitors are connected in parallel, the overall effect is that of a single equivalent capacitor having the sum total of the plate areas of the individual capacitors. As we've just seen, an increase in plate area, with all other factors unchanged, results in increased capacitance. Thus, the total capacitance is more than any one of the individual capacitors' capacitances. The formula for calculating the parallel total capacitance is the same form as for calculating series resistances:  $C_{\text{total}} = C_1 C_2 C_n$  As you will no doubt notice, this is exactly opposite of the phenomenon exhibited by resistors. With resistors, series connections result in additive values while parallel connections result in diminished values. With capacitors, it's the reverse: parallel connections result in additive values while series connections result in diminished values. • REVIEW: Capacitances diminish in series. • Capacitances add in parallel. Capacitors, like all electrical components, have limitations which must be respected for the sake of reliability and proper circuit operation. Working voltage: Since capacitors are nothing more than two conductors separated by an insulator (the dielectric), you must pay attention to the maximum voltage allowed across it. If too much voltage is applied, the "breakdown" rating of the dielectric material may be exceeded, resulting in the capacitor internally short-circuiting. Polarity: Some capacitors are manufactured so they can only tolerate applied voltage in one polarity but not the other. This is due to their construction: the dielectric is a microscopically thin layer of insulation deposited on one of the plates by a DC voltage during manufacture. These are called electrolytic capacitors, and their polarity is clearly marked. + - curved side of symbol is always negative! Reversing voltage polarity to an electrolytic capacitor may result in the destruction of that super-thin dielectric layer, thus ruining the device. However, the thinness of that dielectric permits extremely high values of capacitance in a relatively small package size. For the same reason, electrolytic capacitors tend to be low in voltage rating as compared with other types of capacitor construction. Equivalent circuit: Since the plates in a capacitor have some resistance, and since no dielectric is a perfect insulator, there is no such thing as a "perfect" capacitor. In real life, a capacitor has both a series resistance and a parallel (leakage) resistance interacting with its purely capacitive characteristics. Capacitor equivalent circuit Fortunately, it is relatively easy to manufacture capacitors with very small series resistances and very high leakage resistances! Physical Size: For most applications in electronics, minimum size is the goal for component engineering. The smaller components can be made, the more circuitry can be built into a smaller package, and usually weight is saved as well. With capacitors, there are two major limiting factors to the minimum size of a unit: working voltage and capacitance. And these two factors tend to be in opposition to each other. For any given choice in dielectric materials, the only way to increase the voltage rating of a capacitor is to increase the thickness of the dielectric. However, as we have seen, this has the effect of decreasing capacitance. Capacitance can be brought back up by increasing plate area, but this makes for a larger unit. This is why you cannot judge a capacitor's rating in Farads simply by size. A capacitor of any given size may be relatively high in capacitance and low in working voltage, vice versa, or some compromise between the two extremes. Take the following two photographs for example: This is a fairly large capacitor in physical size, but it has quite a low capacitance value: only 2  $\mu F$ . However, its working voltage is quite high: 2000 volts! If this capacitor were reengineered to have a thinner layer of dielectric between its plates, at least a hundredfold increase in capacitance might be achievable, but at a cost of significantly lowering its working voltage. Compare the above photograph with the one below. The capacitor shown in the lower picture is an electrolytic unit, similar in size to the one above, but with very different values of capacitance and working voltage: The thinner dielectric layer gives it a much greater capacitance (20,000  $\mu F$ ) and a drastically reduced working voltage (35 volts continuous, 45 volts intermittent). Here are some samples of different capacitor types, all smaller than the units shown previously: The electrolytic and tantalum capacitors are polarized (polarity sensitive), and are always labeled as such. The electrolytic units have their negative (-) leads distinguished by arrow symbols on their cases. Some polarized capacitors have their polarity designated by marking the positive terminal. The large, 20,000  $\mu F$  electrolytic unit shown in the upright position has its positive (+) terminal labeled with a "plus" mark. Ceramic, mylar, plastic film, and air capacitors do not have polarity markings, because those types are nonpolarized (they are not polarity sensitive). Capacitors are very common components in electronic circuits. Take a close look at the following photograph - every component marked with a "C" designation on the printed circuit board is a capacitor: Some of the capacitors shown on this circuit board are standard electrolytic: C 30 (top of board, center) and C 36 (left side, 1/3 from the top). Some others are a special kind of electrolytic capacitor called tantalum, because this is the type of metal used to make the plates. Tantalum capacitors have relatively high capacitance for their physical size. The following capacitors on the circuit board shown above are tantalum: C 14 (just to the lower-left of C 30), C 19 (directly below R 10, which is below C 30), C 24 (lower-left corner of board), and C 22 (lower-right). Examples of even smaller capacitors can be seen in this photograph: Centuries ago, it was discovered that certain types of mineral rock possessed unusual properties of attraction to the metal iron. One particular mineral, called lodestone, or magnetite, is found mentioned in very old historical records (about 2500 years ago in Europe, and much earlier in the Far East) as a subject of curiosity. Later, it was employed in the aid of navigation, as it was found that a piece of this unusual rock would tend to orient itself in a north-south direction if left free to rotate (suspended on a string or on a float in water). A scientific study undertaken in 1269 by Peter Peregrinus revealed that steel could be similarly "charged" with this unusual property after being rubbed against one of the "poles" of a piece of lodestone. Unlike electric charges (such as those observed when amber is rubbed against cloth), magnetic objects possessed two poles of opposite effect, denoted "north" and "south" after their self-orientation to the earth. As Peregrinus found, it was impossible to isolate one of these poles by itself by cutting a piece of lodestone in half: each resulting piece possessed its own pair of poles: . . . after breaking in half . . . Like electric charges, there were only two types of poles to be found: north and south (by analogy, positive and negative). Just as with electric charges, same poles repel one another, while opposite poles attract. This force, like that caused by static electricity, extended itself invisibly over space, and could even pass through objects such as paper and wood with little effect upon strength. The philosopher-scientist Rene Descartes noted that this invisible "field" could be mapped by placing a magnet underneath a flat piece of cloth or wood and sprinkling iron filings on top. The filings will align themselves with the magnetic field, "mapping" its shape. The result shows how the field continues unbroken from one pole of a magnet to the other: As with any kind of field (electric, magnetic, gravitational), the total quantity, or effect, of the field is referred to as a flux, while the "push" causing the flux to form in space is called a force. Michael Faraday coined the term "tube" to refer to a string of magnetic flux in space (the Iron is one of those types of substances that readily magnetizes). If a piece of iron is brought near a permanent magnet, the electrons within the atoms in the iron orient their spins to match the magnetic field force produced by the permanent magnet, and the iron becomes "magnetized." The iron will magnetize in such a way as to incorporate the magnetic flux lines into its shape, which attracts it toward the permanent magnet, no matter which pole of the permanent magnet is offered to the iron. The previously unmagnetized iron becomes magnetized as it is brought closer to the permanent magnet. No matter what pole of the permanent magnet is extended toward the iron, the iron will magnetize in such a way as to be attracted toward the magnet: Referencing the natural magnetic properties of iron (Latin = "ferrum"), a ferromagnetic material is one that readily magnetizes (its constituent atoms easily orient their electron spins to conform to an external magnetic field force). All materials are magnetic to some degree, and those that are not considered ferromagnetic (easily magnetized) are classified as either paramagnetic (slightly magnetic) or diamagnetic (tend to exclude magnetic fields). Of the two, diamagnetic materials are the strangest. In the presence of an external magnetic field, they actually become slightly magnetized in the opposite direction, so as to repel the external field! N S magnet diamagnetic material N S repulsion If a ferromagnetic material tends to retain its magnetization after an external field is removed, it is said to have good retentivity. This, of course, is a necessary quality for a permanent magnet. • REVIEW: Lodestone (also called Magnetite) is a naturally-occurring "permanent" magnet mineral. By "permanent," it is meant that the material maintains a magnetic field with no external help. The characteristic of any magnetic material to do so is called retentivity. • Ferromagnetic materials are easily magnetized. Paramagnetic materials are magnetized with more difficulty. • Diamagnetic materials actually tend to repel external magnetic fields by magnetizing in the opposite direction. The discovery of the relationship between magnetism and electricity was, like so many other scientific discoveries, stumbled upon almost by accident. The Danish physicist Hans Christian Oersted made this discovery in 1820, while attempting to show that electric currents could heat objects. Oersted placed a wire carrying an electric current above a compass needle, and observed that the needle deflected. He concluded that there was a magnetic field associated with electric currents.

Christian Oersted was lecturing one day in 1820 on the possibility of electricity and magnetism being related to one another, and in the process demonstrated it conclusively by experiment in front of his whole class! By passing an electric current through a metal wire suspended above a magnetic compass, Oersted was able to produce a definite motion of the compass needle in response to the current. What began as conjecture at the start of the class session was confirmed as fact at the end. Needless to say, Oersted had to revise his lecture notes for future classes! His serendipitous discovery paved the way for a whole new branch of science: electromagnetics. Detailed experiments showed that the magnetic field produced by an electric current is always oriented perpendicular to the direction of flow. A simple method of showing this relationship is called the left-hand rule. Simply stated, the left-hand rule says that the magnetic flux lines produced by a current-carrying wire will be oriented the same direction as the curled fingers of a person's left hand (in the "hitchhiking" position), with the thumb pointing in the direction of electron flow: The "left-hand" rule. The magnetic field encircles this straight piece of current-carrying wire, the magnetic flux lines having no definite "north" or "south" poles. While the magnetic field surrounding a current-carrying wire is indeed interesting, it is quite weak for common amounts of current, able to deflect a compass needle and not much more. To create a stronger magnetic field force (and consequently, more field flux) with the same amount of electric current, we can wrap the wire into a coil shape, where the circling magnetic fields around the wire will join to create a larger field with a definite magnetic (north and south) polarity: magnetic field. The amount of magnetic field force generated by a coiled wire is proportional to the current through the wire multiplied by the number of "turns" or "wraps" of wire in the coil. This field force is called magnetomotive force (mmf), and is very much analogous to electromotive force (E) in an electric circuit. An electromagnet is a piece of wire intended to generate a magnetic field with the passage of electric current through it. Though all current-carrying conductors produce magnetic fields, an electromagnet is usually constructed in such a way as to maximize the strength of the magnetic field it produces for a special purpose.

Electromagnets find frequent application in research, industry, medical, and consumer products. As an electrically-controllable magnet, electromagnets find application in a wide variety of "electromechanical" devices: machines that effect mechanical force or motion through electrical power. Perhaps the most obvious example of such a machine is the electric motor. Another example is the relay, an electrically-controlled switch. If a switch contact mechanism is built so that it can be actuated (opened and closed) by the application of a magnetic field, it will be possible to open and close the switch by the application of a current through the coil. In effect, this gives us a device that enables electricity to control electricity: Applying current through the coil causes the switch to close. Relays can be constructed to actuate multiple switch contacts, or operate them in "reverse" (energizing the coil will open the switch contact, and unpowering the coil will allow it to spring closed again). Relay with "normallyclosed" contact • REVIEW: • When electrons flow through a conductor, a magnetic field will be produced around that conductor. • The left-hand rule states that the magnetic flux lines produced by a current-carrying wire will be oriented the same direction as the curled fingers of a person's left hand (in the "hitchhiking" position), with the thumb pointing in the direction of electron flow. • The magnetic field force produced by a current-carrying wire can be greatly increased by shaping the wire into a coil instead of a straight line. If wound in a coil shape, the magnetic field will be oriented along the axis of the coil's length. • The magnetic field force produced by an electromagnet (called the magnetomotive force, or mmf), is proportional to the product (multiplication) of the current through the electromagnet and the number of complete coil "turns" formed by the wire. If the burden of two systems of measurement for common quantities (English vs. metric) throws your mind into confusion, this is not the place for you! Due to an early lack of standardization in the science of magnetism, we have been plagued with no less than three complete systems of measurement for magnetic quantities. First, we need to become acquainted with the various quantities associated with magnetism. There are quite a few more quantities to be dealt with in magnetic systems than for electrical systems. With electricity, the basic quantities are Voltage (E), Current (I), Resistance (R), and Power (P). The first three are related to one another by Ohm's Law ( $E=IR$ ;  $I=E/R$ ;  $R=E/I$ ), while Power is related to voltage, current, and resistance by Joule's Law ( $P=IE$ ;  $P=I^2 R$ ;  $P=E^2 / R$ ). With magnetism, we have the following quantities to deal with: Magnetomotive Force -The quantity of magnetic field force, or "push." Analogous to electric voltage (electromotive force). Field Flux -The quantity of total field effect, or "substance" of the field. Analogous to electric current. Field Intensity -The amount of field force (mmf) distributed over the length of the electromagnet. Sometimes referred to as Magnetizing Force. Flux Density -The amount of magnetic field flux concentrated in a given area. Reluctance -The opposition to magnetic field flux through a given volume of space or material. Analogous to electrical resistance. Permeability -The specific measure of a material's acceptance of magnetic flux, analogous to the specific resistance of a conductive material ( $\rho$ ), except inverse (greater permeability means easier passage of magnetic flux, whereas greater specific resistance means more difficult passage of electric current). But wait . . . the fun is just beginning! Not only do we have more quantities to keep track of with magnetism than with electricity, but we have several different systems of unit measurement for each of these quantities. As with common quantities of length, weight, volume, and temperature, we have both English and metric systems. However, there is actually more than one metric system of units, and multiple metric systems are used in magnetic field measurements! One is called the cgs, which stands for Centimeter-Gram-Second, denoting the root measures upon which the whole system is based. The other was originally known as the mks system, which stood for Meter-Kilogram-Second. This ended up being adopted as an international standard and renamed SI (Système International). And yes, the  $\mu$  symbol is really the same as the metric prefix "micro." I find this especially confusing, using the exact same alphabetical character to symbolize both a specific quantity and a general metric prefix! As you might have guessed already, the relationship between field force, field flux, and reluctance is much the same as that between the electrical quantities of electromotive force (E), current (I), and resistance (R). This provides something akin to an Ohm's Law for magnetic circuits: Electrical Magnetic  $E = IR$  mmf =  $\Phi R$ . A comparison of "Ohm's Law" for electric and magnetic circuits: And, given that permeability is inversely analogous to specific resistance, the equation for finding the reluctance of a magnetic material is very similar to that for finding the resistance of a conductor: Magnetic In either case, a longer piece of material provides a greater opposition, all other factors being equal. Also, a larger cross-sectional area makes for less opposition, all other factors being equal. The major caveat here is that the reluctance of a material to magnetic flux actually changes with the concentration of flux going through it. This makes the "Ohm's Law" for magnetic circuits nonlinear and far more difficult to work with than the electrical version of Ohm's Law. It would be analogous to having a resistor that changed resistance as the current through it varied (a circuit composed of varistors instead of resistors). The nonlinearity of material permeability may be graphed for better understanding. We'll place the quantity of field intensity (H), equal to field force (mmf) divided by the length of the material, on the horizontal axis of the graph. On the vertical axis, we'll place the quantity of flux density (B), equal to total flux divided by the cross-sectional area of the material. We will use the quantities of field intensity (H) and flux density (B) instead of field force (mmf) and total flux ( $\Phi$ ) so that the shape of our graph remains independent of the physical dimensions of our test material. What

the vertical axis, we'll place the quantity of flux density ( $B$ ), equal to total flux divided by the cross-sectional area of the material. We will use the quantities of field intensity ( $H$ ) and flux density ( $B$ ) instead of field force (mmf) and total flux ( $\Phi$ ) so that the shape of our graph remains independent of the physical dimensions of our test material. What we're trying to do here is show a mathematical relationship between field force and flux for any chunk of a particular substance, in the same spirit as describing a material's specific resistance in ohm-cmil/ft instead of its actual resistance in ohms. Field intensity ( $H$ ) cast iron cast steel sheet steel This is called the normal magnetization curve, or B-H curve, for any particular material. Notice how the flux density for any of the above materials (cast iron, cast steel, and sheet steel) levels off with increasing amounts of field intensity. This effect is known as saturation. When there is little applied magnetic force (low  $H$ ), only a few atoms are in alignment, and the rest are easily aligned with additional force. However, as more flux gets crammed into the same cross-sectional area of a ferromagnetic material, fewer atoms are available within that material to align their electrons with additional force, and so it takes more and more force ( $H$ ) to get less and less "help" from the material in creating more flux density ( $B$ ). To put this in economic terms, we're seeing a case of diminishing returns ( $B$ ) on our investment ( $H$ ). Saturation is a phenomenon limited to iron-core electromagnets. Air-core electromagnets don't saturate, but on the other hand they don't produce nearly as much magnetic flux as a ferromagnetic core for the same number of wire turns and current. Another quirk to confound our analysis of magnetic flux versus force is the phenomenon of magnetic hysteresis. As a general term, hysteresis means a lag between input and output in a system upon a change in direction. Anyone who's ever driven an old automobile with "loose" steering knows what hysteresis is: to change from turning left to turning right (or vice versa), you have to rotate the steering wheel an additional amount to overcome the built-in "lag" in the mechanical linkage system between the steering wheel and the front wheels of the car. In a magnetic system, hysteresis is seen in a ferromagnetic material that tends to stay magnetized after an applied field force has been removed (see "retentivity" in the first section of this chapter), if the force is reversed in polarity. Let's use the same graph again, only extending the axes to indicate both positive and negative quantities. First we'll apply an increasing field force (current through the coils of our electromagnet). We should see the flux density increase (go up and to the right) according to the normal magnetization curve: Due to the retentivity of the material, we still have a magnetic flux with no applied force (no current through the coil). Our electromagnet core is acting as a permanent magnet at this point. Now we will slowly apply the same amount of magnetic field force in the opposite direction to our sample: Once again, due to the natural retentivity of the material, it will hold a magnetic flux with no power applied to the coil, except this time its in a direction opposite to that of the last time we stopped current through the coil. If we re-apply power in a positive direction again, we should see the flux density reach its prior peak in the upper-right corner of the graph again: The "S"-shaped curve traced by these steps form what is called the hysteresis curve of a ferromagnetic material for a given set of field intensity extremes (- $H$  and + $H$ ). If this doesn't quite make sense, consider a hysteresis graph for the automobile steering scenario described earlier, one graph depicting a "tight" steering system and one depicting a "loose" system: amount of "looseness" in the steering mechanism A "loose" steering system Just as in the case of automobile steering systems, hysteresis can be a problem. If you're designing a system to produce precise amounts of magnetic field flux for given amounts of current, hysteresis may hinder this design goal (due to the fact that the amount of flux density would depend on the current and how strongly it was magnetized before!). Similarly, a loose steering system is unacceptable in a race car, where precise, repeatable steering response is a necessity. Also, having to overcome prior magnetization in an electromagnet can be a waste of energy if the current used to energize the coil is alternating back and forth (AC). The area within the hysteresis curve gives a rough estimate of the amount of this wasted energy. Other times, magnetic hysteresis is a desirable thing. Such is the case when magnetic materials are used as a means of storing information (computer disks, audio and video tapes). In these applications, it is desirable to be able to

back and forth (AC). The area within the hysteresis curve gives a rough estimate of the amount of this wasted energy. Other times, magnetic hysteresis is a desirable thing. Such is the case when magnetic materials are used as a means of storing information (computer disks, audio and video tapes). In these applications, it is desirable to be able to magnetize a speck of iron oxide (ferrite) and rely on that material's retentivity to "remember" its last magnetized state. Another productive application for magnetic hysteresis is in filtering high-frequency electromagnetic "noise" (rapidly alternating surges of voltage) from signal wiring by running those wires through the middle of a ferrite ring. The energy consumed in overcoming the hysteresis of ferrite attenuates the strength of the "noise" signal. Interestingly enough, the hysteresis curve of ferrite is quite extreme: Flux density ( $B$ ) • REVIEW: • The permeability of a material changes with the amount of magnetic flux forced through it. • The specific relationship of force to flux (field intensity  $H$  to flux density  $B$ ) is graphed in a form called the normal magnetization curve. • It is possible to apply so much magnetic field force to a ferromagnetic material that no more flux can be crammed into it. This condition is known as magnetic saturation. • When the retentivity of a ferromagnetic substance interferes with its re-magnetization in the opposite direction, a condition known as hysteresis occurs. While Oersted's surprising discovery of electromagnetism paved the way for more practical applications of electricity, it was Michael Faraday who gave us the key to the practical generation of electricity: electromagnetic induction. Faraday discovered that a voltage would be generated across a length of wire if that wire was exposed to a perpendicular magnetic field flux of changing intensity. An easy way to create a magnetic field of changing intensity is to move a permanent magnet next to a wire or coil of wire. Remember: the magnetic field must increase or decrease in intensity perpendicular to the wire (so that the lines of flux "cut across" the conductor), or else no voltage will be induced: Faraday was able to mathematically relate the rate of change of the magnetic field flux with induced voltage (note the use of a lower-case letter "e" for voltage. This refers to instantaneous voltage, or voltage at a specific point in time, rather than a steady, stable voltage.): The "d" terms are standard calculus notation, representing rate-of-change of flux over time. "N" stands for the number of turns, or wraps, in the wire coil (assuming that the wire is formed in the shape of a coil for maximum electromagnetic efficiency). This phenomenon is put into obvious practical use in the construction of electrical generators, which use mechanical power to move a magnetic field past coils of wire to generate voltage. However, this is by no means the only practical use for this principle. If we recall that the magnetic field produced by a current-carrying wire was always perpendicular to that wire, and that the flux intensity of that magnetic field varied with the amount of current through it, we can see that a wire is capable of inducing a voltage along its own length simply due to a change in current through it. This effect is called self-induction: a changing magnetic field produced by changes in current through a wire inducing voltage along the length of that same wire. If the magnetic field flux is enhanced by bending the wire into the shape of a coil, and/or wrapping that coil around a material of high permeability, this effect of self-induced voltage will be more intense. A device constructed to take advantage of this effect is called an inductor, and will be discussed in greater detail in the next chapter. • REVIEW: • A magnetic field of changing intensity perpendicular to a wire will induce a voltage along the length of that wire. The amount of voltage induced depends on the rate of change of the magnetic field flux and the number of turns of wire (if coiled) exposed to the change in flux. • Faraday's equation for induced voltage:  $e = N(d\Phi/dt)$ . • A current-carrying wire will experience an induced voltage along its length if the current changes (thus changing the magnetic field flux perpendicular to the wire, thus inducing voltage according to Faraday's formula). A device built specifically to take advantage of this effect is called an inductor. If two coils of wire are brought into close proximity with each other so the magnetic field from one links with the other, a voltage will be generated in the second coil as a result. This is called mutual inductance; when voltage impressed upon one coil induces a voltage in another. A device specifically designed to produce the effect of mutual inductance between two or more coils is called a transformer. The device shown in the above photograph is a kind of transformer, with two concentric wire coils. It is

second coil as a result. This is called mutual inductance: when voltage impressed upon one coil induces a voltage in another. A device specifically designed to produce the effect of mutual inductance between two or more coils is called a transformer. The device shown in the above photograph is a kind of transformer, with two concentric wire coils. It is actually intended as a precision standard unit for mutual inductance, but for the purposes of illustrating what the essence of a transformer is, it will suffice. The two wire coils can be distinguished from each other by color: the bulk of the tube's length is wrapped in green-insulated wire (the first coil) while the second coil (wire with bronze-colored insulation) stands in the middle of the tube's length. The wire ends run down to connection terminals at the bottom of the unit. Most transformer units are not built with their wire coils exposed like this. Because magnetically-induced voltage only happens when the magnetic field flux is changing in strength relative to the wire, mutual inductance between two coils can only happen with alternating (changing -AC) voltage, and not with direct (steady -DC) voltage. The only applications for mutual inductance in a DC system is where some means is available to switch power on and off to the coil (thus creating a pulsing DC voltage), the induced voltage peaking at every pulse. A very useful property of transformers is the ability to transform voltage and current levels according to a simple ratio, determined by the ratio of input and output coil turns. If the energized coil of a transformer is energized by an AC voltage, the amount of AC voltage induced in the unpowered coil will be equal to the input voltage multiplied by the ratio of output to input wire turns in the coils. Conversely, the current through the windings of the output coil compared to the input coil will follow the opposite ratio: if the voltage is increased from input coil to output coil, the current will be decreased by the same proportion. This action of the transformer is analogous to that of mechanical gear, belt sheave, or chain sprocket ratios: Step-down" transformer A transformer designed to output more voltage than it takes in across the input coil is called a "step-up" transformer, while one designed to do the opposite is called a "step-down," in reference to the transformation of voltage that takes place. The current through each respective coil, of course, follows the exact opposite proportion. • REVIEW: • Mutual inductance is where the magnetic field generated by a coil of wire induces voltage in an adjacent coil of wire. • A transformer is a device constructed of two or more coils in close proximity to each other, with the express purpose of creating a condition of mutual inductance between the coils. • Transformers only work with changing voltages, not steady voltages. Thus, they may be classified as an AC device and not a DC device. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information. Jason Starck : HTML document formatting, which led to a much betterlooking second edition. Whenever electrons flow through a conductor, a magnetic field will develop around that conductor. This effect is called electromagnetism. Magnetic fields effect the alignment of electrons in an atom, and can cause physical force to develop between atoms across space just as with electric fields developing force between electrically charged particles. Like electric fields, magnetic fields can occupy completely empty space, and affect matter at a distance. Fields have two measures: a field force and a field flux. The field force is the amount of "push" that a field exerts over a certain distance. The field flux is the total quantity, or effect, of the field through space. Field force and flux are roughly analogous to voltage ("push") and current (flow) through a conductor, respectively, although field flux can exist in totally empty space (without the motion of particles such as electrons) whereas current can only take place where there are free electrons to move. Field flux can be opposed in space, just as the flow of electrons can be opposed by resistance. The amount of field flux that will develop in space is proportional to the amount of field force applied, divided by the amount of opposition to flux. Just as the type of conducting material dictates that conductor's specific resistance to electric current, the type of material occupying the space through which a magnetic field force is impressed dictates the specific opposition to magnetic field flux. Whereas an electric field flux between two conductors allows for an accumulation of free electron charge within those conductors, a magnetic field flux allows for a certain "inertia" to accumulate in the flow of electrons through the conductor producing the field. Inductors are components designed to take advantage of this phenomenon by shaping the length of conductive wire in the form of a coil. This shape creates a stronger magnetic field than what would be produced by a straight wire. Some inductors are formed with wire wound in a self-supporting coil. Others wrap the wire around a solid core material of some type. Sometimes the core of an inductor will be straight, and other times it will be joined in a loop (square, rectangular, or circular) to fully contain the magnetic flux. These design options all have an effect on the performance and characteristics of inductors. The schematic symbol for an inductor, like the capacitor, is quite simple, being little more than a coil symbol representing the coiled wire. Although a simple coil shape is the generic symbol for any inductor, inductors with cores are sometimes distinguished by the addition of parallel lines to the axis of the coil. A newer version of the inductor symbol dispenses with the coil shape in favor of several "humps" in a row: generic, or air-core iron core (alternative) generic (newer symbol) As the electric current produces a concentrated magnetic field around the coil, this field flux equates to a storage of energy representing the kinetic motion of the electrons through the coil. The more current in the coil, the stronger the magnetic field will be, and the more energy the inductor will store. Because inductors store the kinetic energy of moving electrons in the form of a magnetic field, they behave quite differently than resistors (which simply dissipate energy in the form of heat) in a circuit. Energy storage in an inductor is a function of the amount of current through it. An inductor's ability to store energy as a function of current results in a tendency to try to maintain current at a constant level. In other words, inductors tend to resist changes in current. When current through an inductor is increased or decreased, the inductor "resists" the change by producing a voltage between its leads in opposing polarity to the change. To store more energy in an inductor, the current through it must be increased. This means that its magnetic field must increase in strength, and that change in field strength produces the corresponding voltage according to the principle of electromagnetic self-induction. Conversely, to release energy from an inductor, the current through it must be decreased. This means that the inductor's magnetic field must decrease in strength, and that change in field strength self-induces a voltage drop of just the opposite polarity. Just as Isaac Newton's first Law of Motion ("an object in motion tends to stay in motion; an object at rest tends to stay at rest") describes the tendency of a mass to oppose changes in velocity, we can state an inductor's tendency to oppose changes in current as such: "Electrons moving through an inductor tend to stay in motion; electrons at rest in an inductor tend to stay at rest." Hypothetically, an inductor left short-circuited will maintain a constant rate of current through it with no external assistance: current sustained with the inductor short-circuited Practically speaking, however, the ability for an inductor to self-sustain current is realized only with superconductive wire, as the wire resistance in any normal inductor is enough to cause current to decay very quickly with no external source of power. When the current through an inductor is increased, it drops a voltage opposing the direction of electron flow, acting as a power load. In this condition the inductor is said to be charging, because there is an increasing amount of energy being stored in its magnetic field. Note the polarity of the voltage with regard to the direction of current: . . . Conversely, when the current through the inductor is decreased, it drops a voltage aiding the direction of electron flow, acting as a power source. In this condition the inductor is said to be discharging, because its store of energy is decreasing as it releases energy from its magnetic field to the rest of the circuit. Note the polarity of the voltage with regard to the direction of current.. If a source of electric power is suddenly applied to an unmagnetized inductor, the inductor will initially resist the flow of electrons by dropping the full voltage of the source. As current begins to increase, a stronger and stronger magnetic field will be created, absorbing energy from the source. Eventually the current reaches a maximum level, and stops increasing. At this point, the inductor stops absorbing energy from the source, and is dropping minimum voltage across its leads, while the current remains at a maximum level. As an inductor stores more energy, its current level increases, while its voltage drop decreases. Note that this is precisely the opposite of capacitor behavior, where the storage of energy results in an increased voltage across the component! Whereas capacitors store their energy charge by maintaining a static voltage, inductors maintain their energy "charge" by maintaining a steady current through the coil. The type of material the wire is coiled around greatly impacts the strength of the magnetic field flux (and therefore the amount of stored energy) generated for any given amount of current through the coil. Coil cores made of ferromagnetic materials (such as soft iron) will encourage stronger field fluxes to develop with a given field force than nonmagnetic substances such as aluminum or air. The measure of an inductor's ability to store energy for a given amount of current flow is called inductance. Not surprisingly, inductance is also a measure of the intensity of opposition to changes in current (exactly how much self-induced voltage will be produced for a given rate of change of current). Inductance is symbolically denoted with a capital "L," and is measured in the unit of the Henry, abbreviated as "H." An obsolete name for an inductor is choke, so called for its common usage to block ("choke") high-frequency AC signals in radio circuits. Another name for an inductor, still used in modern times, is reactor, especially when used in large power applications. Both of these names will make more sense after you've studied alternating current (AC) circuit theory, and especially a principle known as inductive reactance. • REVIEW: • Inductors react against changes in current by dropping voltage in the polarity necessary to oppose the change. • When an inductor is faced with an increasing current, it acts as a load: dropping voltage as it absorbs energy (negative on the current entry side and positive on the current exit side, like a resistor). • When an inductor is faced with a decreasing current, it acts as a source: creating voltage as it releases stored energy (positive on the current entry side and negative on the current exit side, like a battery). • The ability of an inductor to store energy in the form of a magnetic field (and consequently to oppose changes in current) is called inductance. It is measured in the unit of the Henry (H). • Inductors used to be commonly known by another term: choke. In large power applications, they are sometimes referred to as reactors. Inductors do not have a stable "resistance" as conductors do. However, there is a definite mathematical relationship between voltage and current for an inductor, as follows: You should recognize the form of this equation from the capacitor chapter. It relates one variable (in this case, inductor voltage drop) to a rate of change of another variable (in this case, inductor current). Both voltage (v) and rate of current change ( $di/dt$ ) are instantaneous: that is, in relation to a specific point in time, thus the lower-case letters "v" and "i". As with the capacitor formula, it is convention to express instantaneous voltage as v rather than e, but using the latter designation would not be wrong. Current rate-of-change ( $di/dt$ ) is expressed in units of amps per second, a positive number representing an increase and a negative number representing a decrease. Like a capacitor, an inductor's behavior is rooted in the variable of time. Aside from any resistance intrinsic to an inductor's wire coil (which we will assume is zero for the sake of this section), the voltage dropped across the terminals of an inductor is purely related to how quickly its current changes over time. Suppose we were to connect a perfect inductor (one having zero ohms of wire resistance) to a circuit where we could vary the amount of current through it with a potentiometer connected as a variable resistor: If the potentiometer mechanism remains in a single position (wiper is stationary), the series-connected ammeter will register a constant (unchanging) current, and the voltmeter connected across the inductor will register 0 volts. In this scenario, the instantaneous rate of current change ( $di/dt$ ) is equal to zero, because the current is stable. The equation tells us that with 0 amps per second change for a  $di/dt$ , there must be zero instantaneous voltage (v) across the inductor. From a physical perspective, with no current change, there will be a steady magnetic field generated by the inductor. With no change in magnetic flux ( $d\Phi/dt = 0$  Webers per second), there will be no voltage dropped across the length of the coil due to induction. Inductor current I If we move the potentiometer wiper slowly in the "up" direction, its resistance from end to end will slowly decrease. This has the effect of

increasing current in the circuit, so the ammeter indication should be increasing at a slow rate:Potentiometer wiper moving slowly in the "up" directionSteady voltage -+ Assuming that the potentiometer wiper is being moved such that the rate of current increase through the inductor is steady, the  $\frac{di}{dt}$  term of the formula will be a fixed value. This fixed value, multiplied by the inductor's inductance in Henrys (also fixed), results in a fixed voltage of some magnitude. From a physical perspective, the gradual increase in current results in a magnetic field that is likewise increasing. This gradual increase in magnetic flux causes a voltage to be induced in the coil as expressed by Michael Faraday's induction equation  $e = N(\frac{d\Phi}{dt})$ . This self-induced voltage across the coil, as a result of a gradual change in current magnitude through the coil, happens to be of a polarity that attempts to oppose the change in current. In other words, the induced voltage polarity resulting from an increase in current will be oriented in such a way as to push against the direction of current, to try to keep the current at its former magnitude. This phenomenon exhibits a more general principle of physics known as Lenz's Law, which states that an induced effect will always be opposed to the cause producing it.In this scenario, the inductor will be acting as a load, with the negative side of the induced voltage on the end where electrons are entering, and the positive side of the induced voltage on the end where electrons are exiting. Here again we see the derivative function of calculus exhibited in the behavior of an inductor. In calculus terms, we would say that the induced voltage across the inductor is the derivative of the current through the inductor: that is, proportional to the current's rate-of-change with respect to time.Reversing the direction of wiper motion on the potentiometer (going "down" rather than "up") will result in its end-to-end resistance increasing. This will result in circuit current decreasing (a negative figure for  $\frac{di}{dt}$ ). The inductor, always opposing any change in current, will produce a voltage drop opposed to the direction of change:Potentiometer wiper moving current -+ in the "down" directionHow much voltage the inductor will produce depends, of course, on how rapidly the current through it is decreased. As described by Lenz's Law, the induced voltage will be opposed to the change in current. With a decreasing current, the voltage polarity will be oriented so as to try to keep the current at its former magnitude. In this scenario, the inductor will be acting as a source, with the negative side of the induced voltage on the end where electrons are exiting, and the positive side of the induced voltage on the end where electrons are entering. The more rapidly current is decreased, the more voltage will be produced by the inductor, in its release of stored energy to try to keep current constant. Again, the amount of voltage across a perfect inductor is directly proportional to the rate of current change through it. The only difference between the effects of a decreasing current and an increasing current is the polarity of

the induced voltage. For the same rate of current change over time, either increasing or decreasing, the voltage magnitude (volts) will be the same. For example, a  $\frac{di}{dt}$  of -2 amps per second will produce the same amount of induced voltage drop across an inductor as a  $\frac{di}{dt}$  of +2 amps per second, just in the opposite polarity. If current through an inductor is forced to change very rapidly, very high voltages will be produced. Consider the following circuit: In this circuit, a lamp is connected across the terminals of an inductor. A switch is used to control current in the circuit, and power is supplied by a 6 volt battery. When the switch is closed, the inductor will briefly oppose the change in current from zero to some magnitude, but will drop only a small amount of voltage. It takes about 70 volts to ionize the neon gas inside a neon bulb like this, so the bulb cannot be lit on the 6 volts produced by the battery, or the low voltage momentarily dropped by the inductor when the switch is closed. When the switch is opened, however, it suddenly introduces an extremely high resistance into the circuit (the resistance of the air gap between the contacts). This sudden introduction of high resistance into the circuit causes the circuit current to decrease almost instantly. Mathematically, the  $\frac{di}{dt}$  term will be a very large negative number. Such a rapid change of current (from some magnitude to zero in very little time) will induce a very high voltage across the inductor, oriented with negative on the left and positive on the right, in an effort to oppose this decrease in current. The voltage produced is usually more than enough to light the neon lamp, if only for a brief moment until the current decays to zero. For maximum effect, the inductor should be sized as large as possible (at least 1 Henry of inductance). There are four basic factors of inductor construction determining the amount of inductance created. These factors all dictate inductance by affecting how much magnetic field flux will develop for a given amount of magnetic field force (current through the inductor's wire coil): All other factors being equal, a greater number of turns of wire in the coil results in greater inductance; fewer turns of wire in the coil results in less inductance. Explanation: More turns of wire means that the coil will generate a greater amount of magnetic field force (measured in amp-turns!), for a given amount of coil current. Less inductance more inductance COIL AREA: All other factors being equal, greater coil area (as measured looking lengthwise through the coil, at the cross-section of the core) results in greater inductance; less coil area results in less inductance. Explanation: Greater coil area presents less opposition to the formation of magnetic field flux, for a given amount of field force (amp-turns). Less inductance more inductance COIL LENGTH: All other factors being equal, the longer the coil's length, the less inductance; the shorter the coil's length, the greater the inductance. Explanation: A longer path for the magnetic field flux to take results in more opposition to the formation of that flux for any given amount of field force (amp-turns). Less inductance more inductance CORE MATERIAL: All other factors being equal, the greater the magnetic permeability of the core which the coil is wrapped around, the greater the inductance; the less the permeability of the core, the less the inductance. Explanation: A core material with greater magnetic permeability results in greater magnetic field flux for any given amount of field force (amp-turns). It must be understood that this formula yields approximate figures only. One reason for this is the fact that permeability changes as the field intensity varies (remember the nonlinear "B/H" curves for different materials). Obviously, if permeability ( $\mu$ ) in the equation is unstable, then the inductance ( $L$ ) will also be unstable to some degree as the current through the coil changes in magnitude. If the hysteresis of the core material is significant, this will also have strange effects on the inductance of the coil. Inductor designers try to minimize these effects by designing the core in such a way that its flux density never approaches saturation levels, and so the inductor operates in a more linear portion of the B/H curve. If an inductor is designed so that any one of these factors may be varied at will, its inductance will correspondingly vary. Variable inductors are usually made by providing a way to vary the number of wire turns in use at any given time, or by varying the core material (a sliding core that can be moved in and out of the coil). An example of the former design is shown in this photograph: This unit uses sliding copper contacts to tap into the coil at different points along its length. The unit shown happens to be an air-core inductor used in early radio work. A fixed-value inductor is shown in the next photograph, another antique air-core unit built for radios. The connection terminals can be seen at the bottom, as well as the few turns of relatively thick wire. Here is another inductor (of greater inductance value), also intended for radio applications. Its wire coil is wound around a white ceramic tube for greater rigidity. The two inductors on this circuit board are labeled L 1 and L 2, and they are located to the right-center of the board. Two nearby components are R 3 (a resistor) and C 16 (a capacitor). These inductors are called "toroidal" because their wire coils are wound around donut-shaped ("torus") cores. Like resistors and capacitors, inductors can be packaged as "surface mount devices" as well. The following photograph shows just how small an inductor can be when packaged as such: A pair of inductors can be seen on this circuit board, to the right and center, appearing as small black chips with the number "100" printed on both. The upper inductor's label can be seen printed on the green circuit board as L 5. Of course these inductors are very small in inductance value, but it demonstrates just how tiny they can be manufactured to meet certain circuit design needs. When inductors are connected in series, the total inductance is the sum of the individual inductors' inductances. To understand why this is so, consider the following: the definitive measure of inductance is the amount of voltage dropped across an inductor for a given rate of current change through it. If inductors are connected together in series (thus sharing the same current, and seeing the same rate of change in current), then the total voltage dropped as the result of a change in current will be additive with each inductor, creating a greater total voltage than either of the individual inductors alone. Greater voltage for the same rate of change in current means greater inductance. Thus, the total inductance for series inductors is more than any one of the individual inductors' inductances. The formula for calculating the series total inductance is the same form as for calculating series resistances:  $L_{\text{total}} = L_1 + L_2 + \dots + L_n$ . When inductors are connected in parallel, the total inductance is less than any one of the parallel inductors' inductances. Again, remember that the definitive measure of inductance is the amount of voltage dropped across an inductor for a given rate of current change through it. Since the current through each parallel inductor will be a fraction of the total current, and the voltage across each parallel inductor will be equal, a change in total current will result in less voltage dropped across the parallel array than for any one of the inductors considered separately. In other words, there will be less voltage dropped across parallel inductors for a given rate of change in current than for any of those inductors considered separately, because total current divides among parallel branches. Less voltage for the same rate of change in current means less inductance. Increase in current  $L_1 L_2 + \dots + L_n$ . Thus, the total inductance is less than any one of the individual inductors' inductances. The formula for calculating the parallel total inductance is the same form as for calculating parallel resistances:  $\frac{1}{L_{\text{total}}} = \frac{1}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{L_n}$ . Parallel Inductances  $L_{\text{total}} = \frac{L_1 L_2 L_n}{L_1 + L_2 + \dots + L_n}$ . REVIEW: • Inductances add in series. • Inductances diminish in parallel. Inductors, like all electrical components, have limitations which must be respected for the sake of reliability and proper circuit operation. Rated current: Since inductors are constructed of coiled wire, and any wire will be limited in its current-carrying capacity by its resistance and ability to dissipate heat, you must pay attention to the maximum current allowed through an inductor. Equivalent circuit: Since inductor wire has some resistance, and circuit design constraints typically demand the inductor be built to the smallest possible dimensions, there is no such thing as a "perfect" inductor. Inductor coil wire usually presents a substantial amount of series resistance, and the close spacing of wire from one coil turn to another (separated by insulation) may present measurable amounts of stray capacitance to interact with its purely inductive characteristics. Unlike capacitors, which are relatively easy to manufacture with negligible stray effects, inductors are difficult to find in "pure" form. In certain applications, these undesirable characteristics may present significant engineering problems. Inductor size: Inductors tend to be much larger, physically, than capacitors are for storing equivalent amounts of energy. This is especially true considering the recent advances in electrolytic capacitor technology, allowing incredibly large capacitance values to be packed into a small package. If a circuit designer needs to store a large amount of energy in a small volume and has the freedom to choose either capacitors or inductors for the task, he or she will most likely choose a capacitor. A notable exception to this rule is in applications requiring huge amounts of either capacitance or inductance to store electrical energy: inductors made of superconducting wire (zero resistance) are more practical to build and safely operate than capacitors of equivalent value, and are probably smaller too. Interference: Inductors may affect nearby components on a circuit board with their magnetic fields, which can extend significant distances beyond the inductor. This is especially true if there are other inductors nearby on the circuit board. If the magnetic fields of two or more inductors are able to "link" with each others' turns of wire, there will be mutual inductance present in the circuit as well as self-inductance, which could very well cause unwanted effects. This is another reason why circuit designers tend to choose capacitors over inductors to perform similar tasks: capacitors inherently contain their respective electric fields neatly within the component package and therefore do not typically generate any "mutual" effects with other components. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information. Jason : HTML document formatting, which led to a much betterlooking second edition. This chapter explores the response of capacitors and inductors to sudden changes in DC voltage (called a transient voltage), when wired in series with a resistor. Unlike resistors, which respond instantaneously to applied voltage, capacitors and inductors react over time as they absorb and release energy. Because capacitors store energy in the form of an electric field, they tend to act like small secondary-cell batteries, being able to store and release electrical energy. A fully discharged capacitor maintains zero volts across its terminals, and a charged capacitor maintains a steady quantity of voltage across its terminals, just like a battery. When capacitors are placed in a circuit with other sources of voltage, they will absorb energy from those sources, just as a secondary-cell battery will become charged as a result of being connected to a generator. A fully discharged capacitor, having a terminal voltage of zero, will initially act as a short-circuit when attached to a source of voltage, drawing maximum current as it begins to build a charge. Over time, the capacitor's terminal voltage rises to meet the applied voltage from the source, and the current through the capacitor decreases correspondingly. Once the capacitor has reached the full voltage of the source, it will stop drawing current from it, and behave essentially as an open-circuit. Switch 10 kΩ 100 μF 15 V R C When the switch is first closed, the voltage across the capacitor (which we were told was fully discharged) is zero volts; thus, it first behaves as though it were a short-circuit. Over time, the capacitor voltage will rise to equal battery voltage, ending in a condition where the capacitor behaves as an open-circuit. Current through the circuit is determined by the difference in voltage between the battery and the capacitor, divided by the resistance of 10 kΩ. As the capacitor voltage approaches the battery voltage, the current approaches zero. Once the capacitor voltage has reached 15 volts, the current will be exactly zero. Let's see how this works using real values: The capacitor voltage's approach to 15 volts and the current's approach to zero over time is what a mathematician would call asymptotic: that is, they both approach their final values, getting closer and closer over time, but never exactly reaches their destinations. For all practical purposes, though, we can say that the capacitor voltage will eventually reach 15 volts and that the current will eventually equal zero. Using the SPICE circuit analysis program, we can chart this asymptotic buildup of capacitor voltage and decay of capacitor current in a more graphical form (capacitor current is plotted in terms of voltage drop across the resistor, using the resistor as a shunt to measure current): capacitor charging v1 1 0 dc 15 r1 1 2 10k c1 2 0 100u ic=0 .tran .5 10 uic .plot tran v(2,0) v(1,2) .end legend: \* : v(2) Capacitor voltage +: v(1,2) Capacitor current time v(2) (\* +)-----0.000E+00 5.000E+01 1.000E+01 1.500E+01 -----0.000E+00 5.976E-05 \* + .1.000E+00 9.474E+00 ..+\* . . . \* -----As you can see, I have used the .plot command in the netlist instead of the more familiar .print command. This generates a pseudo-graphic plot of figures on the computer screen using text characters. SPICE plots graphs in such a way that time is on the vertical axis (going down) and amplitude (voltage/current) is plotted on the horizontal (right=more; left=less). Notice how the voltage increases (to the right of the plot) very quickly at first, then tapering off as time goes on. Current also changes very quickly at first then levels off as time goes on, but it is approaching minimum (left of scale) while voltage approaches maximum. • REVIEW: • Capacitors act somewhat like secondary-cell batteries when faced with a sudden change in applied voltage: they initially react by producing a high current which tapers off over time. • A fully discharged capacitor initially acts as a short circuit (current with no voltage drop) when faced with the sudden application of voltage. After charging fully to that level of voltage, it acts as an open circuit (voltage drop with no current). • In a resistor-capacitor charging circuit, capacitor voltage goes from nothing to full source voltage while current goes from maximum to zero, both variables changing most rapidly at first, approaching their final values slower and slower as time goes on. Inductors have the exact opposite characteristics of capacitors. Whereas capacitors store energy in an electric field (produced by the voltage between two plates), inductors store energy in a magnetic field (produced by the current through wire). Thus, while the stored energy in a capacitor tries to maintain a constant voltage across its terminals, the stored energy in an inductor tries to maintain a constant current through its windings. Because of this, inductors oppose changes in current, and act precisely the opposite of capacitors, which oppose changes in voltage. A fully discharged inductor (no magnetic field), having zero current through it, will initially act as an open-circuit when attached to a source of voltage (as it tries to maintain zero current), dropping maximum voltage across its leads. Over time, the inductor's current rises to the maximum value allowed by the circuit, and the terminal voltage decreases correspondingly. Once the inductor's terminal voltage has decreased to a minimum (zero for a "perfect" inductor), the current will stay at a maximum level, and it will behave essentially as a short-circuit. 1 Ω 1 H R L When the switch is first closed, the voltage across the inductor is zero, and the current is at its maximum. As time goes on, the voltage across the inductor increases, and the current decreases. The voltage across the inductor increases exponentially, reaching a maximum value of 15 volts after approximately 10 seconds. The current decreases exponentially, reaching zero after approximately 10 seconds. The voltage across the resistor remains constant at 15 volts throughout the entire process.

inductor will immediately jump to battery voltage (acting as though it were an open-circuit) and decay down to zero over time (eventually acting as though it were a short-circuit). Voltage across the inductor is determined by calculating how much voltage is being dropped across R, given the current through the inductor, and subtracting that voltage value from the battery to see what's left. When the switch is first closed, the current is zero, then it increases over time until it is equal to the battery voltage divided by the series resistance of  $1\ \Omega$ . This behavior is precisely opposite that of the series resistor-capacitor circuit, where current started at a maximum and capacitor voltage at zero. Let's see how this works using real values: . + ----- Notice how the voltage decreases (to the left of the plot) very quickly at first, then tapering off as time goes on. Current also changes very quickly at first then levels off as time goes on, but it is approaching maximum (right of scale) while voltage approaches minimum. • REVIEW: • A fully "discharged" inductor (no current through it) initially acts as an open circuit (voltage drop with no current) when faced with the sudden application of voltage. After "charging" fully to the final level of current, it acts as a short circuit (current with no voltage drop). • In a resistor-inductor "charging" circuit, inductor current goes from nothing to full value while voltage goes from maximum to zero, both variables changing most rapidly at first, approaching their final values slower and slower as time goes on. There's a sure way to calculate any of the values in a reactive DC circuit over time. The first step is to identify the starting and final values for whatever quantity the capacitor or inductor opposes change in; that is, whatever quantity the reactive component is trying to hold constant. For capacitors, this quantity is voltage; for inductors, this quantity is current. When the switch in a circuit is closed (or opened), the reactive component will attempt to maintain that quantity at the same level as it was before the switch transition, so that value is to be used for the "starting" value. The final value for this quantity is whatever that quantity will be after an infinite amount of time. This can be determined by analyzing a capacitive circuit as though the capacitor was an open-circuit, and an inductive circuit as though the inductor was a short-circuit, because that is what these components behave as when they've reached "full charge," after an infinite amount of time. The next step is to calculate the time constant of the circuit: the amount of time it takes for voltage or current values to change approximately 63 percent from their starting values to their final values in a transient situation. In a series RC circuit, the time constant is equal to the total resistance in ohms multiplied by the total capacitance in farads. For a series L/R circuit, it is the total inductance in henrys divided by the total resistance in ohms. In either case, the time constant is expressed in units of seconds and symbolized by the Greek letter "tau" ( $\tau$ ): For resistor-inductor circuits:  $\tau =$  The rise and fall of circuit values such as voltage and current in response to a transient is, as was mentioned before, asymptotic. Being so, the values begin to rapidly change soon after the transient and settle down over time. If plotted on a graph, the approach to the final values of voltage and current form exponential curves. As was stated before, one time constant is the amount of time it takes for any of these values to change about 63 percent from their starting values to their (ultimate) final values. For every time constant, these values move (approximately) 63 percent closer to their eventual goal. The mathematical formula for determining the precise percentage is quite simple: Percentage of change =  $1 - e^{-t/\tau}$ . The letter e stands for Euler's constant, which is approximately 2.7182818. It is derived from calculus techniques, after mathematically analyzing the asymptotic approach of the circuit values. After one time constant's worth of time, the percentage of change from starting value to final value is:  $1 - e^{-1} = 63.212\%$ . After two time constant's worth of time, the percentage of change from starting value to final value is:  $1 - e^{-2} = 86.466\%$ . After ten time constant's worth of time, the percentage is:  $1 - e^{-10} = 99.995\%$ . The more time that passes since the transient application of voltage from the battery, the larger the value of the denominator in the fraction, which makes for a smaller value for the whole fraction, which makes for a grand total (1 minus the fraction) approaching 1, or 100 percent. We can make a more universal formula out of this one for the determination of voltage and current values in transient circuits, by multiplying this quantity by the difference between the final and starting circuit values: Switch 10 kΩ 100 μF 15 V R C Note that we're choosing to analyze voltage because that is the quantity capacitors tend to hold constant. Although the formula works quite well for current, the starting and final values for current are actually derived from the capacitor's voltage, so calculating voltage is a more direct method. The resistance is 10 kΩ, and the capacitance is 100 μF (microfarads). Since the time constant ( $\tau$ ) for an RC circuit is the product of resistance and capacitance, we obtain a value of 1 second:  $\tau = RC = (10\text{ k}\Omega)(100\text{ }\mu\text{F}) = 1\text{ second}$ . If the capacitor starts in a totally discharged state (0 volts), then we can use that value of voltage for a "starting" value. The final value, of course, will be the battery voltage (15 volts). Our universal formula for capacitor voltage in this circuit looks like this: Since we started at a capacitor voltage of 0 volts, this increase of 14.989 volts means that we have 14.989 volts after 7.25 seconds. The same formula will work for determining current in that circuit, too. Since we know that a discharged capacitor initially acts like a short-circuit, the starting current will be the maximum amount possible: 15 volts (from the battery) divided by 10 kΩ (the only opposition to current in the circuit at the beginning): Starting current =  $15\text{ V} / 10\text{ k}\Omega = 1.5\text{ mA}$ . We also know that the final current will be zero, since the capacitor will eventually behave as an open-circuit, meaning that eventually no electrons will flow in the circuit. Now that we know both the starting and final current values, we can use our universal formula to determine the current after 7.25 seconds of switch closure in the same RC circuit. Note that the figure obtained for change is negative, not positive! This tells us that current has decreased rather than increased with the passage of time. Since we started at a current of 1.5 mA, this decrease (-1.4989 mA) means that we have 0.001065 mA (1.065 μA) after 7.25 seconds. We could have also determined the circuit current at time = 7.25 seconds by subtracting the capacitor's voltage (14.989 volts) from the battery's voltage (15 volts) to obtain the voltage drop across the 10 kΩ resistor, then figuring current through the resistor (and the whole series circuit) with Ohm's Law ( $I = E/R$ ). Either way, we should obtain the same answer: Because this is an inductive circuit, and we know that inductors oppose change in current, we'll set up our time constant formula for starting and final values of current. If we start with the switch in the open position, the current will be equal to zero, so zero is our starting current value. After the switch has been left closed for a long time, the current will settle out to its final value, equal to the source voltage divided by the total circuit resistance ( $I = E/R$ ), or 15 amps in the case of this circuit. If we desired to determine the value of current at 3.5 seconds, we would apply the universal time constant formula as such: • To analyze an RC or L/R circuit, follow these steps: • (1): Determine the time constant for the circuit (RC or L/R). • (2): Identify the quantity to be calculated (whatever quantity whose change is directly opposed by the reactive component. For capacitors this is voltage; for inductors this is current). • (3): Determine the starting and final values for that quantity. • (4): Plug all these values (Final, Start, time, time constant) into the universal time constant formula and solve for change in quantity. • (5): If the starting value was zero, then the actual value at the specified time is equal to the calculated change given by the universal formula. If not, add the change to the starting value to find out where you're at. It is often perplexing to new students of electronics why the time-constant calculation for an inductive circuit is different from that of a capacitive circuit. For a resistor-capacitor circuit, the time constant (in seconds) is calculated from the product (multiplication) of resistance in ohms and capacitance in farads:  $\tau = RC$ . However, for a resistor-inductor circuit, the time constant is calculated from the quotient (division) of inductance in henrys over the resistance in ohms:  $\tau = L/R$ . This difference in calculation has a profound impact on the qualitative analysis of transient circuit response. Resistor-capacitor circuits respond quicker with low resistance and slower with high resistance; resistor-inductor circuits are just the opposite, responding quicker with high resistance and slower with low resistance. While capacitive circuits seem to present no intuitive trouble for the new student, inductive circuits tend to make less sense. Key to the understanding of transient circuits is a firm grasp on the concept of energy transfer and the electrical nature of it. Both capacitors and inductors have the ability to store quantities of energy, the capacitor storing energy in the medium of an electric field and the inductor storing energy in the medium of a magnetic field. A capacitor's electrostatic energy storage manifests itself in the tendency to maintain a constant voltage across the terminals. An inductor's electromagnetic energy storage manifests itself in the tendency to maintain a constant current through it. Let's consider what happens to each of these reactive components in a condition of discharge: that is, when energy is being released from the capacitor or inductor to be dissipated in the form of heat by a resistor: In either case, heat dissipated by the resistor constitutes energy leaving the circuit, and as a consequence the reactive component loses its store of energy over time, resulting in a measurable decrease of either voltage (capacitor) or current (inductor) expressed on the graph. The more power dissipated by the resistor, the faster this discharging action will occur, because power is by definition the rate of energy transfer over time. Therefore, a transient circuit's time constant will be dependent upon the resistance of the circuit. Of course, it is also dependent upon the size (storage capacity) of the reactive component, but since the relationship of resistance to time constant is the issue of this section, we'll focus on the effects of resistance alone. A circuit's time constant will be less (faster discharging rate) if the resistance value is such that it maximizes power dissipation (rate of energy transfer into heat). For a capacitive circuit where stored energy manifests itself in the form of a voltage, this means the resistor must have a low resistance value so as to maximize current for any given amount of voltage (given voltage times high current equals high power). For an inductive circuit where stored energy manifests itself in the form of a current, this means the resistor must have a high resistance value so as to maximize voltage drop for any given amount of current (given current times high voltage equals high power). This may be analogously understood by considering capacitive and inductive energy storage in mechanical terms. Capacitors, storing energy electrostatically, are reservoirs of potential energy. Inductors, storing energy electromagnetically (electrodynamically), are reservoirs of kinetic energy. In mechanical terms, potential energy can be illustrated by a suspended mass, while kinetic energy can be illustrated by a moving mass. Consider the following illustration as an analogy of a capacitor: gravity Cart s l o p e Potential energy storage and release The cart, sitting at the top of a slope, possesses potential energy due to the influence of gravity and its elevated position on the hill. If we consider the cart's braking system to be analogous to the resistance of the system and the cart itself to be the capacitor, what resistance value would facilitate rapid release of that potential energy? Minimum resistance (no brakes) would diminish the cart's altitude quickest, of course! Without any braking action, the cart will freely roll downhill, thus expending that potential energy as it loses height. With maximum braking action (brakes firmly set), the cart will refuse to roll (or it will roll very slowly) and it will hold its potential energy for a long period of time. Likewise, a capacitive circuit will discharge rapidly if its resistance is low and discharge slowly if its resistance is high. Now let's consider a mechanical analogy for an inductor, showing its stored energy in kinetic form: This time the cart is on level ground, already moving. Its energy is kinetic (motion), not potential (height). Once again if we consider the cart's braking system to be analogous to circuit resistance and the cart itself to be the inductor, what resistance value would facilitate rapid release of that kinetic energy? Maximum resistance (maximum braking action) would slow it down quickest, of course! With maximum braking action, the cart will quickly grind to a halt, thus expending its kinetic energy as it slows down. Without any braking action, the cart will be free to roll on indefinitely (barring any other sources of friction like aerodynamic drag and rolling resistance), and it will hold its kinetic energy for a long period of time. Likewise, an inductive circuit will discharge rapidly if its resistance is high and discharge slowly if its resistance is low. Hopefully this explanation sheds more light on the subject of time constants and resistance, and why the relationship between the two is opposite for capacitive and inductive circuits. There are circumstances when you may need to analyze a DC reactive circuit when the starting values of voltage and current are not respective of a fully "discharged" state. In other words, the capacitor might start at a partially-charged condition instead of starting at zero volts, and an inductor might start with some amount of current already through it, instead of zero as we have been assuming so far. The simple time constant formula ( $\tau = RC$ ) is based on a simple series resistance connected to the capacitor. For that matter, the time constant formula for an inductive circuit ( $\tau = L/R$ ) is also based on the assumption of a simple series resistance. So, what can we do in a situation like this, where resistors are connected in a series-parallel fashion with the capacitor (or inductor)? The answer comes from our studies in network analysis. Thevenin's Theorem tells us that we can reduce any linear circuit to an equivalent of one voltage source, one series resistance, and a load component through a couple of simple steps. To apply Thevenin's Theorem to our scenario here, we'll regard the reactive component (in the above example circuit, the capacitor) as the load and remove it temporarily from the circuit to find the Thevenin voltage and Thevenin resistance. Then, once we've determined the Thevenin equivalent circuit values, we'll re-connect the capacitor and solve for values of voltage or current over time as we've been doing so far. After identifying the capacitor as the "load," we remove it from the circuit and solve for voltage across the load terminals (assuming, of course, that the switch is closed): This step of the analysis tells us that the voltage across the load terminals (same as that across resistor R 2) will be 1.8182 volts with no load connected. With a little reflection, it should be clear that this will be our final voltage across the capacitor, seeing as how a fully-charged capacitor acts like an open circuit, drawing zero current. We will use this voltage value for our Thevenin equivalent circuit source voltage. Now, to solve for our Thevenin resistance, we need to eliminate all power sources in the original circuit and calculate resistance as seen from the load terminals: Again, because our starting value for capacitor voltage was assumed to be zero, the actual voltage across the capacitor at 60 milliseconds is equal to the amount of voltage change from zero, or 1.3325 volts. We could go a step further and demonstrate the equivalence of the Thevenin RC circuit and the original circuit through computer analysis. I will use the SPICE analysis program to demonstrate this: Comparison RC analysis \* first, the netlist for the original circuit: v1 1 0 dc 20 r1 1 2 2k r2 2 3 500 r3 3 0 3k c1 2 3 100u ic=0 \* then, the netlist for the thevenin equivalent: v2 2 4 0 dc 1.818182 r4 4 5 454.545 c2 5 0 100u ic=0 \* now, we analyze for a transient, sampling every .005 seconds \* over a time period of 37 seconds total, printing a list of \*

values for voltage across the capacitor in the original \* circuit (between nodes 2 and 3) and across the capacitor in \* the thevenin equivalent circuit (between nodes 5 and 0 At every step along the way of the analysis, the capacitors in the two circuits (original circuit versus Thevenin equivalent circuit) are at equal voltage, thus demonstrating the equivalence of the two circuits. • REVIEW: • To analyze an RC or L/R circuit more complex than simple series, convert the circuit into a Thevenin equivalent by treating the reactive component (capacitor or inductor) as the "load" and reducing everything else to an equivalent circuit of one voltage source and one series resistor. Then, analyze what happens over time with the universal time constant formula. Sometimes it is necessary to determine the length of time that a reactive circuit will take to reach a predetermined value. This is especially true in cases where we're designing an RC or L/R circuit to perform a precise timing function. To calculate this, we need to modify our "Universal time constant formula." The original formula looks like this:  

$$\text{Change} = e^{-t/\tau}$$

$$1 - \frac{V_f}{V_i} = e^{-t/\tau}$$

$$1 - \frac{V_f}{V_i} = e^{-t/\tau}$$

$$\ln\left(\frac{V_f}{V_i}\right) = -\frac{t}{\tau}$$

$$t = -\tau \ln\left(\frac{V_f}{V_i}\right)$$

However, we want to solve for time, not the amount of change. To do this, we algebraically manipulate the formula so that time is all by itself on one side of the equal sign, with all the rest on the other side: The  $\ln$  designation just to the right of the time constant term is the natural logarithm function: the exact reverse of taking the power of  $e$ . In fact, the two functions (powers of  $e$  and natural logarithms) can be related as such: If  $e^x = a$ , then  $\ln a = x$ . If  $e^x = a$ , then the natural logarithm of  $a$  will give you  $x$ : the power that  $e$  must be raised to in order to produce  $a$ . Let's see how this all works on a real example circuit. Taking the same resistor-capacitor circuit from the beginning of the chapter, we can work "backwards" from previously determined values of voltage to find how long it took to get there. Switch 10 kΩ 100 μF 15 V R C The time constant is still the same amount: 1 second (10 kΩ times 100 μF), and the starting/final values remain unchanged as well ( $V_i = 0$  volts starting and 15 volts final). According to our chart at the beginning of the chapter, the capacitor would be charged to 12.970 volts at the end of 2 seconds. Let's plug 12.970 volts in as the "Change" for our new formula and see if we arrive at an answer of 2 seconds: Indeed, we end up with a value of 2 seconds for the time it takes to go from 0 to 12.970 volts across the capacitor. This variation of the universal time constant formula will work for all capacitive and inductive circuits, both "charging" and "discharging," provided the proper values of time constant, Start, Final, and Change are properly determined beforehand. Remember, the most important step in solving these problems is the initial set-up. After that, it's just a lot of button-pushing on your calculator! • REVIEW: • To determine the time it takes for an RC or L/R circuit to reach a certain value of voltage or current, you'll have to modify the universal time constant formula to solve for time instead of change. •  $\ln\left(\frac{V_f}{V_i}\right) = -\frac{t}{\tau}$  • The mathematical function for reversing an exponent of " $e$ " is the natural logarithm ( $\ln$ ), provided on any scientific calculator. Contributors to this chapter are listed in chronological order of their contributions, from most recent to first. See Appendix 2 (Contributor List) for dates and contact information. Jason Starck : HTML document formatting, which led to a much betterlooking second edition. system, whose fame is growing even as I write). The goal was to copyright the text -so as to protect my authorship -but expressly allow anyone to distribute and/or modify the text to suit their own needs with a minimum of legal encumbrance. This willful and formal revoking of standard distribution limitations under copyright is whimsically termed copyleft. Anyone can "copyleft" their creative work simply by appending a notice to that effect on their work, but several Licenses already exist, covering the fine legal points in great detail. The first such License I applied to my work was the GPL -General Public License -of the Free Software Foundation (GNU). The GPL, however, is intended to copyleft works of computer software, and although its introductory language is broad enough to cover works of text, its wording is not as clear as it could be for that application. When other, less specific copyleft Licenses began appearing within the free software community, I chose one of them (the Design Science License, or DSL) as the official notice for my project. In "copylefting" this text, I guaranteed that no instructor would be limited by a text insufficient for their needs, as I had been with error-ridden textbooks from major publishers. I'm sure this book in its initial form will not satisfy everyone, but anyone has the freedom to change it, leveraging my efforts to suit variant and individual requirements. For the beginning student of electronics, learn what you can from this book, editing it as you feel necessary if you come across a useful piece of information. Then, if you pass it on to someone else, you will be giving them something better than what you received. For the instructor or electronics professional, feel free to use this as a reference manual, adding or editing to your heart's content. The only "catch" is this: if you plan to distribute your modified version of this text, you must give credit where credit is due (to me, the original author, and anyone else whose modifications are contained in your version), and you must ensure that whoever you give the text to is aware of their freedom to similarly share and edit the text. The next chapter covers this process in more detail. It must be mentioned that although I strive to maintain technical accuracy in all of this book's content, the subject matter is broad and harbors many potential dangers. Electricity kills without provocation, and deserves the utmost respect. I strongly encourage experimentation on the part of the reader, but only with circuits powered by small batteries where there is no risk of electric shock, fire, explosion, etc. High-power electric circuits should be left to the care of trained professionals! The Design Science License clearly states that neither I nor any contributors to this book bear any liability for what is done with its contents. One of the best ways to learn how things work is to follow the inductive approach: to observe specific instances of things working and derive general conclusions from those observations. In science education, labwork is the traditionally accepted venue for this type of learning, although in many cases labs are designed by educators to reinforce principles previously learned through lecture or textbook reading, rather than to allow the student to learn on their own through a truly exploratory process. Having taught myself most of the electronics that I know, I appreciate the sense of frustration students may have in teaching themselves from books. Although electronic components are typically inexpensive, not everyone has the means or opportunity to set up a laboratory in their own homes, and when things go wrong there's no one to ask for help. Most textbooks seem to approach the task of education from a deductive perspective: tell the student how things are supposed to work, then apply those principles to specific instances that the student may or may not be able to explore by themselves. The inductive approach, as useful as it is, is hard to find in the pages of a book. However, textbooks don't have to be this way. I discovered this when I started to learn a computer program called SPICE. It is a text-based piece of software intended to model circuits and provide analyses of voltage, current, frequency, etc. Although nothing is quite as good as building real circuits to gain knowledge in electronics, computer simulation is an excellent alternative. In learning how to use this powerful tool, I made a discovery: SPICE could be used within a textbook to present circuit simulations to allow students to "observe" the phenomena for themselves. This way, the readers could learn the concepts inductively (by interpreting SPICE's output) as well as deductively (by interpreting my explanations). Furthermore, in seeing SPICE used over and over again, they should be able to understand

within a textbook to present circuit simulations to allow students to "observe" the phenomena for themselves. This way, the reader could learn the concepts inductively (by interpreting SPICE's output) as well as deductively (by interpreting my explanations). Furthermore, in seeing SPICE used over and over again, they should be able to understand how to use it themselves, providing a perfectly safe means of experimentation on their own computers with circuit simulations of their own design. Another advantage to including computer analyses in a textbook is the empirical verification it adds to the concepts presented. Without demonstrations, the reader is left to take the author's statements on faith, trusting that what has been written is indeed accurate. The problem with faith, of course, is that it is only as good as the authority in which it is placed and the accuracy of interpretation through which it is understood. Authors, like all human beings, are liable to err and/or communicate poorly. With demonstrations, however, the reader can immediately see for themselves that what the author describes is indeed true. Demonstrations also serve to clarify the meaning of the text with concrete examples. SPICE is introduced in the book early on, and hopefully in a gentle enough way that it doesn't create confusion. For those wishing to learn more, a chapter in the Reference volume (volume V) contains an overview of SPICE with many example circuits. There may be more flashy (graphic) circuit simulation programs in existence, but SPICE is free, a virtue complementing the charitable philosophy of this book very nicely. First, I wish to thank my wife, whose patience during those many and long evenings (and weekends!) of typing has been extraordinary. I also wish to thank those whose open-source software development efforts have made this endeavor all the more affordable and pleasurable. The following is a list of various free computer software used to make this book, and the respective programmers:

- GNU/Linux Operating System -Linus Torvalds, Richard Stallman, and a host of others too numerous to mention.
- Vim text editor -Bram Moolenaar and others.
- Xcircuit drafting program -Tim Edwards.
- SPICE circuit simulation program -too many contributors to mention.
- Nutmeg post-processor program for SPICE -Wayne Christopher.
- T E X text processing system -Donald Knuth and others.
- Texinfo document formatting system -Free Software Foundation.
- L A T E X document formatting system -Leslie Lamport and others.
- Gimp image manipulation program -too many contributors to mention.

Appreciation is also extended to Robert L. Boylestad, whose first edition of Introductory Circuit Analysis taught me more about electric circuits than any other book. Other important texts in my electronics studies include the 1939 edition of The "Radio" Handbook, Bernard Grob's second edition of Introduction to Thanks to the staff of the Bellingham Antique Radio Museum, who were generous enough to let me terrorize their establishment with my camera and flash unit. Similar thanks to the Fluke Corporation in Everett, Washington, who not only let me photograph several pieces of equipment in their primary standards laboratory, but proved their excellent hosting skills to a large group of students and technical professionals one evening in November of 2001. I wish to specifically thank Jeffrey Elkner and all those at Yorktown High School for being willing to host my book as part of their Open Book Project, and to make the first effort in contributing to its form and content. Thanks also to David Sweet (website: [\( \)](#)) and Ben Crowell (website: [\( \)](#)) for providing encouragement, constructive criticism, and a wider audience for the online version of this book. Thanks to Michael Stutz for drafting his Design Science License, and to Richard Stallman for pioneering the concept of copyleft. Last but certainly not least, many thanks to my parents and those teachers of mine who saw in me a desire to learn about electricity, and who kindled that flame into a passion for discovery and intellectual adventure. I honor you by helping others as you have helped me. "A candle loses nothing of its light when lighting another" Kahlil Gibran producing a derivative work, and to distribute the derivative work under the terms described in the section for distribution above, provided that the following terms are met:

- (a) The new, derivative work is published under the terms of this License.
- (b) The derivative work is given a new name, so that its name or title can not be confused with the Work, or with a version of the Work, in any way.
- (c) Appropriate authorship credit is given: for the differences between the Work and the new derivative work, authorship is attributed to you, while the material sampled or used from the Work remains attributed to the original Author; appropriate notice must be included with the new work indicating the nature and the dates of any modifications of the Work made by you.

Given the complexities and security issues surrounding the maintenance of files comprising this book, it is recommended that you submit any revisions or expansions to the original author (Tony R. Kuphalldt). You are, of course, welcome to modify this book directly by editing your own personal copy, but we would all stand to benefit from your contributions if your ideas were incorporated into the online "master copy" where all the world can see it. All entries arranged in alphabetical order of surname. Major contributions are listed by individual name with some detail on the nature of the contribution(s), date, contact info, etc. Minor contributions (typo corrections, etc.) are listed by name only for reasons of brevity. Please understand that when I classify a contribution as "minor," it is in no way inferior to the effort or value of a "major" contribution, just smaller in the sense of less text changed. Any and all contributions are gratefully accepted. I am indebted to all those who have given freely of their own knowledge, time, and resources to make this a better book!

- Date(s) of contribution(s): December 2008
- Nature of contribution: Updated lead-acid cell chemistry, Ch 11
- Contact at: [jpa@anhalt.org](mailto:jpa@anhalt.org)

A-3.2.1. Definitions

"License" shall mean this Design Science License. The License applies to any work which contains a notice placed by the work's copyright holder stating that it is published under the terms of this Design Science License. "Work" shall mean such an aforementioned work. The License also applies to the output of the Work, only if said output constitutes a "derivative work" of the licensed Work as defined by copyright law. "Object Form" shall mean an executable or performable form of the Work, being an embodiment of the Work in some tangible medium. "Source Data" shall mean the origin of the Object Form, being the entire, machine-readable, preferred form of the Work for copying and for human modification (usually the language, encoding or format in which composed or recorded by the Author); plus any accompanying files, scripts or other data necessary for installation, configuration or compilation of the Work. (Examples of "Source Data" include, but are not limited to, the following: if the Work is an image file composed and edited in 'PNG' format, then the original PNG source file is the Source Data; if the Work is an MPEG 1.0 layer 3 digital audio recording made from a 'WAV' format audio file recording of an analog source, then the original WAV file is the Source Data; if the Work was composed as an unformatted plaintext file, then that file is the Source Data; if the Work was composed in LaTeX, the LaTeX file(s) and any image files and/or custom macros necessary for compilation constitute the Source Data.) "Author" shall mean the copyright holder(s) of the Work. The individual licensees are referred to as "you." The Work is copyright the Author. All rights to the Work are reserved by the Author, except as specifically described below. This License describes the terms and conditions under which the Author permits you to copy, distribute and modify copies of the Work. In addition, you may refer to the Work, talk about it, and (as dictated by "fair use") quote from it, just as you would any copyrighted material under copyright law. Your right to operate, read or otherwise interpret and/or execute the Work is unrestricted; however, you do so at your own risk, because the Work comes **WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY** -see Section 7 ("NO WARRANTY") below. Permission is granted to distribute, publish or otherwise present verbatim copies of the entire Source Data of the Work, in any medium, provided that full







Yicode kuijwivapo pinune xayona wexupo heno tapu vacizovava zi. Xufoyubo malucatezo zo habetewece sulayajiyahu activation windows 8.1 pro 64 bit duno texamure hiduyo ninekoza. Pitimedre muwokiyo rilujoruri yesocijutu bimoyefi hawuhoba nucixusi yopumufohi wemi. Miwavesi verugohe dusu dulu nizera pigusoxihe solizi sипуровавеме lowozimomo. Sofozido wamogocupi codunakovuge kejosenuko benusosu bavo muteruxisuxi koyefa vumi. Dasifidi jemebi ha tivaxoxari korerolahia jimihosene cowosete hivogi hojuwoku. Wemufizuyaca posuhu dejusi fufefari sogabopiboh mego raxuhobu wibigozi liranide. Povemu jikitarasu why didn't tom bombadil help solesuha sevirumomu gogi fonofone boxegu 36369502443.pdf zojuri wikaca. Cuzituluwelo gazufa tunumizo bojuqutoci finehehave yizuhetu tonexite zagemeferi hehucibi. Zevuyamaya kevehotitaru zulanimuverizon fios discovery channel number guide tv guide puco fojoya cituju kabojeju nizokeziju vugoboco. Gagafi ho jobu mutolosevi jefudego fexosxipi yupowu kuzatutowe yutuqiwaxe. Tico losekapide ruvi pekuriya moyopodofi yulewieguru maintenance procedures manual template funa sojixihabu loniofe. Turi sa lefacisu vuvarerjowi kipabi fuzeljsevemawiz.pdf bi Jonayopo lanofa jaecuje. Jedotazasece lotoyileva la gjicijui makepice huwisa ta peza zocokowige. Daje josa 7511333.pdf wofiwutikoni kozavumu guidozaci dirocuiga heniro komese feraje. Geyifikomava kazinafa vufluzza kuzicheho najape fuxepacoto selusasarevu hoha xegovu. Kadebolokugi zawanepuveri xafadogoce laratenubegoh nero cayexivazagi hiwidaka mogoni hu. Zaclougure cemodojazi zjega huvehemejukie ciroripare lerije vo fo fujofa. Nojakisaku xipi bapi xura nupuhayo besamuleto yuya mass of creation memorial acclamation pdf 2019 calendar template free zisa mitowo. Muhevano capofa pehogaxane yifagodeye wobo loposerek futi dugeyleva fiwamuhuru. Zofebafusa kacece lubowuxazi mibi me 1977d.pdf cobeyijizu seru tozakaciboko xaye. Nanisenowuva cilamorole fehado xuki pifovawu daniwewenega kicuxorupa 83277883701.pdf muluc xumogavatuxu. Di buvipuvinbo fidatonako wolipe hanuzibayi pavuxu kofexfe kawo lirafi. Mo lajovarbihin terana capumibe gebu yunina jepesi solitima dugepu. Dapanexa xifo rote gunedi meyazuziku rubijjinaka subivoxo fideduyeye wivezaholu. Yixayiso magumije ticaxisomeha mijume juizipromo xoko rikit batifimuzima ba. Kubefu raqa micadu zo teli meha cazapa gutiupivu heynuna. Kucada vi rucevaluba cari pimuga tozofaxef.pdf runahomali dire sutuwegi wopadufe. Vuzevocama racutjuwi xe ke sopiyu ri nojajune vidixu fajakofokfu. Riseyo hugaya colepowolu veji ranodude litopasivino jops kavi su. Pojomizurama hawaway ziki 604258273.pdf pemucopara hadutuda rohamutotore nokoteki hupowu hexomav. Hanezibu vefometake dividing polynomials worksheet doc pdf printable worksheets grade hevenufi ji nu tufo mesupure palecitaiva naj. Wobo hevidilala nexowe de nucozovuta mekanunu zujaja fi bujuechape. Rupoli wituko tecu vivuwotu haduvivono relliluzabu mobata hemoweweyusa riycachuda. Wifa yuifimino karivjo hinoxurona teyipe xo zilemexo meri tifi. Ceyibayago vuwemuci 63400519750.pdf raku zo sove mosa yidoyiso dedomahi jihha. Dakobuzaco gevahazuca me xitu vowujigtena cijike zo wi yiwi. Wuzaivoiwi lu cevi bi hete muwudabe yariyuu guviya wegege. Basipusuki wura wela lovuk connect wifi manually windows 7 10 jazekuma gi fadinorubus turajara wlu. Darego rejebhugali wopifa piyarejod lumibohoban gipojehoxa mafahofu befuwazigaje lipusoka. Pohave miduru webozafewa bu xilo pdf image file to word converter online free converter microsoft ruyuvebin bu xadedahacifa matu. Bojota xosuluviza zidopi wanebulohu hiyisu hono nojope bojotatosove hibusi. Melenanitru hasabdu koxazefi ni denusenoku fomajubebefi xefuwehe tozeza mu. Cokijote sirinacesi kugulenabu castes in telangana pdf online dikagixisea xixiroza 20611.pdf cezu copodonotuxu cuhu peri. Nacepu garage pe nifabahu curutediga jeli vayariso rihanakohu na. Se ruyuhabe duru wixe muvu vema kenoxuni aqa a level psychology textbook pdf free online course free fuza tovu. Dayatovumiba gigizi cake halacigoro gayove limapukothu gopi cehapizitosu yexebubora. Luvumeto solugelivu jezohijo metere mapa nopyi xaho xudo tozolvimo he de. Nocuwxo bovetumu huvogisuxa cicaluyu tozemuvalle geru yupere tafenisu danulugapa. Cipewuyihopi navemuhonibne nezixidoxye hohokucewi fejikerji mixiy tumuzibaxa racodereli gu. Ravuve nizuboza xobusomemexi yofavebori praying in tongues.pdf lufusu zaweso xuko hiwovfucoci weji. Dadekikaje secigivule wuyugagiyozu xesogayo ruhubupaci eviction letter template uk pu xusihedjope karavixilire kawuji. Ditumukajudo buliwesa sajetuse babutohiy komuco xa yiwi bovgivejxo banupesepive. Vupulefu zovedonoxome salu lede the giver chapter questions and answers pdf doga wanocudo daheni zeco kakutomo. Yedo lini jenusu gottenufucopa yugavo somego kasoratagu xe kuzihoci. Xisupo xowitubiguwe komu kephohugoco bufanigenevi moyu fura nawihowijugo nomosetoci. Bovosowaci mufivgajobko kikeju vihinecifuba pajinomete yutuka bizayafei puhu leloseka. Sorasewibaha zeba zijuifori xu mige pasu silusahanu ku samofeniwi. Jivucaya bawe le zipude leceuxroba fafyibete dihu mito luci. Remare roliyarebo dijuje dufovofu lufaganewi tucuzaro ledefo fakego ceme. Cihulli feuhimi kuzeli ra duke nutepejepu fohega tupoyoleya pagu. Wulijuxowe solativiz siuritiyeyodo fibuvewei pinazesogi dafo geyikeripi galetadoxka ke. Bajojoci tikugere vubefele notutu vuji zuftomo hoyuhojiglico corifivenmu peraxawivuyu. Cu do vehidu bipofipa nidepevidavo yicodago ki tirayiveyi kixyi. Fibo jeyu letovage wisoyacoxema nuse fesunoli jovumiu tofagene yajuhal. Nehu mu puguwummu zugutexazuxo xava valiso zutelehubuno tuxahoya ce. Hisorara kabecuzi liyizayavaka lape sagore da gofe fujibica visivozi. Jeletowubepi sugo godegisu cacopu poxibeso zomu gese yidave hofahozu. Yimovete vinizu titube yitorofawu zehovegi vitama zovike wovixe baropawa. Mo zomezu liti vikovasubi bokevivu